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## Y Segal Consulting

100 Montgomery Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104
T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com

November 12, 2014
Board of Fire and Police Pension Commissioners
City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan
360 East Second Street, Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90012

## Re: June 30, 2014 Actuarial Valuations

Dear Board Members:
Enclosed please find the June 30, 2014 actuarial valuations for the retirement and the health programs.
As requested by LAFPP, we have attached the following supplemental schedules:
$>$ Exhibit A - Summary of significant results for the two programs.
$>$ Exhibit B-History of computed contribution rates for the two programs.
We look forward to discussing the reports and the enclosed schedules with the Board.

Sincerely,


Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Senior Vice President and Actuary
EK/bqb
Enclosures
5342842v1/07916.002

Hhar Memp
Vice President and Associate Actuary

${ }^{(1)}$ The June 30, 2014 valuation includes 76 terminated members due only a refund of member contributions. The June 30, 2013 valuation included 71 such members.
${ }^{(2)}$ Includes July COLA.
${ }^{(3)}$ Includes all assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits.

| Exhibit A (continued) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan Summary of Significant Valuation Results |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| VI. Budget Items | FY 2015-2016 |  | FY 2014-2015 |  | Change |  |
|  | Beginning of Year $^{(1)}$ | July 15 | Beginning of Year | July 15 | Beginning | July 15 |
| A. Retirement Benefits |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Normal Cost as a Percent of Pay | 18.82\% | 18.88\% | 19.37\% | 19.44\% | -0.55\% | -0.56\% |
| 2. Amortization of UAAL | 15.45\% | 15.49\% | 17.01\% | 17.06\% | -1.56\% | -1.57\% |
| 3. Allocated amount for administrative expenses | 0.91\% | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A | 0.91\% | 0.91\% |
| 4. Total Retirement Contribution | 35.18\% | 35.28\% | 36.38\% | 36.50\% | -1.20\% | -1.22\% |
| B. Health Subsidy Contribution |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Normal Cost as a Percent of Pay | 4.03\% | 4.04\% | 4.09\% | 4.10\% | -0.06\% | -0.06\% |
| 2. Amortization of UAAL | 7.01\% | 7.03\% | 7.22\% | 7.24\% | -0.21\% | -0.21\% |
| 3. Allocated amount for administrative expenses | 0.06\% | 0.06\% | N/A | N/A | 0.06\% | 0.06\% |
| 4. Total Health Contribution | 11.10\% | 11.13\% | 11.31\% | 11.34\% | -0.21\% | -0.21\% |
| C. Total Contribution ( $\mathrm{A}+\mathrm{B}$ ) | 46.28\% | 46.41\% | 47.69\% | 47.84\% | -1.41\% | -1.43\% |
| ${ }^{(1)}$ Alternative contribution payment date for FY 2015-2016: | Retirement |  | Health |  | Total |  |
| End of Pay Periods | 36.47\% |  | 11.50\% |  | 47.97\% |  |


| Exhibit A (continued) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan Summary of Significant Valuation Results |  |  |  |  |
| VII | Funded Ratio | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | Change |
|  | (Based on Valuation Value of Assets) |  |  |  |
|  | A. Retirement Benefits | 86.6\% | 83.1\% | 3.5\% |
|  | B. Health Subsidy Benefits | 43.2\% | 38.5\% | 4.7\% |
|  | C. Total | 80.8\% | 77.3\% | 3.5\% |
| VIII | Funded Ratio | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 | Change |
|  | (Based on Market Value of Assets) |  |  |  |
|  | A. Retirement Benefits | 93.8\% | 83.5\% | 10.3\% |
|  | B. Health Subsidy Benefits | 46.8\% | 38.7\% | 8.1\% |
|  | C. Total | 87.5\% | 77.7\% | 9.8\% |


|  | Exhibit BCity of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension PlanComputed Contribution Rates ${ }^{(\mathbf{1}}$ - Historical Comparison |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valuation Date | Retirement | Health | Total | Valuation <br> Payroll <br> (In Thousands) |
| 06/30/2005 | 20.56\% | 5.03\% | 25.59\% | \$1,037,445 |
| 06/30/2006 | 22.12\% | 8.48\% ${ }^{(2)}$ | 30.65\% | 1,092,815 |
| 06/30/2007 | $19.95 \%{ }^{(3)}$ | $8.20 \%^{(2),(3)}$ | $28.15 \%{ }^{(3)}$ | 1,135,592 |
| 06/30/2008 | 20.58\% | 8.76\% | 29.34\% | 1,206,589 |
| 06/30/2009 | 22.26\% | 9.00\% | 31.26\% | 1,357,249 |
| 06/30/2010 | $28.20 \%{ }^{(4)}$ | $12.27 \%{ }^{(5)}$ | 40.47\% | 1,356,986 |
| 06/30/2011 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 32.56\% | 11.34\% | 43.90\% | 1,343,963 |
| 06/30/2012 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 35.93\% | $11.22 \%^{(6)}$ | 47.15\% | 1,341,914 |
| 06/30/2013 | 37.82\% | 11.69\% | 49.51\% | 1,367,237 |
| 06/30/2014 | 36.47\% | 11.50\% | 47.97\% | 1,402,715 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Contributions are assumed to be made at the end of the pay period.
${ }^{(2)}$ Before reflecting phase-in policy.
${ }^{(3)}$ Revised to recognize payment of Harbor Port Police June 30, 2007 UAAL during 2007-2008 fiscal year. This reduced the UAAL rate by $0.02 \%$ and $0.00 \%$ for the retirement plan and health plan, respectively.
${ }^{(4)}$ Before reflecting the $2 \%$ additional employee contributions for unfrozen health subsidies.
${ }^{(5)}$ Before reflecting the freeze on the medical subsidy for certain employees retiring on or after July 15, 2011.
${ }^{(6)}$ After reflecting updated Tier 6 contribution rate as provided in Segal's letter dated February 27, 2013.

# City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan 

Actuarial Valuation and Review as of June 30, 2014

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Commissioners to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Commissioners and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.
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## Y Segal Consulting

100 Montgomery Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104
T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com
November 12, 2014
Board of Fire and Police Pension Commissioners
City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan
360 East Second Street, Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Dear Board Members:
We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review as of June 30, 2014. It summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, establishes the funding requirements for fiscal 2015-2016 and analyzes the preceding year's experience.
This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the Plan. The census information and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by LAFPP. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged. The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; increases or decreases expected as part of the natural operation of the methodology used for these measurements (such as the end of an amortization period); and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.
The actuarial calculations were directed under our supervision. We are Members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board of Commissioners are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan.
We look forward to reviewing this report at your next meeting and to answering any questions.
Sincerely,
Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By:


Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary
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## 차 Segal Consulting

## Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present a valuation of the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan as of June 30, 2014. The valuation was performed to determine whether the assets and contributions are sufficient to provide the prescribed benefits. The contribution requirements presented in this report are based on:
> The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Commissioners;
> The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2014, provided by LAFPP;
> The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2014, provided by LAFPP;
> Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
> Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

## Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:
> The results of the valuation reflect changes in the economic and non-economic actuarial assumptions as recommended by Segal and adopted by the Board for the June 30, 2014 valuation. These changes were documented in our Review of Economic Actuarial Assumptions and our Actuarial Experience Study (of the non-economic assumptions) and are also outlined in Section 4, Exhibit V of this report. These assumption changes together with a change to an explicit loading for administrative expenses in the contribution rates resulted in an increase in the employer contribution rate of $0.26 \%$ of payroll (payable at the beginning of the year).
> The ratio of the valuation value of assets to actuarial accrued liabilities increased from $83.1 \%$ to $86.6 \%$. On a market value of assets basis, the funded ratio increased from $83.5 \%$ to $93.8 \%$. The Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) has decreased from $\$ 2.975$ billion to $\$ 2.436$ billion. The increase in the funded ratio and the reduction in the UAAL are primarily the results of less than expected COLA increases during 2013/2014 for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members, a greater than expected return on investment (after smoothing), and other favorable actuarial experience during 2013/2014. A complete reconciliation of the Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability is provided in Section 3, Exhibit G.
> The aggregate beginning-of-year employer rate calculated in this valuation has decreased from $36.38 \%$ of payroll to $35.18 \%$ of payroll. Using a projected annual payroll of $\$ 1.403$ billion as of June 30, 2014, there would be a decrease in contributions from $\$ 510$ million to $\$ 493$ million. The decrease was primarily due to lower than expected COLA increases
during 2013/2014 for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members and a greater than expected return on investments (after smoothing), offset somewhat by some gain layers being fully amortized and a change to an explicit loading for administrative expenses in contributions.
> The employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming that they will be made by the City at either: (1) the beginning of the fiscal year, (2) on July 15, or (3) throughout the year (i.e., the City will pay contributions at the end of every pay period).
$>$ As indicated in Section 2, Subsection B of this report, the total unrecognized investment gain as of June 30, 2014 is $\$ 1.412$ billion for the assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits. This investment gain will be recognized in the determination of the actuarial value of assets for funding purposes in the next few years. For comparison purposes, the total unrecognized investment gain as of June 30, 2013 was $\$ 77.3$ million.
$>$ The results of this valuation reflect a change to combine the $\$ 77.3$ million in deferred gains as of June 30, 2013 and recognize those gains in level amounts over a six-year period starting July 1, 2013. This adjustment was approved by the Board.
$>$ The unrecognized investment gains represent about 7.7\% of the market value of assets. Unless offset by future investment losses or other unfavorable experience, the recognition of the $\$ 1.412$ billion market gains is expected to have an impact on the Plan's future funded ratio and the aggregate employer contributions. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the deferred gains were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the funded percentage would increase from 86.6\% to 93.8\%.
- If the deferred gains were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate beginning-of-year employer contribution rate would decrease from $35.2 \%$ of payroll to $28.4 \%$ of payroll.
$>$ The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) approved two new Statements affecting the reporting of pension liabilities for accounting purposes. Statement 67 replaces Statement 25 and is for plan reporting, effective with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014. Statement 68 replaces Statement 27 and is for employer reporting, effective with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2015. The information needed to comply with Statements 67 and 68 will be provided in separate reports.
$>$ The actuarial valuation report as of June 30, 2014 is based on financial and demographic information as of that date. Changes subsequent to that date are not reflected and will impact the actuarial cost of the Plan.

| Summary of Key Valuation Results |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |
| Contributions calculated as of June 30: |  |  |
| Recommended as a percent of pay (note there is a 12-month delay until the rate is effective) |  |  |
| At the beginning of year | 35.18\% | $36.38 \%{ }^{(1)}$ |
| On July 15 | 35.28\% | $36.50 \%^{(1)}$ |
| At the end of each biweekly pay period | 36.47\% | $37.77 \%^{(1)}$ |
| Funding elements for plan year beginning July 1: |  |  |
| Normal cost | \$396,735,971 | \$404,857,626 ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| Valuation value of retirement assets (VVA) | 15,678,480,269 | 14,657,712,639 |
| Market value of retirement assets | 16,989,704,585 | 14,729,975,934 |
| Actuarial accrued liability | 18,114,229,307 | 17,632,425,090 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability on valuation value of retirement assets basis | 2,435,749,038 | 2,974,712,451 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability on market value of retirement assets basis | 1,124,524,722 | 2,902,449,156 |
| Funded ratio on valuation value of retirement assets basis ${ }^{(2)}$ | 86.6\% | 83.1\% |
| Funded ratio on market value of retirement assets basis | 93.8\% | 83.5\% |
| Demographic data for plan year beginning July 1: |  |  |
| Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 12,502 | 12,432 |
| Number of vested former members ${ }^{(3)}$ | 131 | 133 |
| Number of active members (includes DROP members) | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Projected total payroll | \$1,402,715,039 | \$1,367,236,866 |
| Projected average payroll | 107,102 | 103,391 |
| ${ }^{(1)} \quad$ Revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014. |  |  |
| (2) The funded ratios on VVA basis excluding Harbor Port Police are $86.6 \%$ and $83.2 \%$ for 2014 and 2013, respectivel |  |  |
| ${ }^{(3)}$ The June 30, 2014 valuation includes 76 terminated members due only a refund of members. | utions. The June 30 | included 71 such |

## Actuarial Certification

This is to certify that Segal Consulting has conducted an actuarial valuation of the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan retirement program as of June 30, 2014, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. Actuarial valuations are performed annually for this retirement program with the last valuation completed on June 30, 2013. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of the historical funding methods used in determination of the liability for retirement benefits.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits summarized in Exhibit VI and on participant and financial data provided by LAFPP.
The actuarial computations made are for funding plan benefits. Accordingly, additional determinations will be needed for other purposes, such as satisfying financial accounting requirements under GASB Statements 67 and 68 and judging benefit security at termination.

In addition to all schedules that are shown in the actuarial section of the CAFR, a listing of supporting schedules included in the statistical and financial sections of the Plan's CAFR prepared by Segal Consulting is provided below:

1) Schedule of funding progress
2) Schedule of employer contributions
3) Supplementary Information Required by the GASB (Exhibit IV)

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the Plan's current funding information. The undersigned is a Member of the American Academy of Actuaries and meets the qualifications to provide the actuarial opinion herein.

[^0]
## A. MEMBER DATA

The Actuarial Valuation and Review considers the number and demographic characteristics of covered members, including active members, non-vested members (entitled to a refund of member contributions) and vested terminated members, retired members and beneficiaries.

This section presents a summary of significant statistical data on these member groups.
More detailed information for this valuation year and the preceding valuation can be found in Section 3, Exhibits A, $B$, and $C$.

A historical perspective
of how the member population has changed
over the past ten valuations can be seen in this chart.

## CHART 1

Member Population: 2005-2014

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Active <br> Members $^{(\mathbf{1})}$ | DROP <br> Members | Vested Terminated <br> Members $^{(2)}$ | Retired Members <br> and Beneficiaries | Ratio of Non-Actives <br> to Actives |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 12,656 | 1,178 | 0 | 11,746 | 0.93 |
| 2006 | 12,903 | 1,206 | 59 | 11,815 | 0.92 |
| 2007 | 13,218 | 1,226 | 85 | 11,974 | 0.91 |
| 2008 | 13,495 | 1,144 | 81 | 12,182 | 0.91 |
| 2009 | 13,802 | 1,024 | 61 | 12,327 | 0.90 |
| 2010 | 13,654 | 1,089 | 58 | 12,348 | 0.91 |
| 2011 | 13,432 | $1,314^{(3)}$ | 1,193 | 69 | $12,392^{(4)}$ |
| 2012 | 13,396 | 1,191 | 133 | 12,432 | 0.93 |
| 2013 | 13,224 | 1,277 | 131 | 12,502 | 0.93 |
| 2014 | 13,097 |  |  | 0.95 |  |

[^1]
## Active Members (Including DROP Members)

Plan costs are affected by the age, years of service and payroll of active members. In this year's valuation, there were 13,097 active members with an average age of 42.4 , average years of service of 15.4 years and average payroll of $\$ 107,102$. The 13,224 active members in the prior valuation had an average age of 41.9, average service of 15.0 years and average payroll of $\$ 103,391$.

These graphs show a distribution of active members by age and by years of service.

## CHART 2 <br> Distribution of Active Members (Including DROP Members) by Age as of June 30, 2014

## Inactive Members

In this year's valuation, there were a total of 131 members with a vested right to a deferred or immediate vested benefit or a return of member contributions versus 133 in the prior valuation.

## CHART 3 <br> Distribution of Active Members (Including DROP Members) by Years of Service as of June 30, 2014

## Retired Members and Beneficiaries

As of June 30, 2014, 10,043 retired members and 2,459 beneficiaries were receiving total monthly benefits of $\$ 65,603,067$. For comparison, in the previous valuation, there were 10,040 retired members and 2,392 beneficiaries receiving monthly benefits of $\$ 64,275,629$.

Please note that the monthly benefits provided have been adjusted for the COLA granted effective for the month of July.

These graphs show a distribution of the current retired members based on their monthly amount and age, by type of pension.

## CHART 4

Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Monthly Amount as of June 30, 2014 (Includes July 1 COLA)

## CHART 5 <br> Distribution of Retired Members by Type and by Age as of June 30, 2014 (Includes July 1 COLA)

## B. FINANCIAL INFORMATION

Retirement plan funding anticipates that, over the long term, both contributions and net investment earnings (less investment fees and administrative expenses) will be needed to cover benefit payments.

Retirement plan assets change as a result of the net impact of these income and expense components. Additional financial information, including a summary of these transactions for the valuation year, is presented in Section 3, Exhibits D, E and F.

The chart depicts the components of changes in the actuarial value of assets over the last ten years. Note: The first bar represents increases in assets during each year while the second bar details the decreases.

## CHART 6

Comparison of Increases and Decreases in the Actuarial Value of Assets
for Years Ended June 30, 2005 - 2014

The chart shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date.

It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Commissioners has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable.

The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

## CHART 7

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets for Year Ended June 30, 2014

| 1. Market value of assets (for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits) |  |  |  |  | \$18,291,010,687 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original | Portion Not | Amount Not |  |
| 2. | Calculation of unrecognized return ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | Recognized | Recognized |  |
|  | (a) Year ended June 30, 2014 | \$1,571,818,656 | 6/7 | \$1,347,273,134 |  |
|  | (b) Combined Net Deferred Gain as of June 30, $2013{ }^{(2)}$ | 77,259,408 | 5/6 | 64,382,840 |  |
|  | (c) Total unrecognized return |  |  |  | 1,411,655,974 |
| 3. | Preliminary actuarial value: (1)-(2c) |  |  |  | 16,879,354,713 |
| 4. | Adjustment to be within $40 \%$ corridor |  |  |  | 0 |
|  | Final actuarial value of assets: (3) + (4) |  |  |  | \$16,879,354,713 |
| 6. | Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: $(5) \div(1)$ |  |  |  | 92.3\% |
| 7. | Market value of retirement assets |  |  |  | \$16,989,704,585 |
| 8. | Valuation value of retirement assets: (5) $\div(1) \times(7)$ |  |  |  | \$15,678,480,269 |
| 9. Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years (for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefi |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | (a) Amount recognized on June 30, 2015 |  |  |  | \$237,422,090 |
|  | (b) Amount recognized on June 30, 2016 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (c) Amount recognized on June 30, 2017 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (d) Amount recognized on June 30, 2018 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (e) Amount recognized on June 30, 2019 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (f) Amount recognized on June 30, 2020 |  |  |  | 224,545,524 |
|  | (g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding) |  |  |  | \$1,411,655,974 |

(1) Total return minus expected return on a market value basis
${ }^{(2)}$ Net deferred unrecognized investment gains as of June 30, 2013 have been combined into a single layer to be recognized over the six-year period effective July 1, 2013

This chart shows the change in the actuarial value of assets versus the market value over the past ten years.

The actuarial value, market value and valuation value of assets are representations of LAFPP's financial status. As investment gains and losses are gradually taken into account, the actuarial value of assets tracks the market value of assets. The portion of the total actuarial value of assets allocated for retirement benefits, based on multiplying the total actuarial value of assets by the ratio of market value of retirement assets to the market value of both retirement and health assets, is shown as the valuation
value of assets. The valuation value of assets is significant because LAFPP’s liabilities are compared to these assets to determine what portion, if any, remains unfunded. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability is an important element in determining the contribution requirement.

## CHART 8 <br> Market Value of Assets*, Actuarial Value of Assets* and Valuation Value of Assets** as of June 30, 2005-2014

* Retirement and Health assets
** Retirement only assets

This chart provides a summary of the actuarial experience during the past year.

## C. ACTUARIAL EXPERIENCE

To calculate the required contribution, assumptions are made about future events that affect the amount and timing of benefits to be paid and assets to be accumulated. Each year actual experience is measured against the assumptions. If overall experience is more favorable than anticipated (an actuarial gain), the contribution requirement will decrease from the previous year. On the other hand, the contribution requirement will increase if overall actuarial experience is less favorable than expected (an actuarial loss).

Taking account of experience gains or losses in one year without making a change in assumptions reflects the belief that the single year's experience was a short-term
development and that, over the long term, experience will return to the original assumptions. For contribution requirements to remain stable, assumptions should approximate experience.

If assumptions are changed, the contribution requirement is adjusted to take into account a change in experience anticipated for all future years.

The total net gain of $\$ 566,140,896$ was due mainly to less than expected COLA increases for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members as well as an investment gain of $\$ 174,422,187$. A discussion of the major components of the actuarial experience is on the following pages.

## CHART 9

Actuarial Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2014

| 1. | Net gain from investments ${ }^{(1)}$ | $\$ 174,422,187$ |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 2. | Net gain from other experience ${ }^{(2)}$ | $-391,718,709$ |
| 3. | Net experience gain: $(1)+(2)$ | $\$ 566,140,896$ |

(1) Details in Chart 10.
${ }^{(2)}$ Details in Chart 13. The net gain is attributed to actual liability experience from June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2014, compared to the projected experience as predicted by the actuarial assumptions as of June 30, 2013.

This chart shows the gain due to investment experience.

## Investment Rate of Return

A major component of projected asset growth is the assumed rate of return. The assumed return should represent the expected long-term rate of return, based on LAFPP's investment policy. For valuation purposes, the assumed rate of return on the actuarial value of assets was $7.75 \%$ for the 2013-2014 plan year (based on the June 30, 2013 valuation). The actual rate of return on the actuarial value of assets basis for the 2013-2014 plan year was 9.29\%.

Since the actual return for the year was greater than the assumed return, LAFPP experienced an actuarial gain during the year ended June 30, 2014 with regard to its investments.

## CHART 10

Actuarial Value Investment Experience for Year Ended June 30, 2014

|  |  | All Assets $^{(1)}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |$\quad$ Assets for Retirement Only

${ }^{(1)}$ Includes all assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits.

Because actuarial planning is long term, it is useful to see how the assumed investment rate of return has followed actual experience over time. The chart below shows the rate of return on an actuarial basis compared to the market value investment return for all Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits assets for the last ten years, including five-year and ten-year averages.

## CHART 11

Investment Return ${ }^{(1)}$ - Actuarial Value vs. Market Value: 2005-2014

|  | Actuarial Value Investment Return |  | Market Value Investment Return |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Amount |  |  |  |
| 2005 | $\$ 259,388,778$ | Percent | Amount | Percent |
| 2006 | $901,268,460$ | $2.12 \%$ | $\$ 1,131,871,641$ | $9.83 \%$ |
| 2007 | $1,590,968,304$ | $7.44 \%$ | $1,520,383,435$ | $12.40 \%$ |
| 2008 | $1,414,391,128$ | $12.57 \%$ | $-776,503,003$ | $18.25 \%$ |
| 2009 | $557,346,783$ | $10.20 \%$ | $-2,968,762,917$ | $-5.01 \%$ |
| 2010 | $360,741,904$ | $3.75 \%$ | $1,612,772,227$ | $-20.74 \%$ |
| 2011 | $568,411,044$ | $2.40 \%$ | $14.74 \%$ |  |
| 2012 | $320,400,668$ | $3.78 \%$ | $93,546,777$ | $21.22 \%$ |
| 2013 | $827,790,619$ | $2.10 \%$ | $1,952,254,466$ | $0.65 \%$ |
| 2014 | $1,468,399,449$ | $5.43 \%$ | $13.75 \%$ |  |
| Five-Year Average Return |  | $9.29 \%$ |  | $17.65 \%$ |
| Ten-Year Average Return |  | $4.57 \%$ |  | $13.38 \%$ |

Subsection B described the actuarial asset valuation method that gradually takes into account fluctuations in the market value rate of return. The effect of this is to stabilize the actuarial rate of return, which contributes to leveling pension plan costs.

This chart illustrates how this leveling effect has actually worked over the years 2005-2014.

## CHART 12

Market and Actuarial Rates of Return for Years Ended June 30, 2005-2014

The chart shows elements of the experience gain for the most recent year.

## Other Experience

There are other differences between the expected and the actual experience that appear when the new valuation is compared with the projections from the previous valuation. These include:
> the extent of turnover among the participants,
> retirement experience (earlier or later than expected),
> mortality (more or fewer deaths than expected),
> the number of disability retirements, and
> salary increases different than assumed.
The net gain from this other experience for the year ended June 30, 2014 amounted to $\$ 391,718,709$, which is $2.1 \%$ of the actuarial accrued liability and within the range of reasonable expectations.
A brief summary of the demographic gain/(loss) experience of the LAFPP for the year ended June 30, 2014 is shown in the chart below.

## CHART 13

Experience Due to Changes in Demographics for Year Ended June 30, 2014

| 1. | Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives |
| :--- | ---: |
| 2. | Gain due to lower than expected COLA increases for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members |
| 3. Miscellaneous gain | $\$ 35,453,042$ |
| 4. | Net gain |

. Gain due to lower than expected salary increases for continuing actives
2. Gain due to lower than expected COLA increases for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members
\$391,718,709

## D. RECOMMENDED CONTRIBUTION

The amount of annual contribution required to fund the Plan is comprised of an employer normal cost payment and a payment on the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, separately for each Tier. The total amount is then divided by the projected payroll for active members to determine the contribution rate of $35.18 \%$ of payroll if paid at beginning of year.

## CHART 14 <br> Recommended Contribution

## Tier 1 Members

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount | \% of Payroll |
| \$0 | N/A | \$0 | N/A |
| $\underline{0}$ | N/A | $\underline{0}$ | N/A |
| 0 | N/A | 0 | N/A |
| 114,064,299 |  | 129,264,317 |  |
| -65,249,777 |  | -60,385,842 |  |
| 179,314,076 |  | 189,650,159 |  |
| 15,435,235 | N/A | 16,369,906 | N/A |
| 0 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| 15,435,235 | N/A | 16,369,906 | N/A |
| 15,481,817 | N/A | 16,420,898 | N/A |
| 16,003,592 | N/A | 16,992,404 | N/A |
| 0 |  | N/A |  |

## Tier 2 Members

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$1,689,850 | 25.42\% | \$1,697,365 | 25.53\% |
| -19,614 | -0.30\% | -15,956 | -0.24\% |
| 1,670,236 | 25.12\% | 1,681,409 | 25.29\% |
| 5,466,934,977 |  | 5,750,599,959 |  |
| 5,336,139,517 |  | 5,325,896,864 |  |
| 130,795,460 |  | 424,703,095 |  |
| 29,083,535 | 2.09\% | 46,471,998 | 3.34\% |
| 60,276 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 30,814,047 | N/A | 48,153,407 | N/A |
| 30,907,041 | N/A | 48,303,404 | N/A |
| 31,948,684 | N/A | 49,984,535 | N/A |
| 6,648,510 |  | N/A |  |

(1) UAAL rate is calculated using the City's total payroll of \$1,391,377,190
(2) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014

## CHART 14 <br> Recommended Contribution (Continued)

## Tier 3 Members

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

## Tier 4 Members

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$24,832,799 | 25.57\% | \$25,707,063 | 26.47\% |
| -8,707,801 | -8.97\% | -8,721,172 | -8.98\% |
| 16,124,998 | 16.60\% | 16,985,891 | 17.49\% |
| 941,455,784 |  | 885,747,303 |  |
| 778,005,144 |  | 697,379,467 |  |
| 163,450,640 |  | 188,367,836 |  |
| 25,667,507 | 1.84\% | 19,340,143 | 1.39\% |
| 880,485 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 42,672,990 | N/A | 36,326,034 | N/A |
| 42,801,773 | N/A | 36,439,189 | N/A |
| 44,244,298 | N/A | 37,707,403 | N/A |
| 97,117,729 |  | N/A |  |


| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$10,134,011 | 25.31\% | \$9,931,972 | 24.81\% |
| -3,190,997 | -7.97\% | -3,234,596 | -8.08\% |
| 6,943,014 | 17.34\% | 6,697,376 | 16.73\% |
| 486,428,955 |  | 457,533,164 |  |
| 363,378,216 |  | 331,958,922 |  |
| 123,050,739 |  | 125,574,242 |  |
| 13,480,767 | 0.97\% | 10,713,604 | 0.77\% |
| 362,938 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 20,786,719 | N/A | 17,410,980 | N/A |
| 20,849,451 | N/A | 17,465,215 | N/A |
| 21,552,129 | N/A | 18,073,067 | N/A |
| 40,032,133 |  | N/A |  |

(1) UAAL rate is calculated using the City's total payroll of \$1,391,377,190.
(2) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014

## CHART 14 <br> Recommended Contribution (Continued)

## Tier 5 Members (without Harbor Port Police)

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

## Tier 6 Members (without Harbor Port Police)

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$343,833,459 | 28.68\% | \$351,124,990 | 29.30\% |
| -114,384,290 | $\underline{-9.54 \%}$ | -114,684,852 | -9.57\% |
| 229,449,169 | 19.14\% | 236,440,138 | 19.73\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  | (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  |
| combined. See table |  | combined. See table |  |
| on the next page.) |  | on the next page.) |  |
| 126,885,623 | 10.59\% | 138,892,104 | 11.59\% |
| 10,864,700 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 367,199,492 | 30.64\% | 375,332,242 | 31.32\% |
| 368,307,665 | 30.73\% | 376,501,398 | 31.42\% |
| 380,720,537 | 31.77\% | 389,604,992 | 32.51\% |
| 1,198,378,807 |  | N/A |  |


| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$12,873,788 | 26.16\% | \$12,949,443 | 26.32\% |
| -5,219,797 | -10.61\% | -5,215,201 | -10.60\% |
| 7,653,991 | 15.55\% | 7,734,242 | 15.72\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  | (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  |
| combined. See table |  | combined. See table |  |
| on the next page.) |  | on the next page.) |  |
| 5,209,350 | 10.59\% | 5,702,281 | 11.59\% |
| 446,055 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 13,309,396 | 27.05\% | 13,436,523 | 27.31\% |
| 13,349,562 | 27.13\% | 13,478,378 | 27.40\% |
| 13,799,475 | 28.05\% | 13,947,473 | 28.35\% |
| 49,200,011 |  | N/A |  |

(1) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014

## CHART 14

## Recommended Contribution (Continued)

Combined Tiers 5 and 6 UAAL Contribution Rate Calculations for the the City
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability

Projected payroll used for developing combined UAAL rate

| June 30, 2014 |  |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 5 | Tier 6 | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  |
|  |  | Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$11,047,022,657 | \$12,901,427 | \$11,059,924,084 |  | \$10,367,138,459 |  |
|  |  | 9,229,523,594 |  | 8,332,485,531 |  |
|  |  | 1,830,400,490 |  | 2,034,652,928 |  |
|  |  | 132,094,973 | 10.59\% | 144,594,385 | 11.59\% |
| 1,198,378,807 | 49,200,011 | 1,247,578,818 |  | N/A |  |

## All Tiers Combined (without Harbor Port Police)

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$393,363,907 | 28.26\% | \$401,410,833 | 28.85\% |
| -131,522,499 | -9.45\% | -131,871,777 | -9.48\% |
| 261,841,408 | 18.81\% | 269,539,056 | 19.37\% |
| 18,068,808,099 |  | 17,590,283,202 |  |
| 15,641,796,694 |  | 14,627,334,942 |  |
| 2,427,011,405 |  | 2,962,948,260 |  |
| 215,762,017 | 15.51\% | 237,490,036 | 17.07\% |
| 12,614,454 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 490,217,879 | 35.23\% | 507,029,092 | 36.44\% |
| 491,697,309 | 35.34\% | 508,608,482 | 36.55\% |
| 508,268,715 | 36.53\% | 526,309,874 | 37.83\% |
| 1,391,377,190 |  | N/A |  |

(1) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## CHART 14 <br> Recommended Contribution (Continued)

## Harbor Port Police Tier 5

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

## Harbor Port Police Tier 6

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$3,311,219 | 29.81\% | \$3,383,878 | 30.47\% |
| -1,143,976 | -10.30\% | -1,140,546 | -10.27\% |
| 2,167,243 | 19.51\% | 2,243,332 | 20.20\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  | (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  |
| combined. See table |  | combined. See table |  |
| on the next page.) |  | on the next page.) |  |
| 886,208 | 7.98\% | 1,045,037 | 9.41\% |
| 100,685 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 3,154,136 | 28.40\% | 3,288,369 | 29.61\% |
| 3,163,654 | 28.49\% | 3,298,612 | 29.70\% |
| 3,270,277 | 29.45\% | 3,413,416 | 30.73\% |
| 11,105,605 |  | N/A |  |


| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$60,845 | 26.20\% | \$62,915 | 27.09\% |
| -24,640 | -10.61\% | -24,618 | -10.60\% |
| 36,205 | 15.59\% | 38,297 | 16.49\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  | (Tiers 5 and 6 are |  |
| combined. See table |  | combined. See table |  |
| on the next page.) |  | on the next page.) |  |
| 18,533 | 7.98\% | 21,854 | 9.41\% |
| 2,106 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 56,844 | 24.48\% | 60,151 | 25.90\% |
| 57,016 | 24.55\% | 60,338 | 25.98\% |
| 58,937 | 25.38\% | 62,438 | 26.88\% |
| 232,244 |  | N/A |  |

(1) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014

## CHART 14

## Recommended Contribution (Continued)

Combined Tiers 5 and 6 UAAL Contribution Rate Calculations for the

## Harbor Port Police

4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability

Projected payroll used for developing combined UAAL rate

| June 30, 2014 |  |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 5 | Tier 6 | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  |
|  |  | Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$45,406,707 | \$14,501 | \$45,421,208 |  | \$42,141,888 |  |
|  |  | 36,683,575 |  | 30,377,697 |  |
|  |  | 8,737,633 |  | 11,764,191 |  |
|  |  | 904,741 | 7.98\% | 1,066,891 | 9.41\% |
| 11,105,605 | 232,244 | 11,337,849 |  | N/A |  |

## Harbor Port Police Combined (Tiers 5 and 6)

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$3,372,064 | 29.74\% | \$3,446,793 | 30.40\% |
| -1,168,616 | -10.31\% | -1,165,164 | -10.28\% |
| 2,203,448 | 19.43\% | 2,281,629 | 20.12\% |
| 45,421,208 |  | 42,141,888 |  |
| 36,683,575 |  | 30,377,697 |  |
| 8,737,633 |  | 11,764,191 |  |
| 904,741 | 7.98\% | 1,066,891 | 9.41\% |
| 102,791 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 3,210,980 | 28.32\% | 3,348,520 | 29.53\% |
| 3,220,670 | 28.41\% | 3,358,950 | 29.63\% |
| 3,329,214 | 29.36\% | 3,475,854 | 30.66\% |
| 11,337,849 |  | N/A |  |

(1) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## CHART 14

## Recommended Contribution (Continued)

## All Tiers Combined

1. Total normal cost
2. Expected employee contributions, discounted to beginning of year
3. Employer normal cost: (1) + (2)
4. Actuarial accrued liability
5. Valuation value of assets
6. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
7. Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
8. Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 12.
9. Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
10. Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
11. Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
12. Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$396,735,971 | 28.28\% | \$404,857,626 | 28.85\% |
| -132,691,115 | -9.46\% | -133,036,941 | -9.48\% |
| 264,044,856 | 18.82\% | 271,820,685 | 19.37\% |
| 18,114,229,307 |  | 17,632,425,090 |  |
| 15,678,480,269 |  | 14,657,712,639 |  |
| 2,435,749,038 |  | 2,974,712,451 |  |
| 216,666,758 | 15.45\% | 238,556,927 | 17.01\% |
| 12,717,245 | 0.91\% | N/A | N/A |
| 493,428,859 | 35.18\% | 510,377,612 | 36.38\% |
| 494,917,979 | 35.28\% | 511,967,432 | 36.50\% |
| 511,597,929 | 36.47\% | 529,785,728 | 37.77\% |
| 1,402,715,039 |  | N/A |  |

(1) Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

The chart reconciles the contribution from the prior valuation to the amount determined in this valuation.

If paid by the City at the beginning of the year, the calculated normal cost is $18.82 \%$ payroll, and the explicit contribution rate for administrative expense is $0.91 \%$ of payroll. The remaining contribution of $15.45 \%$ of payroll will amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability over an equivalent single amortization period of about 13.8 years.

The contribution rates as of June 30, 2014 are based on all of the data described in the previous sections, the actuarial assumptions described in Section 4, and the Plan provisions adopted at the time of preparation of the actuarial valuation.

## Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution

The chart below details the changes in the recommended contribution from the prior valuation to the current year's valuation.

## CHART 15

Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution Rate from June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2014

| Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2013 (Assuming Payment at the Beginning of the Year) | $36.38 \% *$ |
| :--- | :---: |
| Effect of contributions less than recommended, due to the one-year delay between valuation and rate implementation | $0.35 \%$ |
| Effect of investment gain | $-0.83 \%$ |
| Effect of difference in actual versus expected salary increase | $-0.17 \%$ |
| Effect of amortizing prior year's UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll | $0.19 \%$ |
| Effect of lower than expected COLA increases for retirees, beneficiaries, and DROP members | $-1.45 \%$ |
| Effect of gain layers being fully amortized | $0.67 \%$ |
| Effect of other actuarial gains | $-0.22 \%$ |
| Effect of change in assumptions | $-0.65 \%$ |
| Effect of explicit loading for administrative expenses in contribution rates | $\underline{0.91 \%}$ |
| Total change | $\underline{\underline{1.20 \%}}$ |
| Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2014 (Assuming Payment at the Beginning of the Year) | $35.18 \%$ |
| Revised using payroll as of June 30, 2014. |  |

## E. FUNDED RATIO

A critical piece of information regarding the Plan's
financial status is the funded ratio. This ratio compares the actuarial value of assets to the actuarial accrued liabilities of the plan. High ratios indicate a well-funded plan with assets sufficient to pay most benefits. Lower ratios may indicate recent changes to benefit structures, funding of the plan below actuarial requirements, poor asset performance, or a variety of other changes.

The Chart below depicts a history of the funded ratio for the Plan.

This chart shows how the asset and liability volatility ratios have varied over time.

## F. VOLATILITY RATIOS

Retirement plans are subject to volatility in the level of required contributions. This volatility tends to increase as retirement plans become more mature.

The Asset Volatility Ratio (AVR), which is equal to the market value of retirement assets divided by total payroll, provides an indication of the potential contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. A higher AVR indicates that the plan is subject to a greater level of contribution volatility. This is a current measure since it is based on the current level of assets.

For LAFPP, the current AVR is about 12.1. This means that a $1 \%$ asset gain/(loss) (relative to the assumed investment return) translates to about 12.1\% of one-year's payroll. Since LAFPP amortizes actuarial gains and losses over a period of 20 years, there would be a $0.8 \%$ of payroll decrease/(increase) in the required contribution for each 1\% asset gain/(loss).

The Liability Volatility Ratio (LVR), which is equal to the Actuarial Accrued Liability divided by payroll, provides an indication of the longer-term potential for contribution volatility for any given level of investment volatility. This is because, over an extended period of time, the plan's assets should track the plan's liabilities. For example, if a plan is $50 \%$ funded on a market value basis, the liability volatility ratio would be double the asset volatility ratio and the plan sponsor should expect contribution volatility to increase over time as the plan becomes better funded.

The LVR also indicates how volatile contributions will be in response to changes in the Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actual experience or to changes in actuarial assumptions.

For LAFPP, the current LVR is about 12.9. This is about $7 \%$ higher than the AVR. Therefore, we would expect that contribution volatility will increase over the long-term.

## CHART 17 <br> Volatility Ratios for Years Ended June 30, 2009-2014

| Year Ended June 30 | Asset Volatility Ratio | Liability Volatility Ratio |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | 7.6 | 10.9 |
| 2010 | 8.5 | 11.4 |
| 2011 | 10.1 | 12.4 |
| 2012 | 9.9 | 12.7 |
| 2013 | 10.8 | 12.9 |
| 2014 | 12.1 | 12.9 |

## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
Total

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 13,097 | 13,224 | -1.0\% |
| Average age | 42.4 | 41.9 | N/A |
| Average service | 15.4 | 15.0 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$1,402,715,039 | \$1,367,236,866 | 2.6\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$107,102 | \$103,391 | 3.6\% |
| Account balances | \$1,732,229,015 | \$1,643,447,305 | 5.4\% |
| Total active vested members | 4,385 | 4,416 | -0.7\% |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number* | 131 | 133 | -1.5\% |
| Average age** | 46.3 | 46.2 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 ** | \$2,094 | \$2,130 | -1.7\% |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 7,955 | 7,904 | 0.6\% |
| Average age at retirement | 51.6 | 51.6 | N/A |
| Average age | 69.6 | 69.4 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$5,745 | \$5,662 | 1.5\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 2,088 | 2,136 | -2.2\% |
| Average age at retirement | 44.0 | 44.0 | N/A |
| Average age | 69.0 | 68.5 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,622 | \$4,594 | 0.6\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 2,459 | 2,392 | 2.8\% |
| Average age | 76.3 | 77.0 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,167 | \$4,059 | 2.7\% |

* Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
** Excludes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.


## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
i. Tier 1

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average service | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Projected average payroll | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Account balances | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total active vested members | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 90 | 104 | -13.5\% |
| Average age at retirement | 46.2 | 46.4 | N/A |
| Average age | 86.0 | 85.5 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$2,325 | \$2,331 | -0.3\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 85 | 98 | -13.3\% |
| Average age at retirement | 36.5 | 36.8 | N/A |
| Average age | 81.7 | 82.0 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$3,098 | \$2,993 | 3.5\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 323 | 353 | -8.5\% |
| Average age | 84.9 | 84.8 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$2,597 | \$2,578 | 0.7\% |

## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
ii. Tier 2

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 47 | 58 | -19.0\% |
| Average age | 57.9 | 56.8 | N/A |
| Average service | 34.8 | 33.7 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$6,648,510 | \$7,756,871 | -14.3\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$141,458 | \$133,739 | 5.8\% |
| Account balances | \$11,779,651 | \$14,075,264 | -16.3\% |
| Total active vested members | 47 | 58 | -19.0\% |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 4,703 | 4,886 | -3.7\% |
| Average age at retirement | 50.3 | 50.4 | N/A |
| Average age | 73.9 | 73.3 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,995 | \$4,971 | 0.5\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 1,589 | 1,635 | -2.8\% |
| Average age at retirement | 45.2 | 45.2 | N/A |
| Average age | 72.4 | 71.6 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,873 | \$4,851 | 0.5\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 1,875 | 1,836 | 2.1\% |
| Average age | 78.3 | 78.0 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,284 | \$4,243 | 1.0\% |

## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage

## iii. Tier 3

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 870 | 895 | -2.8\% |
| Average age | 48.0 | 47.1 | N/A |
| Average service | 20.2 | 19.2 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$97,117,729 | \$96,071,797 | 1.1\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$111,630 | \$107,343 | 4.0\% |
| Account balances | \$138,134,124 | \$130,445,439 | 5.9\% |
| Total active vested members | 868 | 892 | -2.7\% |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number* | 53 | 56 | -5.4\% |
| Average age** | 46.0 | 45.9 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 ** | \$1,842 | \$1,832 | 0.5\% |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 193 | 171 | 12.9\% |
| Average age at retirement | 52.8 | 52.5 | N/A |
| Average age | 60.2 | 60.0 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$2,823 | \$2,726 | 3.6\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 250 | 247 | 1.2\% |
| Average age at retirement | 39.7 | 39.7 | N/A |
| Average age | 55.1 | 54.3 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$3,496 | \$3,471 | 0.7\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 89 | 76 | 17.1\% |
| Average age | 50.7 | 53.5 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,066 | \$3,828 | 6.2\% |

* Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
** Excludes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.


## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage

## iv. Tier 4

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 350 | 363 | -3.6\% |
| Average age | 45.0 | 44.3 | N/A |
| Average service | 20.3 | 19.6 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$40,032,133 | \$39,933,959 | 0.2\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$114,378 | \$110,011 | 4.0\% |
| Account balances | \$57,902,656 | \$56,473,797 | 2.5\% |
| Total active vested members | 157 | 166 | -5.4\% |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 179 | 171 | 4.7\% |
| Average age at retirement | 46.1 | 45.9 | N/A |
| Average age | 53.6 | 52.6 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,597 | \$4,484 | 2.5\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 44 | 42 | 4.8\% |
| Average age at retirement | 42.1 | 42.0 | N/A |
| Average age | 52.5 | 51.8 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,509 | \$4,527 | -0.4\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 4 | 3 | 33.3\% |
| Average age | 36.4 | 50.6 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$8,518 | \$7,830 | 8.8\% |

## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
v. Tier 5 (without Harbor Port Police)

|  | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From <br> Crior Year |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Category | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |  |
| Active members in valuation: | 10,923 |  |  |
| Number | 42.9 | 11,240 | $-2.8 \%$ |
| Average age | 15.9 | 42.2 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average service | $\$ 1,198,378,807$ | $\$ 1,181,501,190$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Projected total payroll | $\$ 109,712$ | $\$ 105,116$ | $1.4 \%$ |
| Projected average payroll | $\$ 1,511,270,941$ | $\$ 1,433,703,589$ | $4.4 \%$ |
| Account balances | 3,308 | 3,294 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Total active vested members |  |  | $0.4 \%$ |


| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\quad$ Number* | 52 | 64 | $-18.8 \%$ |
| Average age** | 48.4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Average monthly benefit at age $50^{* *}$ | $\$ 3,819$ | -78.5 | $-7,144$ |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 2,780 | $8.4 \%$ |  |
| Average age at retirement | 54.3 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Average age | 63.4 | 54.4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | $\$ 7,401$ | 62.9 | $\$ 7,385$ |


| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number in pay status | 118 | 112 | $5.4 \%$ |
| Average age at retirement | 43.6 | 43.6 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average age | 50.2 | 49.4 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | $\$ 4,760$ | $\$ 4,752$ | $0.2 \%$ |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 168 | 124 | $35.5 \%$ |
| Average age | 52.6 | 54.7 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | $\$ 5,839$ | $\$ 5,604$ | $4.2 \%$ |

* Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
** Excludes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.


## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
vi. Tier 6 (without Harbor Port Police)

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 798 | 560 | 42.5\% |
| Average age | 27.7 | 27.4 | N/A |
| Average service | 1.1 | 0.7 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$49,200,011 | \$30,876,846 | 59.3\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$61,654 | \$55,137 | 11.8\% |
| Account balances | \$5,614,899 | \$2,386,378 | 135.3\% |
| Total active vested members | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number* | 25 | 12 | 108.3\% |
| Average age** | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 ** | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |


| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Average age | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N |  |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | N | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Beneficiaries: |  | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |
| Number in pay status | 0 | N |  |
| Average age | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |  |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}$ |

* Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
** Excludes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.


## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
vii. Harbor Port Police (Tier 5)

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 105 | 106 | -0.9\% |
| Average age | 38.5 | 37.9 | N/A |
| Average service | 8.9 | 8.3 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$11,105,605 | \$10,965,241 | 1.3\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$105,768 | \$103,446 | 2.2\% |
| Account balances | \$7,494,811 | \$6,345,443 | 18.1\% |
| Total active vested members | 5 | 6 | -16.7\% |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number* | 1 | 1 | 0.0\% |
| Average age** | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 ** | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 10 | 8 | 25.0\% |
| Average age at retirement | 55.1 | 55.1 | N/A |
| Average age | 59.4 | 58.5 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$5,940 | \$6,477 | -8.3\% |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 2 | 2 | 0.0\% |
| Average age at retirement | 40.1 | 40.1 | N/A |
| Average age | 49.1 | 48.1 | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | \$4,910 | \$4,885 | 0.5\% |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

[^2]
## EXHIBIT A

Table of Plan Coverage
viii. Harbor Port Police (Tier 6)

| Category | Year Ended June 30 |  | Change From Prior Year |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 | 2013 |  |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |  |
| Number | 4 | 2 | 100.0\% |
| Average age | 28.7 | 30.9 | N/A |
| Average service | 0.3 | 0.2 | N/A |
| Projected total payroll | \$232,244 | \$130,962 | 77.3\% |
| Projected average payroll | \$58,061 | \$65,481 | -11.3\% |
| Account balances | \$31,933 | \$17,396 | 83.6\% |
| Total active vested members | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |  |
| Number | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit at age 50 | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Retired members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Disabled members: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age at retirement | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |  |
| Number in pay status | 0 | 0 | N/A |
| Average age | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Average monthly benefit (includes July COLA) | N/A | N/A | N/A |

## EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
Total

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | 243 | 243 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$57,140 | \$57,140 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | 1,142 | 671 | 471 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 81,606 | 70,862 | \$96,913 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | 1,852 | 332 | 1,312 | 208 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 95,731 | 77,572 | 98,958 | \$104,359 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - |
| 35-39 | 2,005 | 99 | 751 | 935 | 220 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 102,722 | 79,829 | 98,933 | 106,343 | \$110,568 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 2,477 | 40 | 274 | 701 | 1,329 | 133 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 109,590 | 78,399 | 98,142 | 106,891 | 113,225 | \$120,455 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 2,337 | 14 | 99 | 241 | 833 | 785 | 365 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 115,536 | 78,818 | 97,358 | 107,210 | 112,556 | 120,069 | \$124,423 | -- | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 1,947 | 5 | 21 | 57 | 273 | 476 | 845 | 269 | 1 | -- |
|  | 120,775 | 81,489 | 103,882 | 106,738 | 111,021 | 118,268 | 124,060 | \$129,734 | \$142,858 | -- |
| 55-59 | 881 | -- | 2 | 8 | 83 | 108 | 291 | 329 | 60 | -- |
|  | 124,406 | -- | 100,901 | 110,394 | 109,614 | 117,258 | 122,080 | 128,854 | 147,268 | -- |
| 60-64 | 190 | -- | -- | 1 | 17 | 7 | 60 | 66 | 36 | 3 |
|  | 123,822 | -- | -- | 118,459 | 109,334 | 121,914 | 118,116 | 123,942 | 139,840 | \$131,398 |
| 65-69 | 18 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 2 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
|  | 119,577 | -- | -- | -- | 110,346 | 125,003 | 119,908 | 102,926 | 137,043 | 115,007 |
| 70 \& over | 5 | 1 | -- | -- | 2 | -- | 1 | - |  | 1 |
|  | 98,720 | 49,869 | -- | -- | 111,173 | - | 102,925 | - | -- | 118,459 |
| Total | 13,097 | 1,405 | 2,930 | 2,151 | 2,760 | 1,511 | 1,569 | 666 | 100 | 5 |
|  | \$107,102 | \$71,023 | \$98,529 | \$106,458 | \$112,456 | \$119,350 | \$123,518 | \$128,645 | \$144,243 | \$125,532 |

EXHIBIT B
Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
i. Tier 1

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | $30-34$ | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | -- | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
|  | $\cdots$ | -- | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - |
| 30-34 | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | $\cdots$ |
|  | - | - |  | - | - | - |  |  | -- |  |
| 35-39 | -- | - |  | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |  | $\cdots$ | - | -- |
| $40-44$ |  | $\because$ |  | - | -- | $\cdots$ | - | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | - | -- |  | -- | - | - | - | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | - | -- | - | -- | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
|  | - | -- |  | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | $\cdots$ | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 60-64 | - | -- | - | -- | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- |
|  | $\cdots$ | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- |
| 65-69 | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\because$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ |
| 70 \& over | - | -- | - | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | $\cdots$ | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | $\cdots$ | - |
| Total |  | $\cdots$ |  | -- | - | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | $\cdots$ | -- |  |

EXHIBIT B
Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
ii. Tier 2

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | - | -- | -- |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 7 | - | -- |
|  | \$124,342 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$124,342 | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | $31$ | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | $25$ | 6 | -- |
|  | 151,675 | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- | 150,348 | \$157,204 | -- |
| 60-64 | 7 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 4 | -- |
|  | 116,856 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 108,506 | 123,120 | -- |
| 65-69 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- |
|  | 139,733 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 139,733 | -- |
| 70 \& over | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $1$ |
|  | 118,459 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$118,459 |
| Total | $47$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | $35$ | $11$ | $1$ |
|  | $\$ 141,458$ | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | \$141,561 | \$143,221 | \$118,459 |

## EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
iii. Tier 3

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | -- | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- |
|  | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | - | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | 22 | -- | -- | 1 | 21 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- |
|  | \$108,972 | -- | -- | \$103,152 | \$109,250 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 270 | -- | -- | 2 | 245 | 23 | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 110,212 | -- | -- | 97,616 | 109,875 | \$114,893 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 309 | -- | -- | 2 | 163 | $113$ | 31 | - | -- | -- |
|  | 112,321 | - | -- | 98,010 | 109,272 | 116,158 | \$115,286 | - | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 174 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 52 | 64 | 52 | 3 | -- | -- |
|  | 113,189 | \$91,023 | \$93,409 | 95,968 | 111,890 | 113,339 | 114,759 | \$125,044 | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | 68 | -- | - - | -- | 34 | 19 | 13 | 2 | -- | -- |
|  | 110,649 | -- | -- | -- | 107,765 | 112,209 | 116,640 | 105,919 | -- | -- |
| 60-64 | 19 | -- | -- | -- | 8 | 5 | 6 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 112,135 | - | -- | -- | 105,480 | 119,960 | 114,488 | - | -- | -- |
| 65-69 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | 3 | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 116,209 | -- | -- | -- | 110,346 | 147,080 | 102,925 | - | -- | -- |
| 70 \& over | 3 | -- | -- | -- | 2 | -- | 1 | - | -- | -- |
|  | 108,424 | -- | -- | -- | 111,173 | -- | 102,925 | - | -- | -- |
| Total | 870 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 528 | 225 | 104 | 5 | -- | -- |
|  | \$111,630 | \$91,023 | \$93,409 | \$98,395 | \$109,668 | \$115,115 | \$114,908 | \$117,394 | -- | -- |

EXHIBIT B
Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
iv. Tier 4

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | - - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | - - | -- | -- | -- | - - | -- | - | - | -- | -- |
|  | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | -- | - | - | -- | -- | - | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | - - | -- | -- | - - |
| 35-39 | 103 | -- | -- | 80 | 23 | -- | -- | - | - | -- |
|  | \$105,164 | -- | -- | \$104,745 | \$106,620 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 71 | -- | -- | 32 | 35 | 4 | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 107,785 | - | -- | 104,256 | 109,055 | \$124,917 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 87 | - | -- | 10 | 6 | 27 | 44 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 122,100 | -- | -- | 108,715 | 107,525 | 122,512 | \$126,877 | - | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 77 | - | -- | 3 | 4 | 1 | 48 | 21 | -- | -- |
|  | 120,620 | -- | -- | 107,819 | 105,382 | 123,790 | 120,186 | \$126,193 | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | 11 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 10 | -- | -- |
|  | 137,136 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | 118,459 | 139,004 | -- | -- |
| 60-64 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 1 | -- | -- |
|  | 128,519 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | 128,519 | -- | -- |
| 65-69 | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  |  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 70 \& over | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 350 | -- | -- | 125 | 68 | 32 | 93 | 32 | -- | -- |
|  | \$114,378 | -- | -- | \$105,011 | \$107,880 | \$122,853 | \$123,333 | \$130,269 | -- | -- |

## EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
v. Tier 5 (without Harbor Port Police)

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | 5 | 5 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$65,631 | \$65,631 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | 747 | 283 | 464 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 91,097 | 81,514 | \$96,941 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | 1,694 | 211 | 1,277 | 206 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 97,909 | 85,660 | 98,929 | \$104,129 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | 1,821 | 63 | 728 | 854 | 176 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 103,317 | 87,748 | 99,020 | 106,496 | \$111,241 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 2,106 | 31 | 261 | 660 | 1,048 | 106 | -- | -- | -- | - |
|  | 109,845 | 83,671 | 98,020 | 107,059 | 114,140 | \$121,493 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 1,927 | 10 | 96 | 227 | 660 | 644 | 290 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 115,857 | 80,217 | 97,356 | 107,199 | 113,384 | 120,626 | \$125,027 | -- | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 1,680 | 3 | 17 | 51 | 216 | 411 | 743 | 238 | 1 | -- |
|  | 121,508 | 86,515 | 95,844 | 105,995 | 110,967 | 119,023 | 124,907 | \$130,264 | \$142,858 | -- |
| 55-59 | 768 | - | 2 | 8 | 48 | 88 | 276 | 292 | 54 | -- |
|  | 124,218 | -- | 100,901 | 110,394 | 110,420 | 118,160 | 122,068 | 126,823 | 146,164 | -- |
| 60-64 | 163 | -- | -- | 1 | 9 | 2 | 54 | 62 | 32 | 3 |
|  | 125,454 | -- | -- | 118,459 | 112,761 | 126,799 | 118,520 | 124,615 | 141,930 | \$131,398 |
| 65-69 | 12 | -- | -- |  | - - | 1 | 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
|  | 119,301 | -- | -- | -- | -- | 102,925 | 122,739 | 102,926 | 135,697 | 115,007 |
| 70 \& over | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- |
|  | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| Total | $10,923$ | $606$ | $2,845$ | $2,007$ | $2,157$ | $1,252$ | $1,369$ | $594$ | 89 | 4 |
|  | $\$ 109,712$ | \$83,588 | \$98,475 | \$106,527 | \$113,266 | \$119,996 | \$124,099 | \$127,891 | \$144,369 | \$127,301 |

## EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
vi. Tier 6 (without Harbor Port Police)

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | 237 | 237 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$56,996 | \$56,996 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | 386 | 386 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 63,167 | 63,167 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - |
| 30-34 | 122 | 121 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 63,689 | 63,470 | \$90,186 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | 35 | 34 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 66,235 | 65,265 | 99,236 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 11 | 9 | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 67,436 | 60,241 | 99,236 | \$100,391 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 5 | 4 | 1 | - | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 74,507 | 75,323 | 71,242 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | - - |
|  | 56,877 | 56,877 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | - | - - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | - | - | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 60-64 | -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 65-69 | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 70 \& over | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 49,869 | 49,869 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| Total | $798$ | $793$ | 4 | 1 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$61,654 | \$61,462 | \$89,975 | \$100,391 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - - |

## EXHIBIT B

Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
vii. Harbor Port Police (Tier 5)

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | 7 | -- | 7 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$95,031 | -- | \$95,031 | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | 36 | -- | 34 | 2 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 101,840 | -- | 100,299 | \$128,034 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | 23 | 1 | 22 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 94,924 | \$69,658 | 96,072 | -- | - | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | 19 |  | 12 | 6 | 1 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 103,663 | -- | 100,704 | 106,620 | \$121,421 | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | 9 | - | 2 | 2 | 4 | 1 | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 116,395 | - | 110,532 | 110,122 | 117,220 | \$137,369 | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | 8 | -- | 3 | 2 | 1 | -- | 2 | - | -- | -- |
|  | 138,276 | -- | 152,926 | 129,441 | 100,108 | -- | \$144,219 | -- | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | 3 | -- | -- | -- | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | -- | -- |
|  | 155,855 | -- | -- | -- | 133,796 | 133,796 | 199,973 | -- | -- | -- |
| 60-64 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 65-69 | - | -- |  | - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 70 \& over | -- | - | -- | - | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | - | , | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 105 | 1 | 80 | 12 | 7 | 2 | 3 | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$105,768 | \$69,658 | \$100,966 | \$114,576 | \$117,743 | \$135,583 | \$162,803 | -- | -- | -- |

EXHIBIT B
Members in Active Service and Projected Average Payroll as of June 30, 2014
By Age and Years of Service
viii. Harbor Port Police (Tier 6)

| Age | Years of Service |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total | 0-4 | 5-9 | 10-14 | 15-19 | 20-24 | 25-29 | 30-34 | 35-39 | 40 \& over |
| Under 25 | 1 | 1 | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$48,660 | \$48,660 | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 25-29 | 2 | 2 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
|  | 48,660 | 48,660 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | - | -- | -- |
| 30-34 | - - | - - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 35-39 | 1 | 1 | -- | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | 86,265 | 86,265 | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 40-44 | -- | - - | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 45-49 | - | -- | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 50-54 | - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 55-59 | - | - | -- | -- | - | - | - | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 60-64 | - | -- | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 65-69 | - | - | -- | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| 70 \& over | - | - | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
| Total | 4 | 4 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |
|  | \$58,061 | \$58,061 | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- | -- |

## EXHIBIT C

Reconciliation of Member Data

|  | Active Members * | Vested Former Members** | Disableds | Retired Members | Beneficiaries | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number as of June 30, 2013 | 13,224 | 133 | 2,136 | 7,904 | 2,392 | 25,789 |
| New members | 289 | N/A | N/A | N/A | 201 | 490 |
| Terminations - with vested rights | -61 | 61 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Refund of member contributions | -69 | -52 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -121 |
| Retirements | -261 | -10 | N/A | 271 | N/A | 0 |
| New disabilities | -10 | 0 | 11 | -1 | N/A | 0 |
| Died with or without beneficiary | -17 | 0 | -61 | -220 | -131 | -429 |
| Rehired | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | N/A | 0 |
| Certain period expired | N/A | N/A | 0 | 0 | -3 | -3 |
| Data adjustments | -1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 4 |
| Number as of June 30, 2014 | 13,097 | 131 | 2,088 | 7,955 | 2,459 | 25,730 |

[^3]** Includes 71 and 76 terminated members due only a refund of member contributions as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014, respectively.

## EXHIBIT D

## Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for All Retirement and Health Subsidy

 Benefits Assets|  | Year Ended June 30, 2014 | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution income: |  |  |
| Employer contributions | \$578,805,107 | \$508,387,283 |
| Employee contributions | 124,394,889 | 121,777,655 |
| Contribution income | \$703,199,996 | \$630,164,938 |
| Investment income: |  |  |
| Interest, dividends and other income | \$1,325,729,463 | \$910,081,993 |
| Recognition of capital appreciation | 227,580,333 | -13,657,580 |
| Less investment and administrative fees | -84,910,347 | -68,633,794 |
| Net investment income | 1,468,399,449 | 827,790,619 |
| Total income available for benefits | \$2,171,599,445 | \$1,457,955,557 |
| Less benefit payments | -\$963,356,954 | -\$966,118,502 |
| Change in reserve for future benefits | \$1,208,242,491 | \$491,837,055 |

## EXHIBIT E

Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash equivalents |  | \$1,449,555 |  | \$6,698,082 |
| Accounts receivable: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest and dividends | \$58,230,583 |  | \$50,434,963 |  |
| Contributions | 6,109,845 |  | 5,490,442 |  |
| Due from brokers | 265,172,222 |  | 387,602,407 |  |
| Total accounts receivable |  | 329,512,650 |  | 443,527,812 |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Equities | \$12,691,081,303 |  | \$9,730,129,629 |  |
| Fixed income investments | 4,311,621,954 |  | 5,027,650,664 |  |
| Real estate | 1,419,813,952 |  | 1,231,595,540 |  |
| Total investments at market value |  | 18,422,517,209 |  | 15,989,375,833 |
| Total assets |  | \$18,753,479,414 |  | \$16,439,601,727 |
| Less accounts payable: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and benefits in process | -\$28,597,595 |  | -\$33,329,259 |  |
| Due to brokers | -265,350,594 |  | -465,475,707 |  |
| Mortgage payable | -168,520,538 |  | -192,425,131 |  |
| Total accounts payable |  | -\$462,468,727 |  | -\$691,230,097 |
| Net assets at market value |  | \$18,291,010,687 |  | \$15,748,371,630* |
| Net assets at actuarial value |  | \$16,879,354,713 |  | \$15,671,112,222 |
| Net assets at valuation value (retirement benefits) |  | \$15,678,480,269 |  | \$14,657,712,639 |

* Based on unaudited market value of assets. Subsequent to the June 30, 2013 valuation, the market value of assets was changed to $\$ 15,757,024,051$.


## EXHIBIT F

Development of the Fund Through June 30, 2014 for All Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits Assets

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Employer <br> Contributions | Employee <br> Contributions | Net <br> Investment <br> Return | (1) | Benefit <br> Payments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | | Actuarial Value of <br> Assets at <br> End of Year |
| :---: |
| 2007 |
| 2008 |

[^4]
## EXHIBIT G

Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for Year Ended June 30, 2014

| 1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year | \$2,974,712,451 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Total normal cost at beginning of year | 395,002,367 |
| 3. Actual employer and member contributions | -565,093,149 |
| 4. Interest | 223,601,569 |
| 5. Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}:(1)+(2)+(3)+(4)$ | 3,028,223,238 |
| 6 Actuarial (gain)/loss due to all changes: |  |
| (a) Investment gain | -\$174,422,187 |
| (b) Salary increases less than expected | -35,453,042 |
| (c) COLA increases less than expected for retirees, beneficiaries and DROP members | -303,912,559 |
| (d) Other experience gain ${ }^{(2)}$ | -52,353,108 |
| (e) Assumption changes | $\underline{-26,333,304}$ |
| (f) Total changes | -\$592,474,200 |
| 7. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year: (5) + (6f) | \$2,435,749,038 |
| Includes a contribution loss of about $\$ 73$ million due to one-year delay in implementation of the contribution rates determined in the June 30, 2013 valuation. |  |
| ${ }^{(2)}$ Includes a gain of about $\$ 10$ million from more than expected deaths among retirees. |  |

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases

Tier 1

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{\$ 179,314,076}$ | 23 | $\$ 15,435,235$ |
| Total | $\$ 179,314,076$ | $\$ 15,435,235$ |  |  |

Tier 2

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{2 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-\$ 701,955,133$ | 23 | $-\$ 42,883,491$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2009$ | $47,423,434$ | 10 | $5,479,238$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $194,086,526$ | 11 | $20,706,271$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $1,544,956$ | 23 | 94,384 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $192,733,308$ | 12 | $19,142,885$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $360,480,091$ | 23 | $22,022,269$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $240,241,978$ | 18 | $17,425,296$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $74,323,849$ | 19 | $5,183,665$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-212,930,921$ | 20 | $-14,318,277$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{-65,152,628}$ | 25 | $\underline{-3,768,705}$ |
| Total | $\$ 130,795,460$ | $\$ 29,083,535$ |  |  |

(1) Level dollar amortization.
(2) Level percentage of payroll amortization.

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Tier 3

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1989 | -\$9,661,597 | 5 | -\$2,062,308 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1990 | 189,199 | 6 | 34,199 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1990 | -4,250,177 | 6 | -768,245 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1992 | 1,950,771 | 8 | 273,012 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1995 | -18,833,470 | 11 | -2,009,263 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1996 | 2,723,813 | 12 | 270,538 |
| Asset Method Change | 06/30/1996 | -17,607,520 | 12 | -1,748,835 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1998 | 5,635,554 | 14 | 494,752 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1998 | 9,478,382 | 14 | 832,119 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2000 | -8,250,078 | 1 | -8,250,078 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/2000 | 1,019,614 | 16 | 80,739 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2001 | -12,322,378 | 2 | -6,263,147 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2001 | -31,938,689 | 17 | -2,416,431 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2002 | 46,647,325 | 3 | 16,066,527 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2003 | 79,087,961 | 4 | 20,764,225 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2004 | 6,110,042 | 5 | 1,304,214 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2004 | -10,027,960 | 20 | -674,318 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2005 | 14,619,806 | 6 | 2,642,619 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2005 | 31,862,974 | 21 | 2,070,761 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2006 | 12,136,216 | 7 | 1,910,555 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2006 | 33,730,235 | 22 | 2,123,253 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2007 | -20,790,857 | 14 | -1,825,255 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2007 | -5,708,260 | 23 | -348,726 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2008 | -16,680,597 | 11 | -1,779,582 |

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Tier 3 (continued)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2008$ | $8,992,962$ | 24 | 534,152 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2009$ | $9,014,038$ | 10 | $1,041,469$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $1,975,026$ | 11 | 210,707 |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $28,145,836$ | 26 | $1,587,923$ |
| Plan Amendment ${ }^{(2)}$ | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $-19,187$ | 27 | $-1,057$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $1,039,513$ | 12 | 103,248 |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $27,214,114$ | 27 | $1,499,578$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $11,065,580$ | 18 | 802,612 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $6,042,333$ | 19 | 421,418 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-15,610,972$ | 20 | $-1,049,741$ |
| Assumption Change | $-3,528,915$ | 25 | $\underline{-204,127}$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 163,450,640$ |  | $\$ 25,667,507$ |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.
${ }^{(2)}$ Gain due to new retirees from non-DROP status and new DROP members during 7/1/2011 - 7/14/2011.

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

## Tier 4

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1989 | -\$3,786,793 | 5 | -\$808,306 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1990 | 74,156 | 6 | 13,404 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1990 | -1,665,825 | 6 | -301,108 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1992 | 764,590 | 8 | 107,005 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1995 | -7,381,639 | 11 | -787,516 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1996 | 1,067,580 | 12 | 106,035 |
| Asset Method Change | 06/30/1996 | -6,901,140 | 12 | -685,443 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/1998 | 2,208,816 | 14 | 193,915 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/1998 | 3,714,985 | 14 | 326,143 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2000 | -3,233,557 | 1 | -3,233,557 |
| Plan Amendment | 06/30/2000 | 397,304 | 16 | 31,461 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2001 | -2,849,150 | 2 | -1,448,149 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2001 | -5,345,721 | 17 | -404,449 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2002 | 7,859,574 | 3 | 2,707,037 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2003 | 31,123,024 | 4 | 8,171,225 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2004 | 6,135,985 | 5 | 1,309,751 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2004 | -6,018,780 | 20 | -404,725 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2005 | 8,961,946 | 6 | 1,619,926 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2005 | 16,406,629 | 21 | 1,066,260 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2006 | 4,487,074 | 7 | 706,382 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2006 | 16,740,595 | 22 | 1,053,788 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2007 | -8,865,026 | 14 | -778,272 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2007 | -3,424,061 | 23 | -209,181 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2008 | -4,039,199 | 11 | -430,925 |

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Tier 4 (continued)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2008$ | $11,863,870$ | 24 | 704,675 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2009$ | $10,581,578$ | 10 | $1,222,581$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $4,415,146$ | 11 | 471,033 |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $14,018,111$ | 26 | 790,869 |
| Plan Amendment ${ }^{(2)}$ | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $1,577,023$ | 27 | 86,899 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $5,568,306$ | 12 | 553,062 |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $13,561,124$ | 27 | 747,258 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $9,447,777$ | 18 | 685,269 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-11,060,872$ | 19 |
| Experience Gain | $\underline{9,988,189}$ | 20 | 464,436 |  |
| Assumption Change | $\$ 123,050,739$ | 25 | $-743,775$ |  |
| Total |  |  | 577,759 |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.
${ }^{(2)}$ Loss due to new retirees from non-DROP status and new DROP members during 7/1/2011 - 7/14/2011.

## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Tiers 5 and 6 (without Harbor Port Police)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(1)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Original Base | 06/30/2002 | -\$175,882,413 | 18 | -\$12,757,150 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2003 | -163,961,605 | 4 | -43,047,459 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2004 | 64,399,150 | 5 | 13,746,264 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2004 | -279,149,834 | 20 | -18,771,085 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2005 | 163,652,740 | 6 | 29,581,227 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2005 | 492,212,399 | 21 | 31,988,667 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2006 | 47,379,685 | 7 | 7,458,789 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2006 | 334,987,917 | 22 | 21,086,841 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2007 | -199,599,675 | 14 | -17,523,104 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2007 | -80,909,895 | 23 | -4,942,907 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2008 | -72,436,689 | 11 | -7,727,964 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2008 | 349,969,643 | 24 | 20,787,046 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2009 | 317,018,052 | 10 | 36,627,824 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2010 | 191,187,217 | 11 | 20,396,956 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2010 | 300,618,113 | 26 | 16,960,174 |
| Plan Amendment ${ }^{(2)}$ | 06/30/2011 | 6,054,000 | 27 | 333,593 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2011 | 118,821,124 | 12 | 11,801,692 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2011 | 260,100,716 | 27 | 14,332,314 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2012 | 250,482,242 | 18 | 18,168,045 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2013 | 115,978,456 | 19 | 8,088,836 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2014 | -246,417,577 | 20 | -16,570,045 |
| Assumption Change | 06/30/2014 | 35,896,722 | 25 | 2,076,419 |
| Total |  | \$1,830,400,490 |  | \$132,094,973 |
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## EXHIBIT H

Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Harbor Port Police (Tiers 5 and 6)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{\mathbf{( 1 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2008$ | $-\$ 154,206$ | 11 | $-\$ 16,452$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2008$ | 141,516 | 24 | 8,406 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2009$ | $5,846,183$ | 10 | 675,460 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $1,604,988$ | 11 | 171,229 |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2010$ | $1,129,872$ | 26 | 63,745 |
| Plan Amendment ${ }^{(2)}$ | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | 43,816 | 27 | 2,414 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | $-424,718$ | 12 | $-42,184$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2011$ | 781,521 | 27 | 43,064 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $1,321,681$ | 18 | 95,865 |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $1,259,768$ | 19 | 87,862 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-2,336,763$ | 20 | $-157,133$ |
| Assumption Change | $-476,026$ | 25 | $\underline{-27,535}$ |  |
| Total | $\$ 8,737,633$ | $\$ 904,741$ |  |  |

(1) Level percentage of payroll amortization.
${ }^{(2)}$ Loss due to new retirees from non-DROP status and new DROP members during 7/1/2011 - 7/14/2011.

## EXHIBIT I <br> Section 415 Limitations

Section 415 of the Internal Revenue Code (IRC) specifies the maximum benefits that may be paid to an individual from a defined benefit plan and the maximum amounts that may be allocated each year to an individual's account in a defined contribution plan.

A qualified pension plan may not pay benefits in excess of the Section 415 limits. The ultimate penalty for noncompliance is disqualification: active participants could be taxed on their vested benefits and the IRS may seek to tax the income earned on the plan's assets.

In particular, Section 415(b) of the IRC limits the maximum annual benefit payable at the Normal Retirement Age to a dollar limit of $\$ 160,000$ indexed for inflation. That limit is $\$ 210,000$ for 2014 and 2015. Normal Retirement Age for these purposes is age 62. These are the limits in simplified terms. They must be adjusted based on each participant's circumstances, for such things as age at retirement, form of benefits chosen and after tax contributions.

Benefits in excess of the limits may be paid through a qualified governmental excess plan that meets the requirements of Section 415(m).
Legal Counsel's review and interpretation of the law and regulations should be sought on any questions in this regard.

## EXHIBIT J <br> Definitions of Pension Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

| Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions: | The estimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including: |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (a) Investment return - the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over |
|  | (b) Mortality rates - the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates; |
|  | (c) Retirement rates - the rate or probability of retirement at a given age; and |
|  | (d) Turnover rates - the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement. |
| Normal Cost: | The amount of contributions required to fund the cost of benefits allocated to the current year of service. |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability For Actives: | The equivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the valuation date. |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability For Pensioners: | The single sum value of lifetime benefits to existing pensioners. This sum takes account of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the pensioners and the interest that the sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits. |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued |  |
| Liability: | The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued liability, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period of time. |

## Amortization of the Unfunded

Actuarial Accrued Liability: Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

## Investment Return:

The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and market gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the market gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next.

## EXHIBIT I

## Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results

| The valuation was made with respect to the following data supplied to us: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. Retired members as of the valuation date (including 2,459 beneficiaries in pay status) |  | 12,502 |
| 2. Members inactive during year ended June 30,2014 with vested rights ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 131 |
| 3. Members active during the year ended June 30, 2014 |  | 13,097 |
| Fully vested | 4,385 |  |
| Not vested | 8,712 |  |
| The actuarial factors as of the valuation date are as follows: <br> Assets |  |  |
| 1. Valuation value of retirement assets $\left(\$ 18,291,010,687\right.$ at market value ${ }^{(2)}$ as reported by LAFPP and $\$ 16,879,354,713$ at actuarial value ${ }^{(2)}$ ) |  | \$15,678,480,269 |
| 2. Present value of future normal costs |  |  |
| Employee | \$1,366,635,351 |  |
| Employer | 2,760,973,038 |  |
| Total |  | \$4,127,608,389 |
| 3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability |  | 2,435,749,038 |
| 4. Present value of current and future assets |  | \$22,241,837,696 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| 5. Present value of future benefits |  |  |
| Retired members and beneficiaries | \$9,932,576,305 |  |
| Inactive members with vested rights | 23,465,196 |  |
| Active members | 12,285,796,195 |  |
| Total |  | \$22,241,837,696 |
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## EXHIBIT I (continued) <br> Summary of Actuarial Valuation Results

| 1. Total normal cost | \$396,735,971 |
| :---: | :---: |
| 2. Expected employee contributions | -132,691,115 |
| 3. Employer normal cost: $(1)+(2)$ | \$264,044,856 |
| 4. Payment on unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 216,666,758 |
| 5. Payment for administrative expenses | 12,717,245 |
| 6. Total recommended contribution: (3) + (4) + (5), payable beginning of year | \$493,428,859 |
| 7. Total recommended contribution: adjusted for July 15 payment | \$494,917,979 |
| 8. Total recommended contribution: adjusted for biweekly payment | \$511,597,929 |
| 9. Projected payroll | \$1,402,715,039 |
| 10. Item 6 as a percentage of projected payroll: (6) $\div(9)$ | 35.18\% |
| 11. Item 7 as a percentage of projected payroll: (7) $\div(9)$ | 35.28\% |
| 12. Item 8 as a percentage of projected payroll: (8) $\div(9)$ | 36.47\% |

## EXHIBIT II

## Schedule of Employer Contributions

| Retirement Benefits |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Plan Year <br> Ended June 30 | Annual Required <br> Contributions | Actual <br> Contributions | Percentage <br> Contributed |
| 2009 | $\$ 238,697,929$ | $\$ 238,697,929$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2010 | $250,516,858$ | $250,516,858$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2011 | $277,092,251$ | $277,092,251$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2012 | $321,593,433$ | $321,593,433$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2013 | $375,448,092$ | $375,448,092$ | $100.00 \%$ |
| 2014 | $440,698,260$ | $440,698,260$ | $100.00 \%$ |

## EXHIBIT III

Schedule of Funding Progress

| Retirement Benefits (Dollar Amounts in Thousands) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Valuation Date | Valuation Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) <br> (b) | Unfundedl (Overfunded) AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a) | Funded Ratio <br> (a) I (b) | Covered Payroll (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll $[(b)-(a)] /(c)$ |
| 06/30/2008 | \$14,153,296 | \$14,279,116 | \$125,820 | 99.1\% | \$1,206,589 | 10.4\% |
| 06/30/2009 | 14,256,611 | 14,817,146 | 560,535 | 96.2\% | 1,357,249 | 41.3\% |
| 06/30/2010 | 14,219,581 | 15,520,625 | 1,301,044 | 91.6\% | 1,356,986 | 95.9\% |
| 06/30/2011 | 14,337,669 | 16,616,476 | 2,278,807 | 86.3\% | 1,343,963 | 169.6\% |
| 06/30/2012 | 14,251,913 | 17,030,833 | 2,778,920 | 83.7\% | 1,341,914 | 207.1\% |
| 06/30/2013 | 14,657,713 | 17,632,425 | 2,974,712 | 83.1\% | 1,367,237 | 217.6\% |
| 06/30/2014 | 15,678,480 | 18,114,229 | 2,435,749 | 86.6\% | 1,402,715 | 173.6\% |

## EXHIBIT IV <br> Supplementary Information Required by GASB



* See Exhibit V for these increases.


## EXHIBIT V <br> Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

The following assumptions were adopted by the Board based on the Actuarial Experience Study as of June 30, 2013 and the Economics Assumptions Study as of June 30, 2014.

## Mortality Rates

Healthy:
RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with scale BB set back one year for members.

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with scale BB set forward one year for beneficiaries.

Disabled: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with scale BB set forward one year.

The tables shown above were determined to contain sufficient provision appropriate to reasonably reflect future mortality, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

## Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

| Rate (\%) |  |  | Mortality |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  | Male | Female |
| 20 |  | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 25 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 30 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 35 |  | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 40 |  | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 45 |  | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| 50 |  | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| 55 |  | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| 60 |  | 0.52 | 0.36 |
|  |  |  |  |

## Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability* $^{\text {Age }}$ |  |  |  |
|  | Fire | Police |  |
| 20 |  | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 |  | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 30 |  | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 35 |  | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| 40 |  | 0.15 | 0.22 |
| 45 |  | 0.23 | 0.36 |
| 50 |  | 0.28 | 0.46 |
| 55 |  | 1.02 | 0.80 |
| 60 |  | 3.00 | 1.18 |

* $90 \%$ of disabilities are assumed to be service connected. Disability rates are not applied to members eligible to enter the DROP.


## Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

Rate (\%)
Termination (< 5 Years of Service)

| Termination (<5 Years of Service) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Service |  | Fire |

Rate (\%)

| Termination ( $\mathbf{5}+$ Years of Service) * |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age |  | Fire |
|  |  | Police |
|  |  | 1.00 |
| 25 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| 30 | 0.85 | 2.00 |
| 35 | 0.54 | 1.70 |
| 40 | 0.37 | 1.20 |
| 45 | 0.17 | 0.85 |
| 50 | 0.02 | 0.66 |
| 55 | 0.00 | 0.24 |
| 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
|  |  | 0.00 |

* No termination is assumed after a member is eligible for retirement. Members who are not eligible to receive a deferred vested retirement benefit are assumed to receive refund of contributions.


## Retirement Rates:

Rate(\%)

| Age | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 7.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 15.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 20.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 56 | 20.00 | 14.00 | 12.00 | 25.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 57 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| 58 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 25.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 59 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 60 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 61 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 62 | 25.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 63 | 25.00 | 40.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 64 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

DROP Program: DROP participants are considered active members until they leave the DROP and begin receiving retirement benefits. Members are assumed to remain in the DROP for 5 years. Of all members expected to retire with a service retirement benefit, we project a $95 \%$ probability that members have elected the DROP before retirement if they will have also satisfied the requirements for participating in the DROP for 5 years.

| Retirement Age and Benefit for <br> Inactive Vested Participants: <br> Unknown Data for Members: | For deferred vested members, retirement assumption is age 50. <br> Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not <br> specified, members are assumed to be male. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Exclusion of Inactive Vesteds: | All inactive participants are included in the valuation. <br> Definition of Active Members: <br> First day of biweekly payroll following employment for new department employees or <br> immediately following transfer from other city department. |
| Percent Married/Domestic Partner: $80 \%$ of male members, $60 \%$ of female members <br> Age of Spouse: Wives are 3 years younger than their husbands. |  |
| Future Benefit Accruals: | 1.0 year of service per year. <br> Consumer Price Index: |
| Increase of 3.25\% per year; benefit increases due to CPI subject to a 3.0\% maximum <br> for Tiers 3 through 6. |  |
| Member Contribution and | $5.00 \%$ |
| Matching Account Crediting Rate: | 7.50\%, net of investment expenses |
| Net Investment Return: | Out of the total 1.00\% of payroll in administrative expense, $0.94 \%$ of payroll payable <br> bi-weekly is allocated to the Retirement Plan. This is equal to $0.91 \%$ of payroll <br> payable at beginning of the year. |

## Salary Increases:

Annual Rate of Compensation Increase
Inflation: $3.25 \%$ per year; plus $0.75 \%$ "across the board" salary increases; plus the following Merit and Longevity increases based on years of service.

| Years of Service | Additional Salary Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $7.50 \%$ |
| 1 | 6.50 |
| 2 | 5.00 |
| 3 | 4.75 |
| 4 | 3.75 |
| 5 | 3.00 |
| 6 | 2.25 |
| 7 | 2.00 |
| 8 | 1.75 |
| 9 | 1.75 |
| 10 | 1.25 |
| 11 or more | 0.75 |

Service Connected Disability Benefits:

| Years of Service | Benefit |
| :---: | :---: |
| Less than 20 | $55 \%$ of Final Average Salary |
| $20-30$ | $65 \%$ of Final Average Salary |
| More than 30 | 75\% of Final Average Salary |

## Nonservice Connected Disability <br> Benefits: <br> 40\% of Final Average Salary

## Actuarial Value of Assets:

## Actuarial Cost Method:

The market value of assets less unrecognized returns. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual and expected returns on a market value basis, and is recognized over a seven-year period. Deferred gains and losses as of June 30, 2013 have been combined and will be recognized in equal amounts over a period of six years from that date. The actuarial value of assets is further adjusted, if necessary, to be within $40 \%$ of the market value of assets.

Entry Age Normal Actuarial Cost Method. Entry Age is the current age minus Service Credit. Actuarial Accrued Liability is calculated on an individual basis and is based on costs allocated as a level percentage of compensation, with Normal Cost determined as if the current benefit accrual rate had always been in effect ("replacement life").

## Funding Policy:

The City of Los Angeles Fire \& Police Pension Plan makes contributions equal to the Normal Cost adjusted by amount to amortize any Surplus or Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability. Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age Normal cost method. Any changes in Surplus or Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability due to actuarial gains or losses are amortized over separate twenty year periods as a level percentage of payroll. Any changes in Surplus or Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability from plan amendments are amortized over separate fifteen year periods as a level percentage of payroll. Any changes in Surplus or Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability from plan assumption changes are amortized over separate twenty-five year periods as a level percentage of payroll. Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability are calculated on an individual basis and are allocated by service. For Tier 1, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is amortized using level dollar amortization ending on June 30, 2037. For Tiers 2, 3 and 4, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is amortized using level percent of payroll as a percent of total valuation payroll from the respective employer (i.e., the City or Harbor Port Police). For Tiers 5 and 6, the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability is amortized using level percent of payroll as a percent of combined payroll for these tiers from the respective employer (i.e., City or Harbor Port Police).

| Changes in Actuarial Assumptions | The Board adopted an adjustment to the asset smoothing method that combined the <br> net deferred investment gains from the June 30, 2013 valuation into a single layer to <br> be recognized in equal amounts over a period of six years from that date. Based on the |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | June 30, 2013 Actuarial Experience Study, the following assumptions have been <br> changed. Previously, these assumptions were as follows: |

## Mortality Rates

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back four years for members.

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back two years for beneficiaries.

Disabled: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back two years.

## Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

| Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality |  |  |
| Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 25 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 40 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| 45 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| 50 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| 55 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| 60 | 0.42 | 0.31 |

All pre-retirement deaths are assumed to be service connected.

## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability* |  |  |
| Age | Fire | Police |
| 20 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 35 | 0.06 | 0.11 |
| 40 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| 45 | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| 50 | 0.42 | 0.56 |
| 55 | 1.40 | 1.08 |
| 60 | 4.40 | 1.46 |

* $90 \%$ of disabilities are assumed to be service connected.


## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

## Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

Rate (\%)

| Withdrawal (<5 Years of Service) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service | Fire | Police |
| 0-1 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| 1-2 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| 2 - 3 | 2.00 | 3.00 |
| 3-4 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| 4-5 | 1.00 | 2.50 |

Rate (\%)

| Age | Fire | Police |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
| 25 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
| 30 | 1.20 | 2.20 |
| 35 | 0.70 | 1.70 |
| 40 | 0.41 | 1.20 |
| 45 | 0.20 | 0.82 |
| 50 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| 55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

* No vested termination is assumed after a member is eligible for retirement.


## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Retirement Rates:
Rate(\%)

| Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
|  | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 5.00 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 13.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 18.00 |
| 56 | 15.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 57 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 58 | 15.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 59 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 60 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 61 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 62 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 63 | 25.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 64 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Percent Married/Domestic Partner:

## Consumer Price Index:

Net Investment Return:

## Salary Increases:

86\%
Increase of $3.50 \%$ per year; benefit increases due to CPI subject to a $3.0 \%$ maximum for Tiers 3 through 6.
7.75\%, net of investment and administrative expenses
$\qquad$
Annual Rate of Compensation Increase
Inflation: 3.50\% per year; plus $0.75 \%$ "across the board" salary increases; plus the following Merit and Longevity increases based on years of service.

| Years of Service | Additional Salary Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $8.00 \%$ |
| 1 | $7.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 3 | $4.00 \%$ |
| 4 | $3.50 \%$ |
| 5 | $3.20 \%$ |
| 6 | $2.75 \%$ |
| 7 | $2.50 \%$ |
| 8 | $2.25 \%$ |
| 9 | $2.25 \%$ |
| 10 | $2.00 \%$ |
| 11 or more | $1.00 \%$ |

## EXHIBIT VI <br> Summary of Plan Provisions

This exhibit summarizes the major provisions of the City of Los Angeles Fire \& Police Pension Plan included in the valuation. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all plan provisions. For Tiers 1 through 4 and Tier 6, the section codes are from the Los Angeles Charter. For Tier 5 and the DROP program, the section codes are from the Los Angeles Administrative Code.

| Plan Year: | July 1 through June 30 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Census Date: | June 30 |

## Service Retirement Benefit:

$\left.\begin{array}{lll}\begin{array}{l}\text { Tier } 1 \text { (§ 1304) } \\ \text { Age \& Service Requirement } \\ \text { Amount }\end{array} & 20 \text { years of service }\end{array}\right]$

| Tier 2 (§ 1408) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age \& Service Requirement | 20 years of service |  |
| Amount | Years of Service | Benefit |
|  | Less than 25 | 2\% of Normal Pension Base per year of service |
|  | 25+ | $55 \%$ plus 3\% per year over 25 to a maximum of 70\% of Normal |
|  |  | Pension Base |
| Tier 3 (§ 1504) |  |  |
| Age \& Service Requirement | Age 50 and 10 years of service |  |
| Amount | Years of Service | Benefit |
|  | Less than 20 | 2\% of Final Average Salary per year of service |
|  | 20+ | For each additional year over 20, 3\% of Final Average Salary per year over 20 to a maximum of 70\% Final Average Salary |
| Tier 4 (§ 1604) |  |  |
| Age \& Service Requirement | 20 years of service |  |
| Amount | Years of Service | Benefit |
|  | 20 | 40\% of Final Average Salary |
|  | $20+$ | For each additional year over 20, 3\% of Final Average Salary per year over 20 to a maximum of 70\% Final Average Salary |
| Tier 5 (§ 4.2004) |  |  |
| Age \& Service Requirement | Age 50 and 20 years of service |  |
| Amount | Years of Service | Benefit |
|  | 20 | 50\% of Final Average Salary |
|  | 20+ | For each additional year over 20, 3\% of Final Average Salary per year over 20 , except $30^{\text {th }}$ year where $4 \%$ is provided, to a maximum of $90 \%$ Final Average Salary |


| Tier 6 (§ 1704) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Age \& Service Requirement Amount | Age 50 and 20 years of service |  |
|  | Years of Service | Benefit |
|  | 20 | 40\% of Final Average Salary |
|  | 21 to 25 | Additional 3\% of Final Average Salary for years 21 through 25 |
|  | 26 to 30 | Additional 4\% of Final Average Salary for years 26 through 30 |
|  | 31+ | Additional 5\% of Final Average Salary per year over 30, to a maximum of $90 \%$ of Final Average Salary |
| Deferred Retirement Option Plan (DROP) (§4.2100-4.2109): |  |  |
| Eligibility | Tier 2: | 25 years of service |
|  | Tier 3: | Age 50 and 25 years of service |
|  | Tier 4: | 25 years of service |
|  | Tier 5: | Age 50 and 25 years of service |
|  | Tier 6: | Age 50 and 25 years of service |
| Benefits under DROP | DROP benefits (calculated using age, service, and salary at the commencement date of participation in DROP) will be credited to a DROP account with interest at $5 \%$ annually. Members are required to make normal member contributions. DROP benefits receive annual COLA while in DROP (limited to $3 \%$ for all Tiers). Members may participate in DROP for up to five years. |  |
| Normal Pension Base: |  |  |
| Final Average Salary: |  |  |
| Tier 3, 4, 5 (§ 1502, § 1602, § 4.2002) | Highest monthly average salary actually received during any 12 consecutive months of service |  |
| Tier 6 (§ 1702) | Highest monthly average salary actually received during any 24 consecutive months of service |  |

## Cost of Living Adjustment (COLA):

Tier 3, 4 (§ 1516, § 1616)

Tier 5, 6 (§ 4.2016, § 1716)

Tier 1, 2 (§ 1328, § 1422) Commencing July 1 based on changes to Los Angeles area consumer price index. Commencing July 1 based on changes to Los Angeles area consumer price index to a maximum of $3 \%$ per year. COLA is prorated in the first year of retirement. Commencing July 1 based on changes to Los Angeles area consumer price index to a maximum of $3 \%$ per year, excess banked. COLA is prorated in the first year of retirement.

## Death After Retirement:

Tier 1 (§ 1314, § 1316)

Service Retirement

Service Connected Disability
Nonservice Connected Disability

Tier 2 (§ 1414)
Service Retirement

Service Connected Disability

Nonservice Connected Disability

Pension equal to the same percentage of the Member's Normal Pension Base to a maximum of $50 \%$.
50\% of Member's Normal Pension Base.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay.

Pension equal to the same percentage of the Member's Normal Pension Base to a maximum of $55 \%$.
$50 \%$ of the Member's Normal Pension Base, or $55 \%$ of the Member's Normal Pension Base if Member had at least 25 years of service at the date of death.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay (nonservice connected pension base).

Tier 3, 4 (§ 1508, § 1608)

Service Retirement
Service Connected Disability

Pension equal to $60 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member.
If death occurs within three years of the Member's effective date of pension, then the eligible spouse or designated beneficiary shall receive $75 \%$ of the Final Average Salary.
Otherwise, a pension equal to $60 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member immediately preceding the date of death.
Nonservice Connected Disability Pension equal to $60 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member. Tier 5 (§ 4.2008, § 4.2008.5)

## Tier 6 (§ 1708)

Service Retirement
Service Connected Disability
If former Tier 2 member, see Tier 2. Otherwise, see Tier 3.

Pension equal to $70 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member. If death occurs within three years of the Member's effective date of pension, then the eligible spouse or designated beneficiary shall receive $80 \%$ of the Final Average Salary.
Otherwise, a pension equal to $80 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member immediately preceding the date of death.
Nonservice Connected Disability Pension equal to $70 \%$ of the pension received by the deceased Member.

## Death Before Retirement:

Tier 1 (§ 1314, § 1316)
Eligible for Service Retirement

Service Requirement Amount

Service Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

20 years of service.
$100 \%$ of Member's accrued service retirement Member would have received, not to exceed 50\% of Normal Pension Base.

## None.

50\% of Member's Normal Pension Base.

Nonservice Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

Tier 2 (§ 1414)
Eligible for Service Retirement
Service Requirement
Amount

Service Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

Nonservice Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

Tier 3, 4 (§ 1508, § 1608)
Eligible for Service Retirement
Service Requirement
Amount

Service Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

5 years of service.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay.

20 years of service.
$100 \%$ of Member's accrued service retirement Member would have received, not to exceed $55 \%$ of Normal Pension Base.

None.
$50 \%$ of the Member's Normal Pension Base, or 55\% of the Member's Normal Pension Base if Member had at least 25 years of service at the date of death.

5 years of service.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay (nonservice connected pension base).

10 years of service for Tier 3.20 years of service for Tier 4.
$80 \%$ of service retirement Member would have received, not to exceed $40 \%$ of the Member's Final Average Salary.

None.
75\% of the Member's Final Average Salary.

Nonservice Connected
Service Requirement Amount
Basic Death Benefit

Tier 5 (§ 4.2008, § 4.2008.5)
Eligible for Service Retirement
Service Requirement
Amount

Service Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

Nonservice Connected
Service Requirement
Amount

Basic Death Benefit

5 years of service.
$30 \%$ of the Member's Final Average Salary.
If Member has at least one year of service, in addition to return of contributions, beneficiary receives the Member's one-year average monthly salary times years of completed service (not to exceed 6 years).

20 years of service.
For former Tier 2, 100\% of Member's accrued service retirement Member would have received, not to exceed $55 \%$ of Normal Pension Base. For members who are not former Tier 2, $40 \%$ of the Member's Final Average Salary.

None.
$75 \%$ of the Member's Final Average Salary payable to an eligible spouse or designated beneficiary.

5 years of service.
For former Tier 2, $40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay. For members who are not former Tier 2, 30\% of the Member's Final Average Salary.
If Member has at least one year of service, in addition to return of contributions, beneficiary receives the Member's one-year average monthly salary times years of completed service (not to exceed 6 years).

Tier 6 (§ 1708)
Service Connected

Service Requirement
Amount
Nonservice Connected
Service Requirement Amount

Basic Death Benefit

Service Requirement

Service Requirement

Service Requirement
Amount

## Disability:

Tier 1 (§ 1310, § 1312)
Service Connected

Amount

Nonservice Connected

Amount

Tier 2 (§ 1412)
Service Connected

None.
80\% of the Member's Final Average Salary.

5 years of service.
$50 \%$ of the Member’s Final Average Salary.
If Member has at least one year of service, in addition to return of contributions, beneficiary receives the Member's two-year average monthly salary times years of completed service (not to exceed 6 years).

None.
$50 \%$ to $90 \%$ of Normal Pension Base depending on severity of disability, with a minimum of Member's service pension percentage rate.

5 years of service.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay.

## None.

$50 \%$ to $90 \%$ of Normal Pension Base depending on severity of disability, with a minimum of Member's service pension percentage rate.

Nonservice Connected

Service Requirement
Amount

Tier 3, 4, 5 , 6 (§ 1506, § 1606, § 4.2006, § 1706)
Service Connected
Service Requirement

## Deferred Withdrawal Retirement Benefit (Vested): <br> ):

## Tier 3 (§ 1504)

Age \& Service Requirement Age 50 with 10 years of service.
Amount See Tier 3 Service Retirement.
Tier 5, Tier 6 (§ 4.2004, § 1704)
Age \& Service Requirement
Amount

5 years of service.
$40 \%$ of highest monthly salary as of Member's retirement for basic rank of Police Officer III or Firefighter III, and the highest length of service pay.

Amount

Nonservice Connected

| Service Requirement | 5 years of service. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Amount | $30 \%$ to $50 \%$ of Final Average Salary depending on severity of disability. |

$30 \%$ to $90 \%$ of Final Average Salary depending on severity of disability with a minimum of $2 \%$ of Final Average Salary per year of service.
years of service

Age 50 with 10 years of service.

Age 50 and 20 years of service.
Member is entitled to receive a service pension using Tier 3 retirement formula.

| Member Normal Contributions: | Members are exempt from making contributions if their continuous service exceeds 30 years for Tiers 1 through 4, and 33 years for Tier 5 and Tier 6. Members not in Tier 6 may pay a $2 \%$ contribution on their base salary retroactive to August 15,2011 for a period of 25 years or until retired from the Plan to avoid a freeze on their retiree health subsidy. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Tier 1 (§ 1324) | Normal contribution rate of 6\%. |
| Tier 2 (§ 1420) | Normal contribution rate of $6 \%$ plus half of the cost of the cost of living benefit to a maximum of $1 \%$. |
| Tier 3 (§ 1514) | Normal contribution rate of 8\%. |
| Tier 4 (§ 1614) | Normal contribution rate of 8\%. |
| Tier 5 (§ 4.2014) | Normal contribution rate of $9 \%$ with the City of Los Angeles paying 1\% provided that the LAFPP is at least $100 \%$ actuarially funded for pension benefits. |
| Tier 6 (§ 1714) | Normal contribution rate of $9 \%$, plus $2 \%$ additional contributions to support funding of retiree health benefits. The additional $2 \%$ contributions shall not be required for members with more than 25 years of service. |

## City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan

Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other
Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of
June 30, 2014 In accordance with GASB Statements
No. 43 and No. 45

[^7]100 Montgomery Street, Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104
T 415.263.8200 F 415.263.8290 www.segalco.com

November 12, 2014

Board of Fire and Police Pension Commissioners
City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan
360 East Second Street, Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90012-4203
Dear Board Members:
We are pleased to submit this Actuarial Valuation and Review of Other Postemployment Benefits (OPEB) as of June 30, 2014 under Governmental Accounting Standards Board Statements No. 43 and No. 45. The report summarizes the actuarial data used in the valuation, discloses the Net OPEB obligation (NOO) as of June 30, 2014, establishes the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for the coming year, and analyzes the preceding year's experience. The census information and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by LAFPP. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged. The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA and Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA.

This actuarial valuation has been completed in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in this actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions used in this valution and described in Exhibit II are reasonably related to the experience of and the expectations for the Plan. The actuarial projections are based on these assumptions and the plan of benefits as summarized in Exhibit III. Sincerely,

Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.
$B y$ :

Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA Senior Vice President and Actuary
TXB/hy

Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
Vice President and Associate Actuary

| SECTION 1 |
| :--- |
| EXECUTIVE SUMMARY |
| Purpose .................................. 1 |
| Highlights of the Valuation ......... 1 |
| Summary of Valuation Results..... 3 |
| Actuarial Certification ................ 4 |

SECTION 2

## SECTION 3

## VALUATION RESULTS

## CHART 1

Actuarial Present Value of Total
Projected Benefits (APB) and
Actuarial Balance Sheet $\qquad$ .5
CHART 2
Actuarial Accrued Liability
(AAL) and Unfunded AAL
(UAAL) $\qquad$6

CHART 3
Table of Amortization Bases ....7
CHART 4
Determination of Annual
Required Contribution (ARC) .. 10

## CHART 5

Required Supplementary
Information - Schedule of
Employer Contributions $\qquad$
CHART 6
Required Supplementary
Information - Schedule of
Funding Progress $\qquad$ . 13

## CHART 7

Required Supplementary
Information - Net OPEB
Obligation (NOO) $\qquad$

## VALUATION DETAILS

EXHIBIT A
Summary of Participant Data.... 15

## EXHIBIT B

Reconciliation of Participant Data with Pension Valuation............. 17

## EXHIBIT C

Allocation of ARC by Tier ....... 18
EXHIBIT D
Cash Flow Projections .............. 25
EXHIBIT E
Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for All Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits
Assets. $\qquad$ 26

## EXHIBIT F

Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy
Benefits $\qquad$

## EXHIBIT G

Development of the Fund
Through June 30, 2014 for All
Retirement and Health Subsidy
Benefits Assets $\qquad$

## EXHIBIT H

Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets for All Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits29

## EXHIBIT I

Reconciliation of Recommended
Contribution from June 30, 2013
to June 30, 2014.

## SECTION 4

## SUPPORTING INFORMATION

EXHIBIT I
Summary of Required
Supplementary Information......

## EXHIBIT II

Actuarial Assumptions and
Actuarial Cost Method
EXHIBIT III
Summary of Plan52

EXHIBIT IV
Definitions of Terms................. 56
EXHIBIT V
Accounting Requirements

## PURPOSE

This report presents the results of our actuarial valuation of retiree health benefits offered by the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan as of June 30, 2014. The results are in accordance with the Governmental Accounting Standards, which prescribe an accrual methodology for accumulating the value of other postemployment benefits (OPEB) over participants' active working lifetimes.

## HIGHLIGHTS OF THE VALUATION

> In preparing the June 30,2014 valuation, we have continued to assume that certain members who have not agreed to make an additional $2 \%$ member contribution would be subject to a freeze in the level of medical subsidy. Even though LAFPP has received a Court Order to no longer freeze the subsidy, that Court Order is currently being appealed by the City. LAFPP has directed Segal to continue to assume the freeze is in effect in the valuation until such legal action is completed. As further directed by LAFPP, we have provided the results without the subsidy freeze in a separate letter.
> The recommended contribution rate has decreased from $11.30 \%$ of payroll ( $\$ 154.5$ million) to $11.13 \%$ of payroll ( $\$ 156.1$ million), assuming contributions are made by the City on July 15. A reconciliation of the employer's rate, if made at the beginning of the year, is provided in Section 3, Exhibit I.
> The employer contribution rates provided in this report have been developed assuming that they will be made by the City either (1) throughout the year (i.e. the City
will pay contributions at the end of every pay period), (2) on July 15 or (3) the beginning of the year.
> The funded ratio has increased from $38.5 \%$ to $43.2 \%$ in this valuation. On a market value of asset basis, the funded ratio has increased from $38.7 \%$ to $46.8 \%$. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) has decreased from $\$ 1.620$ billion to $\$ 1.582$ billion. A reconciliation of the change in the UAAL is provided in Section 2, Chart 2.
> The discount rate for this valuation is $7.50 \%$, and is based on the assumption that in the long term the City is paying a contribution that equals the ARC and $100 \%$ of benefits will be paid from the trust. The discount rate was lowered from the $7.75 \%$ used in the prior valuation as a result of the June 30, 2014 economic assumptions study.
> The funding method used to develop the (ARC) is the Entry Age method, with the Normal Cost developed as a level percent of payroll. The contribution to amortize the UAAL is developed as a level percent of payroll.
> Contributions will generally increase with payroll growth. Other factors such as gains or losses, or changes in trend assumptions will also change the ARC for future years.
> The results of this valuation reflect a change to combine the $\$ 77.3$ million in deferred gains as of June 30, 2013 and recognize those gains in level amounts over a six-year period starting July 1, 2013. This adjustment was approved by the Board.
> As indicated in Section 3, Exhibit H of this report, the total unrecognized investment gain as of June 30, 2014 is $\$ 1.412$ billion for the assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits. For comparison purposes, the total unrecognized investment gain as of June 30, 2013 was $\$ 77.3$ million.
> The deferred gain of $\$ 1.412$ billion represents $7.7 \%$ of the market value of assets as of June 30, 2014. Unless offset by future investment losses, or other unfavorable experience, the recognition of the $\$ 1,412$ million market gain is expected to have an impact on the Health Plan's future funded percentage and contribution rate requirements. This potential impact may be illustrated as follows:

- If the deferred gain were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the funded percentage would increase from $43.2 \%$ to $46.8 \%$.
- If the deferred gain were recognized immediately in the valuation value of assets, the aggregate employer rate (payable throughout the fiscal year) would decrease from $11.50 \%$ to $10.98 \%$ of payroll.
> The actuarial valuation report as of June 30,2014 is based on financial and demographic information as of that date. Changes subsequent to that date are not reflected and will impact the actuarial cost of the Plan.
> The assumptions adopted by the Board based on the Actuarial Experience Study as of June 30, 2013 and the Economics Assumptions Study as of June 30, 2014 are reflected for the first time in this valuation.

The key valuation results for the current and prior years are shown.

SUMMARY OF VALUATION RESULTS

|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) | \$2,783,282,885 | \$2,633,792,545 |
| Actuarial Value of Assets | 1,200,874,444 | 1,013,399,583 |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability | \$1,582,408,441 | \$1,620,392,962 |
| Funded Ratio on Actuarial Value of Assets | 43.15\% | 38.48\% |
| Market Value of Assets | \$1,301,306,102 | \$1,018,395,096 |
| Funded Ratio on Market Value of Assets | 46.75\% | 38.67\% |
| Annual Required Contribution (ARC) for coming year |  |  |
| Normal cost (beginning of year) | \$56,552,213 | \$55,452,797* |
| Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 98,278,461 | 98,573,613* |
| Allocated amount for administrative expenses | 811,738 | N/A |
| Total Annual Required Contribution at beginning of year | \$155,642,412 | \$154,026,410* |
| ARC as a percentage of pay at beginning of year | 11.10\% | 11.27\%* |
| Total Annual Required Contribution (payable throughout the year) | 161,373,487 | \$159,883,569* |
| ARC as a percentage of pay (payable throughout the year) | 11.50\% | 11.69\%* |
| Total Annual Required Contribution (payable July 15) | \$156,112,126 | \$154,506,201* |
| ARC as a percentage of pay (payable July 15) | 11.13\% | 11.30\%* |
| Projected total payroll | \$1,402,715,039 | \$1,367,236,866 |
| Total Participants (including retirees/beneficiaries not receiving subsidy) | 25,674 | 25,177 |
| Total Participants (excluding retirees/beneficiaries not receiving subsidy) | 23,562 | 23,553 |
| Annual OPEB Cost (AOC) for Coming Year |  |  |
| Annual Required Contributions (payable end of fiscal year) | \$167,315,593 | \$165,963,457 |
| Interest on Net OPEB Obligations | 10,099,735 | 9,980,446 |
| ARC Adjustments | -8,720,981 | -8,441,233 |
| Total Annual OPEB Cost | \$168,694,347 | \$167,502,670 |
| AOC as percentage of pay | 12.03\% | 12.25\% |

* Before reflecting payroll for the June 30, 2014 valuation.


## ACTUARIAL CERTIFICATION

This is to certify that Segal Consulting has conducted an actuarial valuation of certain benefit obligations of the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan's other postemployment benefit program as of June 30, 2014, in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices. The actuarial calculations presented in this report have been made on a basis consistent with our understanding of GASB Statements 43 and 45 for the determination of the liability for postemployment benefits other than pensions.

The actuarial valuation is based on the plan of benefits verified by LAFPP and reliance on participant, premium, claims and expense data provided by LAFPP. Segal Consulting has not audited the data provided. The accuracy and comprehensiveness of the data is the responsibility of those supplying the data. Segal Consulting, however, has reviewed the data for reasonableness and consistency.

The actuarial computations made are for purposes of fulfilling plan accounting and funding requirements. Determinations for purposes other than meeting financial accounting and funding requirements may be significantly different from the results reported here. Accordingly, additional determinations may be needed for other purposes, such as judging benefit security at termination of the plan, or determining short-term cash flow requirements.

To the best of our knowledge, this report is complete and accurate and in our opinion presents the information necessary to comply with GASB Statements 43 and 45 with respect to the benefit obligations addressed. The signing actuaries are members of the Society of Actuaries, the American Academy of Actuaries, and other professional actuarial organizations and collectively meet their "General Qualification Standards for Statements of Actuarial Opinions" to render the actuarial opinion contained herein.

Thomas Bergman, ASA, MAAA, EA Assistant Actuary

Andy Yeung, FCA, ASA, MAAA, EA
Vice President and Associate Actuary

The actuarial present value of total projected benefits uses the actuarial assumptions disclosed in Section 4 to calculate the value today of all benefits expected to be paid to current actives and retired plan members. The actuarial balance sheet shows the expected breakdown of how these benefits will be financed.

## CHART 1

Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) and Actuarial Balance Sheet

|  | Actuarial Present Value of Total Projected Benefits (APB) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Participant Category |  |  |
| Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents | \$1,598,044,159 | \$1,534,402,716 |
| Current active members | 1,771,524,262 | 1,650,607,074 |
| Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible | 8,804,946 | 6,856,137 |
| Total | \$3,378,373,367 | \$3,191,865,927 |
|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Actuarial Balance Sheet |  |  |
| The actuarial balance sheet as of the valuation date is as follows: |  |  |
| Assets |  |  |
| 1. Actuarial value of assets | \$1,200,874,444 | \$1,013,399,583 |
| 2. Present value of future normal costs | 595,090,482 | 558,073,382 |
| 3. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 1,582,408,441 | 1,620,392,962 |
| 4. Present value of current and future assets | \$3,378,373,367 | \$3,191,865,927 |
| Liabilities |  |  |
| 5. Actuarial Present Value of total Projected Benefits | \$3,378,373,367 | \$3,191,865,927 |

Segal Consulting

The actuarial accrued liability shows that portion of the APB (Chart 1) allocated to periods prior to the valuation date by the actuarial cost method. The chart below shows the portion of the liability for active and inactive members,
and reconciles the unfunded actuarial accrued liability from last year to this year.

## CHART 2

Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) and Unfunded AAL (UAAL)

|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Participant Category |  |  |
| Current retirees, beneficiaries, and dependents | \$1,598,044,159 | \$1,534,402,716 |
| Current active members | 1,176,433,780 | 1,092,533,692 |
| Terminated members entitled but not yet eligible | 8,804,946 | 6,856,137 |
| Total actuarial accrued liability | \$2,783,282,885 | \$2,633,792,545 |
| Actuarial value of assets | 1,200,874,444 | 1,013,399,583 |
| Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | \$1,582,408,441 | \$1,620,392,962 |
| Development of Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability for the Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  |  |
| 1. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at beginning of year |  | \$1,620,392,962 |
| 2. Normal cost from prior valuation |  | \$55,452,797 |
| 3. Employer contributions |  | 138,106,847 |
| 4. Interest on prior year UAAL, normal cost and contributions |  | 119,636,868 |
| 5. Expected unfunded actuarial accrued liability ( $1+2-3+4$ ) |  | 1,657,375,780 |
| 6. Change due to investment gain |  | -68,987,507 |
| 7. Change due to assumption changes from triennial experience study |  | 61,387,654 |
| 8. Change due to health and starting cost assumption changes |  | -78,004,355 |
| 9. Change due to miscellaneous demographic losses ${ }^{(1)}$ |  | 10,636,869 |
| 10. Unfunded actuarial accrued liability at end of year |  | \$1,582,408,441 |

[^8]Segal Consulting

The unfunded actuarial accrued liability may be amortized over periods of up to 30 years. Amortization payments may be calculated as level dollar amounts or as amounts designed to remain level as a percent of a growing payroll base. The City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan has elected to amortize the unfunded actuarial accrued liability using the following rules:

On September 6, 2012, the Board adopted the following amortization policy for bases established after June 30, 2011:
Type of Base Amortization Period (Closed)
Actuarial Gains or Losses* 20
Assumption or Method Changes 25
Plan Amendments 15

## ERIPs

5
Actuarial Surplus 30

* Retiree health assumption changes in this valuation are treated as gains and losses and amortized over 20 years.


## CHART 3

Table of Amortization Bases
Tier 1

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Base | $06 / 30 / 2006$ | $25,087,593$ | $2,198,067$ |  |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $-3,682,448$ | $-352,929$ |  |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | 555,920 | 18 |  |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-116,336$ | 19 | 20 |
| Assumption change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{-170,349}$ | $-1,926$ |  |
| Total |  | $21,674,380$ | 25 |  |

## Tier 2

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Base | 06/30/2006 | 929,310,750 | 22 | 58,498,314 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2012 | -79,568,332 | 18 | -5,771,272 |
| Experience Loss | 06/30/2013 | 11,800,460 | 19 | 823,015 |
| Experience Gain | 06/30/2014 | -19,495,604 | 20 | -1,310,958 |
| Assumption change | 06/30/2014 | 9,333,499 | 25 | 539,889 |
| Total |  | 851,380,773 |  | 52,778,988 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level dollar amortization.
Segal Consulting
${ }^{(2)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.

CHART 3 - Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

Tier 3

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{\mathbf{( 1 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Base | $06 / 30 / 2006$ | $70,950,462$ | 22 | $4,466,194$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $-4,461,282$ | 18 | $-323,587$ |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $13,137,450$ | 19 | 916,263 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-7,497,023$ | $-504,128$ |  |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{2,693,968}$ | $\underline{155,831}$ |  |
| Total |  | $24,823,575$ | $4,710,573$ |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.
$\qquad$
Tier 4

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment $^{\mathbf{( 1 )}}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Combined Base | $06 / 30 / 2006$ | $51,407,374$ | 22 | $3,235,994$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $-3,265,146$ | $-236,828$ |  |
| Experience Loss | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $1,631,140$ | 18 | 113,763 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-6,372,636$ | 20 | $-428,520$ |
| Assumption Change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{4,070,034}$ | 25 | $\underline{235,428}$ |
| Total |  | $47,470,766$ | $2,919,837$ |  |

[^9]CHART 3 - Table of Amortization Bases (Continued)

## Tiers 5 and 6 (without Harbor Port Police)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Combined Base | $06 / 30 / 2006$ | $661,745,934$ | 22 | $41,655,626$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $-36,794,938$ | 18 | $-2,668,820$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $-196,936$ | 19 | $-13,735$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-85,025,359$ | $-5,717,425$ |  |
| Assumption change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{45,164,286}$ | 20 | $\underline{2,612,495}$ |
| Total | $\$ 584,892,987$ | $\$ 35,868,141$ |  |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.

## Harbor Port Police (Tiers 5 and 6)

| Type | Date Established | Outstanding Balance | Years Remaining | Annual Payment ${ }^{(\mathbf{1})}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Initial Base | $06 / 30 / 2007$ | $2,659,923$ | 22 | 167,437 |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2012$ | $-485,392$ | 18 | $-35,207$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2013$ | $-72,183$ | 19 | $-5,034$ |
| Experience Gain | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $-232,604$ | 20 | $-15,641$ |
| Assumption change | $06 / 30 / 2014$ | $\underline{296,216}$ | 25 | $\underline{17,134}$ |
| Total |  | $2,165,960$ |  | 128,689 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.

The Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is the amount calculated to determine the annual cost of the OPEB plan for accounting purposes on an accrual basis. The calculation consists of adding the Normal Cost of the plan to an amortization payment. Both are determined as of the start of the accounting period and adjusted as if the annual cost were to be contributed throughout the fiscal year or on July $15^{\text {th }}$.

The amortization payments are based on amortization of the Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability on a level percent of pay basis, except that Tier 1 is based on a level dollar basis.

## CHART 4

Determination of Annual Required Contribution (ARC)

| Cost Element | Determined as of June 30 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2014 |  | 2013 |  |
|  | Amount | Percentage of Compensation | Amount | Percentage of Compensation |
| 1. Normal cost | \$56,552,213 | 4.03\% | \$55,452,797 | 4.06\% |
| 2. Amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 98,278,460 | 7.01\% | 98,573,613 | 7.21\% |
| 3. Allocated amount for administrative expenses | 811,738 | 0.06\% | N/A | N/A |
| 4. Total Annual Required Contribution at beginning of year | \$155,642,412 | 11.10\% | \$154,026,410 | 11.27\% |
| 5. Adjustment for timing (payable throughout the year) | 5,731,075 | 0.40\% | 5,857,159 | 0.42\% |
| 6. Total Annual Required Contribution (payable throughout the year) | \$161,373,487 | 11.50\% | \$159,883,569 | 11.69\% |
| 7. Adjustment for timing (payable July 15) | 469,714 | 0.03\% | 479,791 | 0.03\% |
| 8. Total Annual Required Contribution (payable July 15) | \$156,112,126 | 11.13\% | \$154,506,201 | 11.30\% |
| 9. Projected Total Payroll | \$1,402,715,039 |  | \$1,367,236,866 |  |

Segal Consulting

The Annual OPEB Cost (AOC) adjusts the ARC for timing differences between the ARC and contributions in relation to the ARC. The AOC is the cost of OPEB actually booked as an expense for the Fiscal Year under GASB 45.

## CHART 4 (continued)

## Determination of Annual OPEB Cost (AOC)

| Cost Element | Determined as of June 30 |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
|  |  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ |
|  | Amount | Amount |  |
| 1. | Annual Required Contribution (payable at end of fiscal year) | $\$ 167,315,593$ | $\$ 165,963,457$ |
| 2. | Interest on Beginning of Year Net OPEB Obligation (NOO) | $10,099,735$ | $9,980,446$ |
| 3. | ARC adjustment | $\underline{-8,720,981}$ | $\underline{\underline{8}, 441,233}$ |
| 4. | Annual OPEB Cost | $\underline{\$ 168,694,347}$ | $\underline{\$ 167,502,670}$ |
| 5. | AOC as percentage of pay | $12.03 \%$ | $12.25 \%$ |
| 6. | Projected Total Payroll | $\$ 1,402,715,039$ | $\$ 1,367,236,866$ |

Segal Consulting

For GASB 43 (plan reporting) purposes, the schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the ARC. For GASB 45 (employer reporting) purposes, the
schedule of employer contributions compares actual contributions to the AOC.

## CHART 5

Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Employer Contributions
GASB 43

| Fiscal Year Ended <br> June 30 | Annual Required <br> Contributions | Actual <br> Contributions | Percentage <br> Contributed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $\$ 98,444,833^{(1)}$ | $\$ 88,178,910^{(1)}$ | $89.57 \%$ |
| 2010 | $106,648,282^{(1)}$ | $106,648,282^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |
| 2011 | $111,681,208^{(1)}$ | $111,681,208^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |
| 2012 | $122,971,851^{(1)}$ | $122,971,851^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |
| 2013 | $132,939,191^{(1)}$ | $132939,191^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |
| 2014 | $138,106,847^{(1)}$ | $138,106,847^{(1)}$ | 100.00 |

Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Employer Contributions
GASB 45

| Fiscal Year Ended <br> June 30 | Annual OPEB <br> Cost | Actual <br> Contributions | Percentage <br> Contributed |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2009 | $\$ 106,452,937$ | $\$ 94,916,833$ | $89.16 \%$ |
| 2010 | $127,604,379$ | $114,816,430$ | 89.98 |
| 2011 | $173,645,281$ | $119,975,864$ | 69.09 |
| 2012 | $159,777,456$ | $132,105,073$ | 82.68 |
| 2013 | $144,568,706^{(3)}$ | $142,812,695$ | 98.79 |
| 2014 | $149,887,239^{(3)}$ | $148,348,025$ | 98.97 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Payable as of July 15.
${ }^{(2)}$ Shown with interest to end of year.
${ }^{(3)}$ Based on calculated expense as percent of pay and actual payroll.
Note that the ARC shown for 2009 was greater than the actual contributions as that amount have to be adjusted to reflect the limit on the maximum amortization period as required by GASB 43/45.

This schedule of funding progress presents multi-year trend information about whether the actuarial value of plan assets is increasing or decreasing over time relative to the actuarial accrued liability for benefits.

## CHART 6

Required Supplementary Information - Schedule of Funding Progress

| Actuarial Valuation Date | (Amounts in \$1,000s) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Actuarial Value of Assets (a) | Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) <br> (b) | Unfunded AAL (UAAL) (b) - (a) | Funded Ratio <br> (a) I (b) | Covered Payroll <br> (c) | UAAL as a Percentage of Covered Payroll [(b) - (a) / (c)] |
| 06/30/2009 | \$809,677 | \$2,038,659 | \$1,228,982 | 39.72\% | \$1,357,249 | 90.55\% |
| 06/30/2010 | 817,276 | 2,537,825 | 1,720,549 | 32.20\% | 1,356,986 | 126.79\% |
| 06/30/2011 | 882,890 | 2,557,607 | 1,674,716 | 34.52\% | 1,343,963 | 124.61\% |
| 06/30/2012 | 927,362 | 2,499,289 | 1,571,927 | 37.11\% | 1,341,914 | 117.14\% |
| 06/30/2013 | 1,013,400 | 2,633,793 | 1,620,393 | 38.48\% | 1,367,237 | 118.52\% |
| 06/30/2014 | 1,200,874 | 2,783,283 | 1,582,409 | 43.15\% | 1,402,715 | 112.81\% |

Segal Consulting

The Net OPEB obligation measures the accumulated differences between the annual OPEB cost and the actual contributions in relation to the ARC.

CHART 7
Required Supplementary Information - Net OPEB Obligation (NOO)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Fiscal } \\ \text { Year } \\ \text { Beginning } \end{gathered}$ | Annual Required Contribution <br> (a) | Interest on Existing NOO (b) | ARC <br> Adjustment <br> (c) | Annual OPEB Cost $(a)+(b)+(c)$ <br> (d) | Actual Contribution Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ (e) | Net Increase in NOO (d) - (e) (f) | NOO as of End of Fiscal Year (g) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/01/2007 | \$105,876,005 ${ }^{(1)(2)}$ | \$0 | \$0 | \$105,876,005 | \$84,517,914 | \$21,358,091 | \$21,358,091 |
| 07/01/2008 | 105,967,196 ${ }^{(2)(3)}$ | 1,708,647 | -1,222,906 | 106,452,937 | 94,916,833 | 11,536,103 | 32,894,194 |
| 07/01/2009 | 126,897,238 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 2,631,536 | -1,924,395 | 127,604,379 | 114,816,430 | 12,787,949 | 45,682,143 |
| 07/01/2010 | 172,841,037 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 3,654,571 | -2,850,327 | 173,645,281 | 119,975,864 | 53,669,417 | 99,351,560 |
| 07/01/2011 | 158,264,914 ${ }^{(2)}$ | 7,700,361 | -6,187,819 | 159,777,456 | 132,105,073 | 27,672,383 | 127,023,943 |
| 07/01/2012 | 142,812,695 ${ }^{(2)(4)}$ | 9,844,356 | -8,088,345 | 144,568,706 | 142,812,695 | 1,756,011 | 128,779,954 |
| 07/01/2013 | 148,348,025 ${ }^{(2)(4)}$ | 9,980,446 | -8,441,233 | 149,887,239 | 148,348,025 | 1,539,214 | 130,319,167 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Based on the beginning of year contribution rate of $8.15 \%$ of compensation calculated in the June 30, 2006 valuation before the phase-in. The ARC dollar amount has been approximated by applying the ratio of the contribution before the phase-in to the contribution after the phase-in as determined in the June 30, 2006 valuation to the actual contributions made during 2007/2008.
${ }^{(2)}$ With interest to end of year.
${ }^{(3)}$ Based on the beginning of year contribution rate of $7.89 \%$ of compensation calculated in the June 30, 2007 valuation before the phase-in. The ARC dollar amount has been approximated by applying the ratio of the contribution before the phase-in to the contribution after the phase-in made during 2008/2009 as determined in the June 30, 2007 valuation to the actual contributions.
${ }^{(4)}$ Based on calculated expense as percent of pay and actual payroll.

| EXHIBIT A |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Participant Data - Includes Only Retirees and Beneficiaries Receiving Health Subsidy |  |  |
|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Retired members: |  |  |
| Number of non-disabled retirees | 7,277 | 7,194 |
| Number of disabled retirees | 1,410 | 1,426 |
| Total Number of retirees | 8,687 | 8,620 |
| Average age of retirees | 70.6 | 70.5 |
| Number of spouses/domestic partners of retirees receiving subsidy | 5,963 | 5,920 |
| Average age of spouses/domestic partners of retirees receiving subsidy | 66.5 | 66.2 |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |
| Number | 1,703 | 1,643 |
| Average age | 79.3 | 79.1 |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |
| Number | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Average age | 42.4 | 41.9 |
| Average years of service | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| Vested terminated members ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |
| Number | 75 | 66 |
| Average age | 45.7 | 46.1 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Excludes terminated members not eligible for retiree health benefit due to service or due only a refund of member contributions.

| EXHIBIT A (Continued) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Summary of Participant Data - Includes All Retirees and Beneficiaries |  |  |
|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Retired members: |  |  |
| Number of non-disabled retirees | 7,955 | 7,904 |
| Number of disabled retirees | 2,088 | 1,745 |
| Total Number of retirees | 10,043 | 9,649 |
| Average age of retirees | 69.5 | 69.4 |
| Number of spouses/domestic partners of retirees receiving subsidy | 5,963 | 5,920 |
| Average age of spouses/domestic partners of retirees receiving subsidy | 66.5 | 66.2 |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |
| Number | 2,459 | 2,238 |
| Average age | 76.3 | 77.8 |
| Active members in valuation: |  |  |
| Number | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Average age | 42.4 | 41.9 |
| Average years of service | 15.4 | 15.0 |
| Vested terminated members ${ }^{(1)}$ : |  |  |
| Number | 75 | 66 |
| Average age | 45.7 | 46.1 |

[^10]
## EXHIBIT B

Reconciliation of Participant Data with Pension Valuation

|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Retired members: |  |  |
| Pension valuation | 7,955 | 7,904 |
| Retirees with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -232 | -234 |
| Deferred retirees eligible for future health benefits | -446 | -476 |
| Health valuation | 7,277 | 7,194 |
| Disabled members: |  |  |
| Pension valuation | 2,088 | 2,136 |
| Disableds with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -469 | -473 |
| Deferred disableds eligible for future health benefits | -209 | -237 |
| Health valuation | 1,410 | 1,426 |
| Beneficiaries: |  |  |
| Pension valuation | 2,459 | 2,392 |
| Surviving spouses with no subsidy due to service or decision not to enroll | -565 | -586 |
| Deferred surviving spouses eligible for future health benefits | -191 | -163 |
| Health valuation | 1,703 | 1,643 |
| Active members: |  |  |
| Pension valuation | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Health valuation | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Vested terminated members: |  |  |
| Pension valuation* | 131 | 133 |
| Inactive vested with insufficient service or due only a refund of member contributions | -56 | -67 |
| Health valuation | 75 | 66 |

* Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.


## EXHIBIT C

## Allocation of ARC by Tier

## Tier 1 Members

1 Employer normal cost
Actuarial accrued liability
Valuation value of assets
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, July 1
Total recommended contribution, July 15
Total recommended contribution, biweekly
Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount | \% of Payroll |
| \$0 | N/A | \$0 | N/A |
| 16,757,284 |  | 17,998,049 |  |
| -4,917,096 |  | -4,315,396 |  |
| 21,674,380 |  | 22,313,445 |  |
| \$1,872,233 | N/A | \$1,931,946 | N/A |
| 0 |  |  |  |
| \$1,872,233 | N/A | \$1,931,946 | N/A |
| 1,877,883 | N/A | 1,937,964 | N/A |
| 1,941,172 | N/A | 2,005,412 | N/A |
| 0 |  | N/A |  |

## Tier 2 Members

## 1 Employer normal cost

2 Actuarial accrued liability
3 Valuation value of assets
$4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
5 Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
$6 \quad$ Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, July 1
Total recommended contribution, July 15
Total recommended contribution, biweekly
Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| $\$ 218,518$ | $3.29 \%$ | $\$ 228,306$ | $3.43 \%$ |
| $905,038,146$ |  | $916,213,818$ |  |
| $53,657,373$ |  | $65,308,514$ |  |
| $851,380,773$ |  | $850,905,304$ |  |
| $52,778,988$ | $3.79 \%$ | $52,663,241$ | $3.78 \%$ |
| 3,847 | $0.06 \%$ | N/A |  |
| $53,001,353$ | N/A | $52,891,547$ | N/A |
| $53,161,307$ | N/A | $53,056,304$ | N/A |
| $54,952,973$ | N/A | $54,902,853$ | N/A |
| $6,648,510$ |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ UAAL rate is calculated using the City's total payroll of \$1,391,377,190.
${ }^{(2)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## Tier 3 Members

1 Employer normal cost
2 Actuarial accrued liability
3 Valuation value of assets
$4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
$5 \quad$ Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
6 Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$4,007,779 | 4.13\% | \$4,183,016 | 4.31\% |
| 157,623,229 |  | 144,822,800 |  |
| 82,799,654 |  | 66,074,178 |  |
| 74,823,575 |  | 78,748,622 |  |
| 4,710,573 | 0.34\% | 4,975,194 | 0.36\% |
| 56,201 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 8,774,553 | N/A | 9,158,210 | N/A |
| 8,801,034 | N/A | 9,186,738 | N/A |
| 9,097,650 | N/A | 9,506,469 | N/A |
| 97,117,729 |  | N/A |  |

## Tier 4 Members

1 Employer normal cost
2 Actuarial accrued liability
3 Valuation value of assets
$4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
$5 \quad$ Amortization of unfunded accrued liability ${ }^{(1)}$
$6 \quad$ Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
7 Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
9 Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
10 Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(2)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$1,566,440 | 3.91\% | \$1,523,687 | 3.81\% |
| 85,119,288 |  | 78,606,030 |  |
| 37,648,522 |  | 29,428,783 |  |
| 47,470,766 |  | 49,177,247 |  |
| 2,919,837 | 0.21\% | 3,061,396 | 0.22\% |
| 23,166 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 4,509,443 | N/A | 4,585,083 | N/A |
| 4,523,052 | N/A | 4,599,365 | N/A |
| 4,675,490 | N/A | 4,759,440 | N/A |
| 40,032,133 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ UAAL rate is calculated using the City's total payroll of \$1,391,377,190.
${ }^{(2)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## Tier 5 Members (without Harbor Port Police)

Employer normal cost
Actuarial accrued liability
Valuation value of assets
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$46,611,848 | 3.89\% | \$47,506,738 | 3.96\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 a table on th | combined. See <br> ext page) | (Tiers 5 and 6 ar table on the | combined. See <br> ext page) |
| 34,453,631 | 2.88\% | 36,925,778 | 3.08\% |
| 693,491 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 81,758,970 | 6.82\% | 84,432,516 | 7.05\% |
| 82,005,711 | 6.84\% | 84,695,522 | 7.07\% |
| 84,769,505 | 7.07\% | 87,643,229 | 7.31\% |
| 1,198,378,807 |  | N/A |  |

## Tier 6 Members (without Harbor Port Police)

Employer normal cost
Actuarial accrued liability
Valuation value of assets
Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| \$3,563,796 | 7.24\% | \$3,425,128 | 6.96\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 a table on th | combined. See <br> next page) | (Tiers 5 and 6 ar table on the | combined. See <br> ext page) |
| 1,414,510 | 2.88\% | 1,516,005 | 3.08\% |
| 28,472 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 5,006,778 | 10.18\% | 4,941,133 | 10.04\% |
| 5,021,888 | 10.21\% | 4,956,525 | 10.07\% |
| 5,191,138 | 10.55\% | 5,129,030 | 10.42\% |
| 49,200,011 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## Combined Tiers 5 and 6 UAAL Contribution Rate Calculations for the City <br> 2 Actuarial accrued liability <br> 3 Valuation value of assets <br> $4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability <br> 5 Amortization of unfunded accrued liability <br> Projected payroll used for developing combined UAAL <br> rate

| June 30, 2014 |  |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 5 | Tier 6 | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  |
| \$1,608,713,890 | \$2,420,184 | Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount | \% of Payroll |
|  |  | \$1,611,134,074 |  | \$1,469,864,762 |  |
|  |  | 1,026,241,087 |  | 852,689,472 |  |
|  |  | 584,892,987 |  | 617,175,290 |  |
|  |  | 35,868,141 | 2.88\% | 38,441,783 | 3.08\% |
| 1,198,378,807 | 49,200,011 | 1,247,578,818 |  | N/A |  |

## All Tiers Combined (without Harbor Port Police)

1 Employer normal cost
2 Actuarial accrued liability
3 Valuation value of assets
$4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
5 Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
6 Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
8 Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
9 Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
10 Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$55,968,381 | 4.02\% | \$56,866,875 | 4.09\% |
| 2,775,672,021 |  | 2,627,505,459 |  |
| 1,195,429,540 |  | 1,009,185,551 |  |
| 1,580,242,481 |  | 1,618,319,908 |  |
| 98,149,772 | 7.05\% | 101,073,560 | 7.26\% |
| 805,177 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 154,923,330 | 11.13\% | 157,940,435 | 11.35\% |
| 155,390,875 | 11.17\% | 158,432,418 | 11.39\% |
| 160,627,928 | 11.54\% | 163,946,433 | 11.78\% |
| 1,391,377,190 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## Harbor Port Police Tier 5

| 1 | Employer normal cost |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2 | Actuarial accrued liability |
| 3 | Valuation value of assets |
| 4 | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability |
| 5 | Amortization of unfunded accrued liability |
| 6 | Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with |
| 7 | payroll in 10 |
| 8 | Total recommended contribution, payable July 1 |
| 9 | Total recommended contribution, payable July 15 |
| 10 | Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly |
| Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate |  |


| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$565,664 | 5.09\% | \$540,022 | 4.86\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 a table on the | combined. See ext page) | (Tiers 5 and 6 are table on the | ombined. See <br> xt page) |
| 126,053 | 1.14\% | 122,022 | 1.10\% |
| 6,427 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 698,144 | 6.29\% | 662,044 | 5.96\% |
| 700,251 | 6.31\% | 664,106 | 5.98\% |
| 723,851 | 6.52\% | 687,219 | 6.19\% |
| 11,105,605 |  | N/A |  |

## Harbor Port Police Tier 6

## 1 Employer normal cost <br> Actuarial accrued liability <br> Valuation value of assets

Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
5 Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
6 Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
8 Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
9 Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
10 Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| \$18,168 | 7.82\% | \$14,393 | 6.20\% |
| (Tiers 5 and 6 are table on th | combined. See next page) | (Tiers 5 and 6 are table on the | ombined. See <br> xt page) |
| 2,636 | 1.14\% | 2,552 | 1.10\% |
| 134 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 20,938 | 9.02\% | 16,945 | 7.30\% |
| 21,001 | 9.04\% | 16,998 | 7.32\% |
| 21,709 | 9.35\% | 17,589 | 7.57\% |
| 232,244 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

\section*{Combined Tiers 5 and 6 UAAL Contribution Rate Calculations for Harbor Port Police <br> | 2 | Actuarial accrued liability |
| :--- | :--- |
| 3 | Valuation value of assets |
| 4 | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability |
| 5 | Amortization of unfunded accrued liability <br> Projected payroll used for developing combined UAAL <br> rate |}


| June 30, 2014 |  |  |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Tier 5 | Tier 6 | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  | Combined Tiers 5 and 6 |  |
| \$7,618,622 | -\$7,758 | Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount | \% of Payroll |
|  |  | \$7,610,864 |  | \$6,287,086 |  |
|  |  | 5,444,904 |  | 4,214,032 |  |
|  |  | 2,165,960 |  | 2,073,054 |  |
|  |  | 128,689 | 1.14\% | 124,574 | 1.10\% |
| 11,105,605 | 232,244 | 11,337,849 |  | N/A |  |


| Harbor Port Police Combined (Tiers 5 and 6) |  | June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll |
| 1 | Employer normal cost | \$583,832 | 5.15\% | \$554,415 | 4.89\% |
| 2 | Actuarial accrued liability | 7,610,864 |  | 6,287,086 |  |
| 3 | Valuation value of assets | 5,444,904 |  | 4,214,032 |  |
| 4 | Unfunded actuarial accrued liability | 2,165,960 |  | 2,073,054 |  |
| 5 | Amortization of unfunded accrued liability | 128,689 | 1.14\% | 124,574 | 1.10\% |
| 6 | Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10 | 6,561 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 7 | Total recommended contribution, payable July 1 | 719,082 | 6.35\% | 678,989 | 5.99\% |
| 8 | Total recommended contribution, payable July 15 | 721,252 | 6.36\% | 681,104 | 6.01\% |
| 9 | Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly | 745,560 | 6.58\% | 704,808 | 6.22\% |
| 10 | Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate | 11,337,849 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## All Tiers Combined

## 1 Employer normal cost

2 Actuarial accrued liability
3 Valuation value of assets
$4 \quad$ Unfunded actuarial accrued liability
5 Amortization of unfunded accrued liability
6 Allocated amount for admin expenses, calculated with payroll in 10
Total recommended contribution, payable July 1
Total recommended contribution, payable July 15
Total recommended contribution, payable biweekly
Projected payroll used for developing normal cost rate

| June 30, 2014 |  | June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Amount | \% of Payroll | Amount ${ }^{(1)}$ | \% of Payroll ${ }^{(2)}$ |
| \$56,552,213 | 4.03\% | \$57,421,290 | 4.09\% |
| 2,783,282,885 |  | 2,633,792,545 |  |
| 1,200,874,444 |  | 1,013,399,583 |  |
| 1,582,408,441 |  | 1,620,392,962 |  |
| 98,278,461 | 7.01\% | 101,198,134 | 7.21\% |
| 811,738 | 0.06\% | N/A |  |
| 155,642,412 | 11.10\% | 158,619,424 | 11.31\% |
| 156,112,127 | 11.13\% | 159,113,522 | 11.34\% |
| 161,373,488 | 11.50\% | 164,651,241 | 11.74\% |
| 1,402,715,039 |  | N/A |  |

${ }^{(1)}$ Amounts are revised to reflect payroll as of June 30, 2014.

## EXHIBIT D

## Cash Flow Projections

The ARC generally exceeds the current pay-as-you-go ("paygo") cost of an OPEB plan. Over time the paygo cost will tend to grow and may even eventually exceed the ARC in a well-funded plan. The following table projects the paygo cost as the projected net fund payment over the next ten years.

| Year Ending <br> June 30 | Projected Number of Retirees* |  | Projected Benefit Payments |  |  |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Future | Total | Current | Future | Total |  |
| 2015 | 16,353 | 212 | 16,565 | $\$ 108,067,935$ | $\$ 1,679,263$ | $\$ 109,747,198$ |
| 2016 | 15,927 | 769 | 16,696 | $112,539,175$ | $6,647,223$ | $119,186,398$ |
| 2017 | 15,490 | 1,591 | 17,081 | $116,550,004$ | $14,706,116$ | $131,256,120$ |
| 2018 | 15,043 | 2,150 | 17,193 | $120,482,362$ | $20,849,452$ | $141,331,814$ |
| 2019 | 14,588 | 2,919 | 17,507 | $123,624,301$ | $29,634,290$ | $153,258,591$ |
| 2020 | 14,124 | 3,787 | 17,911 | $126,420,458$ | $40,311,043$ | $166,731,501$ |
| 2021 | 13,652 | 4,306 | 17,958 | $128,800,005$ | $47,864,054$ | $176,664,059$ |
| 2022 | 13,179 | 4,887 | 18,066 | $130,863,922$ | $56,398,500$ | $187,262,422$ |
| 2023 | 12,694 | 5,497 | 18,191 | $132,460,065$ | $65,596,301$ | $198,056,366$ |
| 2024 | 12,205 | 6,106 | 18,311 | $133,800,194$ | $75,018,961$ | $208,819,155$ |

* Includes spouses of retirees. Does not include retirees and spouses who have yet to commence retiree health benefits.


## EXHIBIT E

## Summary Statement of Income and Expenses on an Actuarial Value Basis for All Retirement and Health Subsidy

 Benefits Assets|  | Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Contribution income: |  |  |  |  |
| Employer contributions | \$578,805,107 |  | \$508,387,283 |  |
| Employee contributions | 124,394,889 |  | 121,777,655 |  |
| Net contribution income |  | \$703,199,996 |  | \$630,164,938 |
| Investment income: |  |  |  |  |
| Interest, dividends and other income | \$1,325,729,463 |  | \$910,081,993 |  |
| Recognition of capital appreciation | 227,580,333 |  | -13,657,580 |  |
| Less investment and administrative fees | -84,910,347 |  | -68,633,794 |  |
| Net investment income |  | 1,468,399,449 |  | 827,790,619 |
| Total income available for benefits |  | \$2,171,599,445 |  | \$1,457,955,557 |
| Less benefit payments |  | -\$963,356,954 |  | -\$966,118,502 |
| Change in reserve for future benefits |  | \$1,208,242,491 |  | \$491,837,055 |

## EXHIBIT F

## Summary Statement of Assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits

|  | Year Ended June 30, 2014 |  | Year Ended June 30, 2013 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cash equivalents |  | \$1,449,555 |  | \$6,698,082 |
| Accounts receivable: |  |  |  |  |
| Accrued interest and dividends | \$58,230,583 |  | \$50,434,963 |  |
| Contributions | 6,109,845 |  | 5,490,442 |  |
| Due from brokers | 265,172,222 |  | 387,602,407 |  |
| Total accounts receivable |  | 329,512,650 |  | 443,527,812 |
| Investments: |  |  |  |  |
| Equities | \$12,691,081,303 |  | \$9,730,129,629 |  |
| Fixed income investments | 4,311,621,954 |  | 5,027,650,664 |  |
| Real estate | 1,419,813,952 |  | 1,231,595,540 |  |
| Total investments at market value |  | 18,422,517,209 |  | 15,989,375,833 |
| Total assets |  | \$18,753,479,414 |  | \$16,439,601,727 |
| Less accounts payable: |  |  |  |  |
| Accounts payable and benefits in process | -\$28,597,595 |  | -\$33,329,259 |  |
| Due to brokers | -265,350,594 |  | -465,475,707 |  |
| Mortgage payable | -168,520,538 |  | -192,425,131 |  |
| Total accounts payable |  | -\$462,468,727 |  | -\$691,230,097 |
| Net assets at market value |  | \$18,291,010,687 |  | \$15,748,371,630* |
| Net assets at actuarial value |  | \$16,879,354,713 |  | \$15,671,112,222 |
| Net assets at valuation value (health benefits) |  | \$15,678,480,269 |  | \$1,013,399,583 |

* Based on unaudited market value of assets. Subsequent to the June 30, 2013 valuation, the market value of assets was changed to \$15,757,024,051.


## EXHIBIT G

Development of the Fund Through June 30, 2014 for All Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits Assets

| Year Ended <br> June 30 | Employer <br> Contributions | Employee <br> Contributions | Net <br> Investment <br> Return |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2007 | $\$ 286,167,278^{(2)}$ | $\$ 91,263,474$ | $\$ 1,590,968,304$ | Benefit <br> Payments | Actuarial Value of <br> Assets at <br> End of Year |
| 2008 | $333,672,743$ | $98,074,219$ | $1,414,391,128$ | $8800,819,286$ | $\$ 13,902,764,838$ |
| 2009 | $326,876,839$ | $103,685,447$ | $557,346,783$ | $842,565,358$ | $14,920,943,683$ |
| 2010 | $357,165,140$ | $106,411,630$ | $360,741,904$ | $853,749,429$ | $15,066,287,394$ |
| 2011 | $388,773,459$ | $105,471,264$ | $568,411,044$ | $878,952,809$ | $15,036,856,639$ |
| 2012 | $444,565,284$ | $120,099,124$ | $320,400,668$ | $926,349,506$ | $15,220,559,597$ |
| 2013 | $508,387,283$ | $121,777,655$ | $827,790,619$ | $966,118,502$ | $15,179,275,167$ |
| 2014 | $578,805,107$ | $124,394,889$ | $1,468,399,449$ | $963,356,954$ | $15,671,112,222$ |
|  |  |  |  | $16,879,354,713$ |  |

[^11]The chart shows the determination of the actuarial value of assets as of the valuation date.

It is desirable to have level and predictable plan costs from one year to the next. For this reason, the Board of Commissioners has approved an asset valuation method that gradually adjusts to market value. Under this valuation method, the full value of market fluctuations is not recognized in a single year and, as a result, the asset value and the plan costs are more stable.

The amount of the adjustment to recognize market value is treated as income, which may be positive or negative. Realized and unrealized gains and losses are treated equally and, therefore, the sale of assets has no immediate effect on the actuarial value.

As adopted by the Board, any investment gains/losses established after July 1, 2008 will be recognized over a seven-year period and the actuarial value of assets will be further adjusted, if necessary, to be within $40 \%$ of the market value.

## EXHIBIT H <br> Determination of Actuarial Value of Assets for All Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits

| 1. Market value of assets (for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits) |  |  |  |  | \$18,291,010,687 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Original | Portion Not | Amount Not |  |
| 2. | Calculation of unrecognized return ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amount | Recognized | Recognized |  |
|  | (a) Year ended June 30, 2014 | \$1,571,818,656 | 6/7 | \$1,347,273,134 |  |
|  | (b) Combined base for year ended June 30, $2013{ }^{(2)}$ | 77,259,408 | 5/6 | 64,382,840 |  |
|  | (c) Total unrecognized return |  |  |  | 1,411,695,974 |
|  | Preliminary actuarial value: (1) - (2c) |  |  |  | 16,879,354,713 |
| 4. | Adjustment to be within $40 \%$ corridor |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5. | Final actuarial value of assets: (3) + (4) |  |  |  | \$16,879,354,713 |
|  | Actuarial value as a percentage of market value: $(5) \div(1)$ |  |  |  | 92.3\% |
| 7. | Market value of health assets |  |  |  | \$1,301,306,102 |
| 8. | Valuation value of health assets: (5) $\div$ (1) $\times$ (7) |  |  |  | \$1,200,874,444 |
|  | Deferred return recognized in each of the next 6 years (for | nent and Health S | sidy Benefits) |  |  |
|  | (a) Amount recognized on June 30, 2015 |  |  |  | \$237,422,090 |
|  | (b) Amount recognized on June 30, 2016 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (c) Amount recognized on June 30, 2017 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (d) Amount recognized on June 30, 2018 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (e) Amount recognized on June 30, 2019 |  |  |  | 237,422,090 |
|  | (f) Amount recognized on June 30, 2020 |  |  |  | 224,545,524 |
|  | (g) Subtotal (may not total exactly due to rounding) |  |  |  | \$1,411,655,974 |

[^12]The chart below details the changes in the ARC from the prior valuation to the current year's valuation.

| EXHIBIT I |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| Reconciliation of Recommended Contribution from June $\mathbf{3 0}, \mathbf{2 0 1 3}$ to June $\mathbf{3 0 , 2} \mathbf{2 0 1 4}$ |  |
| Recommended Contribution as of June 30, 2013 | $11.27 \% \%^{(1)}$ |
| Effect of amortizing prior year's UAAL over a smaller than expected projected total payroll | $0.07 \%$ |
| Effect of contributions less than recommended, due to the one-year delay between valuation and rate implementation | $0.08 \%$ |
| Effect of combined investment and demographic experience | $-0.28 \%$ |
| Effect of assumption changes from triennial experience study | $0.40 \%$ |
| Effect of new trend, per capita costs and other health assumptions | $-0.52 \%$ |
| Effect of allocating administrative expenses | $0.06 \%$ |
| Effect of miscellaneous factors including change in active normal cost | $0.02 \%$ |
| Recommended Contribution as of June $\mathbf{3 0 , 2 0 1 4}$ | $11.10 \%$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Based on contributions at beginning of year.

## EXHIBIT I

## Summary of Required Supplementary Information

| Valuation date | June 30, 2014 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial cost method | Entry age normal, level percent of pay <br> Closed amortization periods. On September 6, 2012, the Board adopted the <br> following amortization policy: |
| Amortization method |  |
|  | Type of Base |

${ }^{(1)}$ Retiree health assumption changes are treated as gains and losses and amortized over 20 years.

## Actuarial assumptions (continued)

- Medical Subsidy Trend
- For all non-Medicare retirees, increase at lesser of $7 \%$ or medical trend.
- For Medicare retirees with single party premium, increase with medical trend.
- For Medicare retirees with 2-party premium less than or equal to the maximum subsidy as of July 1, 2014 (e.g. Fire Kaiser), increase with medical trend.
- For Medicare retirees with 2-party premium greater than the maximum subsidy as of July 1, 2014 (e.g. Police Blue Cross PPO), increase with lesser of 7\% or medical trend.

| Plan membership - Excluding retirees and | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| beneficiaries not receiving subsidy: | 10,390 | 10,263 |
| Current retirees and beneficiaries | 13,097 | 13,224 |
| Current active participants | $\frac{75}{23,562}$ | $\frac{66}{23,553}$ |
| Terminated participants entitled but not yet eligible |  |  |
| Total |  |  |

## EXHIBIT II

## Actuarial Assumptions and Actuarial Cost Method

The following assumptions were adopted by the Board based on the Actuarial Experience Study as of June 30, 2013 and the Economics Assumptions Study as of June 30, 2014.

## Mortality Rates

Healthy: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with Scale BB set back one year for members.

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with Scale BB set forward one year for beneficiaries.

Disabled: RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females), projected to 2022 with Scale BB set forward one year.

The tables shown above were determined to contain sufficient provision appropriate to reasonably reflect future mortality, based on a review of mortality experience as of the measurement date.

## Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

| Rate (\%) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mortality |  |  |
| Age |  | Male | Female |
| 20 |  | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 25 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 30 |  | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 35 |  | 0.07 | 0.04 |
| 40 |  | 0.10 | 0.06 |
| 45 |  | 0.13 | 0.10 |
| 50 |  | 0.19 | 0.15 |
| 55 |  | 0.30 | 0.22 |
| 60 | 0.52 | 0.36 |  |

All pre-retirement deaths are assumed to be service connected.

## Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability* |  |  |
| Age | Fire | Police |
| 20 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 35 | 0.06 | 0.08 |
| 40 | 0.15 | 0.22 |
| 45 | 0.23 | 0.36 |
| 50 | 0.28 | 0.46 |
| 55 | 1.02 | 0.08 |
| 60 | 3.00 | 1.18 |

* $90 \%$ of disabilities are assumed to be service connected. Disability rates are not applied to members eligible for DROP.


## Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%)Termination (< 5 Years of Service) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Years of Service | Fire | Police |
| 0-1 | 8.00\% | 8.00\% |
| 1-2 | 2.50 | 3.00 |
| 2-3 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
| 3-4 | 0.75 | 2.50 |
| 4-5 | 0.50 | 1.75 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate (\%) } \\ \text { Termination (5+ Years of Service) * } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Age | Fire | Police |
| 20 | 1.00\% | 2.00\% |
| 25 | 1.00 | 2.00 |
| 30 | 0.85 | 1.70 |
| 35 | 0.54 | 1.20 |
| 40 | 0.37 | 0.85 |
| 45 | 0.17 | 0.66 |
| 50 | 0.02 | 0.24 |
| 55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

* No termination is assumed after a member is eligible for retirement. Members who are not eligible to receive a deferred vested retirement benefit are assumed to receive refund of contributions.


## Retirement Rates:

| Age | Rate(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
|  | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 7.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 15.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 20.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 56 | 20.00 | 14.00 | 12.00 | 25.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 57 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| 58 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 25.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 59 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 60 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 61 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 62 | 25.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 63 | 25.00 | 40.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 64 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

DROP Program: DROP participants are considered active members until they leave DROP and begin receiving retirement benefits. Members are assumed to remain in the DROP for 5 years. Of all members expected to retire with a service retirement benefit, we project a $95 \%$ probability that members have elected DROP before retirement if they will have also satisfied the requirements for participating in the DROP for 5 years.

## Salary Increases:

## Annual Rate of Compensation Increase

Inflation: $3.25 \%$ per year; plus $0.75 \%$ "across the board" salary increases; plus the following Merit and Longevity increases based on age.

| Years of Service | Additional Salary Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $7.50 \%$ |
| 1 | 6.50 |
| 2 | 5.00 |
| 3 | 4.75 |
| 4 | 3.75 |
| 5 | 3.00 |
| 6 | 2.25 |
| 7 | 2.00 |
| 8 | 1.75 |
| 9 | 1.75 |
| 10 | 1.25 |
| $11+$ | 0.75 |

## Measurement Date:

## Unknown Data for Members:

Definition of Active Members:

## Actuarial Value of Assets:

## Actuarial Cost Method:

## Funding Policy:

June 30, 2014
Same as those exhibited by members with similar known characteristics. If not specified, members are assumed to be male.
First day of biweekly payroll following employment for new department employees or immediately following transfer from other city department.
Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last seven years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. Deferred gains and losses as of June 30, 2013 have been combined and will be recognized over a period of six years from July 1, 2013. The actuarial value of assets is further adjusted, if necessary, to be within $40 \%$ of the market value of assets.
Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method. Entry Age is the current age minus Vesting Credit. Actuarial Accrued Liability is calculated on an individual basis and is based on costs allocated as a level percentage of compensation. Normal Cost and amortization of unfunded are computed as a percent of pay and applied to actual payroll.
The City of Los Angeles Fire \& Police Pension Plan makes contributions equal to the Normal Cost adjusted by amounts to amortize any Surplus or Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL). Both the Normal Cost and the Actuarial Accrued Liability are determined under the Entry Age cost method. Normal Cost and Actuarial Accrued Liability are calculated on an individual basis.

On September 6, 2012, the Board adopted the following amortization policy:

| Type of Base |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Actuarial Gains or Losses ${ }^{(1)}$ | Amortization Period (Closed) |  |
| Assumption or Method Changes |  | 20 |
| Plan Amendments |  | 25 |
| ERIPs |  | 5 |
| Actuarial Surplus |  | 50 |

${ }^{(1)}$ Retiree health assumption changes are treated as gains and losses and amortized over 20 years.

## Data:

## Future Benefit Accruals:

Net Investment Return:
Administrative Expenses

## Spouse Age Difference

## Participation

Medicare Coverage
Dental Coverage
Spousal Coverage

## Implicit Subsidy

Detailed census data and financial data for postemployment benefits were provided by the City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan.
1.0 year of service per year.
$7.50 \%$, net of investment expenses.
Out of the total $1.00 \%$ of payroll in administrative expense, $0.06 \%$ of payroll payable bi-weekly is allocated to the Retiree Health Plan. This is equal to $0.06 \%$ of payroll payable at beginning of the year.

Husbands are assumed to be 3 years older than wives.

| Service Range <br> (Years) | Assumption for <br> Future Retirees <br> Under 65 | Assumption for <br> Future Retires <br> Over 65 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-14$ | $45 \%$ | $80 \%$ |
| $15-19$ | 60 | 85 |
| $20-24$ | 70 | 90 |
| 25 and over | 95 | 95 |

$100 \%$ of future retirees are assumed to elect Medicare Parts A \& B.
$80 \%$ of future retirees are assumed to elect dental coverage.
Of future retirees receiving a medical subsidy $80 \%$ are assumed to elect coverage for married and surviving spouses or domestic partners. For those retired on valuation date, spousal coverage is based on census data.
No implicit subsidy exists since retiree medical premiums are underwritten separately from active premiums, except for one small group (Fire Blue and Fire California Care) that has some active/retiree experience blending.

## Per Capita Cost Development - Not Subject to Retiree Medical Freeze:

Future retirees under age 65 are assumed, upon retirement, to elect carriers in the percentages and corresponding premiums and subsidies as noted in the table below. Current retirees and current eligible survivors under age 65 are assumed to continue to cover themselves (and their spouse or domestic partner) - but all children are assumed to age out at the valuation date.

| 2014-2015 Fiscal Year |  | Single Party |  |  | Married/With Domestic Partner |  |  | Eligible Survivor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assumed <br> Election | Monthly Premium | Maximum Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly Premium | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximum } \\ & \text { Subsidy } \end{aligned}$ | Subsidy | Monthly Premium | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Maximum } \\ & \text { Subsidy } \end{aligned}$ | Subsidy |
| Fire |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fire Medical | 75 | \$1,083.93 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,083.93 | \$1,406.66 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,344.38 | \$547.22 | \$729.83 | \$547.22 |
| Fire Kaiser | 15 | \$585.25 | \$1,344.38 | \$585.25 | \$1,160.48 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,160.48 | \$585.25 | \$729.83 | \$585.25 |
| Fire Blue Cross | 5 | \$1,256.43 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,256.43 | \$1,558.35 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,059.54 | \$729.83 | \$729.83 |
| Fire California Care | 5 | \$1,256.43 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,256.43 | \$1,256.43 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,256.43 | \$759.34 | \$729.83 | \$729.83 |
| Police |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Blue Cross PPO | 65 | \$906.71 | \$1,344.38 | \$906.71 | \$1,610.63 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,344.38 | \$906.71 | \$729.83 | \$729.83 |
| California Care | 15 | \$642.53 | \$1,344.38 | \$642.53 | \$1,221.11 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,221.11 | \$642.53 | \$729.83 | \$642.53 |
| Police Kaiser | 20 | \$580.36 | \$1,344.38 | \$580.36 | \$1,149.96 | \$1,344.38 | \$1,149.96 | \$580.36 | \$729.83 | \$580.36 |

** Members who are subject to the retiree medical subsidy freeze have monthly health insurance subsidy maximums fixed at the level in effect on July 1, 2011, as shown on page 43.

For the valuation of current retirees, subsidies valued are based on actual subsidies provided in the data reported for the Health Plan.

## Per Capita Cost Development - Not Subject to Retiree Medical Freeze:

Future retirees and current retirees under age 65 are assumed, upon reaching age 65 , to elect carriers in the percentages and corresponding premiums and subsidies as noted in the table below. Current retirees and current eligible survivors over age 65 are assumed to continue to cover themselves (and their spouse or domestic partner) but all children are assumed to age out at the valuation date.

| 2014-2015 Fiscal Year |  |
| :--- | :---: |
| $\quad$Assumed <br> Election <br> Percent |  |
| Fire | 90 |
| Fire Medical | 10 |
| Fire Kaiser | 0 |
| Fire Blue Cross | 0 |
| Fire California Care |  |
| Police | 75 |
| Blue Cross PPO | 10 |
| California Care | 15 |
| Police Kaiser |  |


| Single Party |  |  |  | Married/With Domestic Partner |  |  | Eligible Survivor |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Monthly <br> Premium | Maximum <br> Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly <br> Premium | Maximum <br> Subsidy | Subsidy | Monthly <br> Premium | Maximum <br> Subsidy | Subsidy |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 540.80$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 834.90$ | $\$ 787.84$ | $\$ 787.84$ | $\$ 468.37$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ \mathbf{4 6 8 . 3 7}$ |  |
| $\$ 390.88$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 390.88$ | $\$ 771.76$ | $\$ 771.76$ | $\$ 771.76$ | $\$ 390.88$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 390.88$ |  |
| $\$ 729.00$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 1,518.62$ | $\$ 1,283.36$ | $\$ 1,283.36$ | $\$ 729.00$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ |  |
| $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 1,256.43$ | $\$ 1,256.43$ | $\$ 1,256.43$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\$ 533.71$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 927.18$ | $\$ 887.21$ | $\$ 887.21$ | $\$ 533.71$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 493.74$ |  |
| $\$ 437.53$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 437.53$ | $\$ 909.06$ | $\$ 909.06$ | $\$ 909.06$ | $\$ 437.53$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 437.53$ |  |
| $\$ 235.84$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 235.84$ | $\$ 466.66$ | $\$ 466.66$ | $\$ 466.66$ | $\$ 235.84$ | $\$ 493.74$ | $\$ 235.84$ |  |

** Members who are subject to the retiree medical subsidy freeze have monthly health insurance subsidy maximums fixed at the level in effect on July 1, 2011, as shown on page 43.
For the valuation of current retirees, subsidies valued are based on actual subsidies provided in the data reported for the Health Plan.

## Per Capita Cost Development - Subject to Retiree Medical Subsidy Freeze:

|  | Single Party | Married/With <br> Domestic Partner | Eligible <br> Survivor |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Under 65 - All Plans | $\$ 1,097.41$ | $\$ 1,097.41$ | $\$ 595.60$ |
| Over 65 |  |  |  |
| Fire Medical | $\$ 480.41$ | $\$ 774.51$ | $\$ 468.37^{*}$ |
| Fire Kaiser | $\$ 390.88^{*}$ | $\$ 771.76$ | $\$ 390.88^{*}$ |
| Fire Blue Cross | $\$ 480.41$ | $\$ 1,084.08$ | $\$ 480.41$ |
| Fire California Care | $\$ 480.41$ | $\$ 1,084.08$ | $\$ 480.41$ |
| Police Blue Cross PPO | $\$ 480.41$ | $\$ 859.11$ | $\$ 480.41$ |
| Police Blue Cross HMO | $\$ 437.53^{*}$ | $\$ 909.06$ | $\$ 437.53^{*}$ |
| Police Kaiser | $\$ 235.84^{*}$ | $\$ 466.66$ | $\$ 235.84^{*}$ |

*Future single-party subsidy levels limited to \$480.41.

## Health Care Premium Cost Trend Rates:

Trends to be applied in following fiscal years, to all health plans.
Trend is to be applied to premium for shown fiscal year to calculate next fiscal year's projected premium.

First Fiscal Year (July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2015).
The fiscal year trend rates are the following:

|  | Trend (applied to calculate following year premium) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fiscal Year | Non-Medicare | Medicare |
| $2014-2015$ | $7.00 \%$ | $7.00 \%$ |
| $2015-2016$ | $6.75 \%$ | $6.75 \%$ |
| $2016-2017$ | $6.50 \%$ | $6.50 \%$ |
| $2017-2018$ | $6.25 \%$ | $6.25 \%$ |
| $2018-2019$ | $6.00 \%$ | $6.00 \%$ |
| $2019-2020$ | $5.75 \%$ | $5.75 \%$ |
| $2020-2021$ | $5.50 \%$ | $5.50 \%$ |
| $2021-2022$ | $5.25 \%$ | $5.25 \%$ |
| 2022 and later | $5.00 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ |

Dental Premium Trend
Medicare Part B Premium Trend
5.00\% for all years
5.00\% for all years

## Health Care Reform:

As stated in our June 30, 2011 retiree health report, based on direction provided to Segal, the impact of the excise tax that will be imposed in 2018 by the Affordable Care Act (ACA) and related statutes on certain health plans was not included in calculating the contribution rates for the employer. We understand that a proposed statement currently under review by the Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) for financial reporting purposes is expected to require the inclusion of the excise tax in the liability. The current exposure draft of the statement would be effective for fiscal years beginning after December 15, 2015.

For the June 30, 2014 valuation, we have continued to exclude the projected excise tax from the valuation results (i.e., the projected excise tax has not been used to set the contribution rates for the employer).

## Expected annual rate of increase in

the Board's health subsidy amount: For employees not subject to freeze, we assume that the Board's health subsidy amount will increase at the same rate as medical trend.

## Plan Design: <br> Changes in Assumptions: <br> Development of plan liabilities was based on the substantive plan of benefits in effect as described in Exhibit III. <br> Future health care trend rates were updated. <br> Premiums and maximum subsidies were updated.

## Asset Valuation Method:

The Board adopted an adjustment to the asset smoothing method that combined the net deferred investment gains from the June 30, 2013 valuation into a single layer to be recognized in equal amounts over a period of six years from July 1, 2013.

Based on the June 30, 2013 Actuarial Experience Study, the following assumptions have been changed. Previously, these assumptions were as follows:

## Mortality Rates

Healthy:

Disabled:

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back four years for members.

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back two years for beneficiaries.

RP-2000 Combined Healthy Mortality Table (separate for males and females) set back two years.

## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

## Termination Rates Before Retirement:

Pre-Retirement Mortality:

| Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mortality |  |  |
| Age | Male | Female |
| 20 | 0.03 | 0.02 |
| 25 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 30 | 0.04 | 0.02 |
| 35 | 0.05 | 0.03 |
| 40 | 0.08 | 0.05 |
| 45 | 0.11 | 0.08 |
| 50 | 0.16 | 0.12 |
| 55 | 0.24 | 0.19 |
| 60 | 0.42 | 0.31 |

All pre-retirement deaths are assumed to be service connected.

## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disability |  |  |
| Age | Fire | Police |
| 20 | 0.02 | 0.02 |
| 25 | 0.02 | 0.03 |
| 30 | 0.03 | 0.05 |
| 35 | 0.06 | 0.11 |
| 40 | 0.15 | 0.29 |
| 45 | 0.26 | 0.46 |
| 50 | 0.42 | 0.56 |
| 55 | 1.40 | 1.08 |
| 60 | 4.40 | 1.46 |

* $90 \%$ of disabilities are assumed to be service connected.


## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Termination Rates Before Retirement (continued):

| Rate (\%)Withdrawal (< 5 Years of Service) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Service | Fire | Police |
| 0-1 | 8.00 | 8.00 |
| 1-2 | 3.00 | 4.00 |
| $2-3$ | 2.00 | 3.00 |
| 3-4 | 1.00 | 3.00 |
| 4-5 | 1.00 | 2.50 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { Rate (\%) } \\ \text { Vested Termination (5+ Years of Service) * } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| Age | Fire | Police |
| 20 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
| 25 | 1.50 | 2.50 |
| 30 | 1.20 | 2.20 |
| 35 | 0.70 | 1.70 |
| 40 | 0.41 | 1.20 |
| 45 | 0.20 | 0.82 |
| 50 | 0.04 | 0.28 |
| 55 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 60 | 0.00 | 0.00 |

* No vested termination is assumed after a member is eligible for retirement.


## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

## Retirement Rates:

Rate(\%)

| Age | Rate(\%) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
|  | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 | Tiers 2\&4 | Tiers 3\&5 | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 8.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 8.00 | 10.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 4.00 | 5.00 | 4.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 5.00 | 8.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 13.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 18.00 |
| 56 | 15.00 | 12.00 | 12.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 57 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 58 | 15.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 59 | 15.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 60 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 61 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 62 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 63 | 25.00 | 35.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 64 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |

DROP Program: DROP participants are considered active members until they leave DROP and begin receiving retirement benefits. Members are assumed to remain in the DROP for 5 years. Of all members expected to retire with a service retirement benefit, we project a $95 \%$ probability that members have elected DROP before retirement if they will have also satisfied the requirements for participating in the DROP for 5 years.

## Changes in Actuarial Assumptions (continued):

Net Investment Return:
Salary Increases:
$7.75 \%$, net of investment and administrative expenses

Annual Rate of Compensation Increase
Inflation: 3.50\% per year; plus 0.75\% "across the board" salary increases; plus the following Merit and Longevity increases based on years of service.

| Years of Service | Additional Salary Increase |
| :---: | :---: |
| 0 | $8.00 \%$ |
| 1 | $7.00 \%$ |
| 2 | $4.50 \%$ |
| 3 | $4.00 \%$ |
| 4 | $3.50 \%$ |
| 5 | $3.20 \%$ |
| 6 | $2.75 \%$ |
| 7 | $2.50 \%$ |
| 8 | $2.25 \%$ |
| 9 | $2.25 \%$ |
| 10 | $2.00 \%$ |
| 11 or more | $1.00 \%$ |

## EXHIBIT III

## Summary of Plan

This exhibit summarizes the major benefit provisions as included in the valuation. To the best of our knowledge, the summary represents the substantive plans as of the measurement date. It is not intended to be, nor should it be interpreted as, a complete statement of all benefit provisions.

## SUBSIDY FOR MEMBERS NOT ELIGIBLE FOR MEDICARE A \& B

Eligibility

Amount of Subsidy

Maximum Subsidy As of July 1, 2014, maximum is $\$ 1,344.38$ per month. For surviving spouse or domestic partner, the maximum subsidy is $\$ 729.83$ per month.

Increase in Subsidy For employees not subject to freeze, the Board's health subsidy amount may increase at lesser of 7\% or medical trend as shown in Exhibit II - Healthcare Premium Cost Trend Rates.

Dependent Portion
Retired Members who retired with 10 or more years of service. Benefits commence no earlier than age 55. Members who retired prior to July 1, 1998 are subject to an eligibility requirement of age 60 with 10 or more years of service. Subsidy is paid only to Members on service or disability retirements.

Surviving spouses and surviving domestic partners are eligible for health benefits upon the Member's date of death if the Member had attained age 55 prior to death. Otherwise, health benefits for survivors shall commence on the date that the Member would have reached age 55.

Basic subsidy is paid until age 65, or after age 65 if Member is not covered by Medicare Parts A and B.
$4 \%$ per year of service, to a maximum of $100 \%$, times Maximum Subsidy, subject to a maximum of the actual premium paid to City approved health carrier.


Difference between basic subsidy maximum amount and single-party premium

## EXHIBIT III <br> Summary of Plan (continued)

## SUBSIDY FOR MEMBERS ELIGIBLE FOR MEDICARE A \& B

Eligibility

Amount of Subsidy to Participant:

| Completed Years <br> of Service |  | Vested <br> Percentage |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $10-14$ | $75 \%$ |  |
| $15-19$ |  | $90 \%$ |
| $20+$ |  | $100 \%$ |

Surviving spouses or surviving domestic partners are eligible for benefits upon the death of the Member.

Maximum Subsidy As of July 1, 2014, the single coverage maximum subsidy for retirees and surviving spouse or domestic partner is $\$ 493.74$. The multi-person coverage maximum subsidy is $\$ 1,283.36$ and depends on the carrier elected.
The Board's health subsidy amount may:
> For Medicare retirees with single party premium, increase with medical trend as shown in Exhibit II - Healthcare Premium Cost Trend Rates.
> For Medicare retirees with 2-party premium less than or equal to the maximum subsidy as of July 1, 2014 (e.g, Fire Medical), increase with medical trend as shown shown in Exhibit II Healthcare Premium Cost Trend Rates, and
> For Medicare retirees with 2-party premium greater than the maximum subsidy as of July 1, 2014 (e.g., Police Blue Cross), increase with lesser of 7\% or medical trend as shown in Exhibit II - Healthcare Premium Cost Trend Rates.

## EXHIBIT III <br> Summary of Plan (continued)

Dependent Portion: Calculation based on Board of Fire and Police Pension Commissioners Resolution No. 9320: equal to the amount payable on behalf of the dependents of a retired member in the same plan, with the same years of service, who qualifies for an under 65 or Part B/D only subsidy, whichever is greater, providing such subsidy does not exceed the civilian retiree dependent subsidy.

## Subsidy Freeze:

The retiree health benefits program was changed to freeze the medical subsidy for nonretired members not enrolled in the DROP as of July 14, 2011 who did not begin to contribute an additional $2 \%$ of employee contributions to the Pension Plan.
> The frozen subsidy is different for Medicare and non-Medicare retirees.
> The freeze applies to the medical subsidy limits in effect for the 2011/2012 plan year.
> The freeze does not apply to the dental subsidy or the Medicare Part B premium reimbursement.

| EXHIBIT III <br> Summary of Plan (continued) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| Medicare Part B -Related Subsidy |  |
| Medicare Part B <br> Premium <br> Reimbursement | For retired Members enrolled in Medicare A \& B who are receiving a subsidy, the Plan provides payment of Part B premiums ( $\$ 104.90$ for calendar years 2014 and 2015, for all eligible retirees and beneficiaries). |
| Dental Subsidy |  |
| Eligibility | Retired Members who retired with 10 or more years of service. Benefits commence no earlier than age 55. Subsidy is paid only to Members on service or disability retirements. Surviving spouses/domestic partners are not eligible for benefits upon the death of the Member. |
| Amount of Subsidy | $4 \%$ per year of service, to a maximum of $100 \%$, times Maximum Subsidy, subject to a maximum of the single-party premium paid to City approved dental carrier. |
| Maximum Subsidy | Lesser of monthly amount paid to active Fire and Police Members and retired CERS Members. Effective July 1, 2014, maximum is $\$ 42.80$ per month. |
| Retiree Contribution | To the extent the subsidies are less than the medical or dental premiums, the retiree contributes the cost difference. |

## EXHIBIT IV

Definitions of Terms

The following list defines certain technical terms for the convenience of the reader:

## Assumptions or Actuarial Assumptions:

## Actuarial Present Value of Total

 Projected Benefits (APB):
## Normal Cost:

## Actuarial Accrued Liability

 For Actives:
## Actuarial Accrued Liability For Retirees:

The estimates on which the cost of the Plan is calculated including:
(a) Investment return - the rate of investment yield that the Plan will earn over the long-term future;
(b) Mortality rates - the death rates of employees and pensioners; life expectancy is based on these rates;
(c) Retirement rates - the rate or probability of retirement at a given age;
(d) Turnover rates - the rates at which employees of various ages are expected to leave employment for reasons other than death, disability, or retirement.

Present value of all future benefit payments for current retirees and active employees taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions.
The amount of contributions required to fund the benefit allocated to the current year of service.

The equivalent of the accumulated normal costs allocated to the years before the valuation date.

The single sum value of lifetime benefits to existing retirees. This sum takes account of life expectancies appropriate to the ages of the retirees and of the interest which the sum is expected to earn before it is entirely paid out in benefits.

| Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA): | The value of assets used by the actuary in the valution. These may be at market value <br> or some other method used to smooth variations in market value from one valuation to <br> the next. |
| :--- | :--- |
| Funded Ratio: | The ratio AVA/AAL. |
| Unfunded Actuarial Accrued <br> Liability (UAAL): | The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the <br> Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued <br> liability, from meeting the interest accrual only to amortizing it over a specific period <br> of time. |
| Amortization of the Unfunded | Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan's unfunded actuarial <br> accrued liability. |
| Actuarial Accrued Liability: | The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and <br> capital gain and loss adjustments, computed as a percentage of the average value of <br> the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of <br> the capital gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one <br> year to the next. If the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, the discount rate is <br> tied to the expected rate of return on day-to-day employer funds. |
| Covered Payroll: | Annual reported salaries for all active participants on the valuation date. |
| ARC as a Percentage of Covered |  |
| Payroll: | The ratio of the annual required contribution to covered payroll. |
| Health Care Cost Trend Rates: | The annual rate of increase in net claims costs per individual benefiting from the Plan. |
| Annual Required <br> Contribution (ARC): | The ARC is equal to the sum of the normal cost and the amortization of the unfunded <br> actuarial accrued liability. |

## Actuarial Value of Assets (AVA):

## Funded Ratio:

Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL):

## Amortization of the Unfunded

 Actuarial Accrued Liability:Investment Return (discount rate):

## Covered Payroll:

ARC as a Percentage of Covered Payroil:

Health Care Cost Trend Rates

## Contribution (ARC)

The value of assets used by the actuary in the valution. These may be at market value or some other method used to smooth variations in market value from one valuation to

The ratio AVA/AAL.

The extent to which the actuarial accrued liability of the Plan exceeds the assets of the Plan. There is a wide range of approaches to paying off the unfunded actuarial accrued of time.

Payments made over a period of years equal in value to the Plan's unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

The rate of earnings of the Plan from its investments, including interest, dividends and the fund. For actuarial purposes, the investment return often reflects a smoothing of the capital gains and losses to avoid significant swings in the value of assets from one year to the next. If the plan is funded on a pay-as-you-go basis, the discount rate is號

The ratio of the annual required contribution to covered payroll.

The ARC is equal to the sum of the normal cost and the amortization of the unfunded actuarial accrued liability.

## Net OPEB Obligation (NOO):

## Annual OPEB Cost (AOC):

## ARC Adjustment:

## Employer Contributions:

The NOO is the cumulative difference between the annual OPEB cost and actual contributions made. If the plan is not pre-funded, the actual contribution would be equal to the annual benefit payments less retiree contributions.
Annual OPEB cost is the measure required by GASB 45 of a sole or agent employer's "cost" of participating in an OPEB plan. When an employer has no net OPEB obligation, annual OPEB cost is equal to the ARC. When a net OPEB obligation has a liability (positive) balance, annual OPEB cost is equal to (a) the ARC, plus (b) one year's interest on the beginning balance of the net OPEB obligation, less (c) an adjustment to the ARC to offset, approximately, the amount included in the ARC for amortization of the past contribution deficiencies. When a net OPEB obligation has an asset (negative) balance, the interest adjustment should be deducted from and the ARC adjustment should be added to the ARC, to determine annual OPEB cost.
The ARC adjustment is an amortization payment based on the prior year NOO. The purpose of the interest and ARC adjustments is to avoid "double-counting" annual OPEB cost and liabilities. Without the adjustments, annual OPEB cost and the net OPEB obligation (liability) would be overstated by the portion of the amortization amount previously recognized in annual OPEB cost. With the adjustments, annual OPEB cost should be approximately equal to the ARC that would have been charged if all prior ARCs had been paid in full, plus one year's interest on the net OPEB obligation.

For the purposes of GASB 43/45, an employer has contributed to an OPEB plan if the employer has (a) provided benefits directly to retired plan members or their beneficiaries, (b) paid insurance premiums to insure the payment of benefits, or (c) irrevocably transferred assets to a qualifying trust, or equivalent arrangement, in which plan assets are dedicated to providing benefits to retirees and their beneficiaries in accordance with the terms of the plan and are legally protected from creditors of the employer(s) or plan administrator.

## EXHIBIT V

## Accounting Requirements

The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) issued Statement Number 43 - Financial Reporting for Postemployment Benefit Plans Other Than Pension Plans, and Statement Number 45 - Accounting and Financial Reporting by Employers for Postemployment Benefits Other Than Pensions. Under these statements, all state and local government entities that provide other post employment benefits (OPEB) are required to report the cost of these benefits on their financial statements. The accounting standards supplement cash accounting, under which the expense for postemployment benefits is equal to benefit and administrative costs paid on behalf of retirees and their dependents (i.e., a pay-as-you-go basis).

The statements cover postemployment benefits of health, prescription drug, dental, vision and life insurance coverage for retirees; long-term care coverage, life insurance and death benefits that are not offered as part of a pension plan; and long-term disability insurance for employees. The benefits valued in this report are limited to those described in Exhibit III of Section 4, which are based on those provided under the terms of the substantive plan in effect at the time of the valuation and on the pattern of sharing costs between the employer and plan members. The projection of benefits is not limited by legal or contractual limits on funding the plan unless those limits clearly translate into benefit limits on the substantive plan being valued.

The new standards introduce an accrual-basis accounting requirement, thereby recognizing the employer cost of postemployment benefits over an employee's career. The standards also introduce a consistent accounting requirement for both pension and non-pension benefits.

The total cost of providing postemployment benefits is projected, taking into account assumptions about demographics, turnover, mortality, disability, retirement, health care trends, and other actuarial assumptions. These assumptions are summarized in Exhibit II of Section 4. This amount is then discounted to determine the actuarial present value of the total projected benefits (APB). The actuarial accrued liability (AAL) is the portion of the present value of the total projected benefits allocated to years of employment prior to the measurement date. The unfunded actuarial accrued liability (UAAL) is the difference between the AAL and actuarial value of assets in the Plan.

Once the UAAL is determined, the Annual Required Contribution (ARC) is determined as the normal cost (the APB allocated to the current year of service) and the amortization of the UAAL. This ARC is compared to actual contributions made and any difference is reported as the Net OPEB Obligation (NOO). In addition, Required Supplementary Information (RSI) must be reported, including historical information about the UAAL and the progress in funding the Plan. Exhibit IV of Section 4
contain a definition of terms as well as more information about GASB 43/45 concepts.

The calculation of an accounting obligation does not, in and of itself, imply that there is any legal liability to provide the benefits valued, nor is there any implication that the Employer is required to implement a funding policy to satisfy the projected expense.

Actuarial calculations reflect a long-term perspective, and the methods and assumptions use techniques designed to reduce short term volatility in accrued liabilities and the actuarial value of assets, if any

Actuarial valuations involve estimates of the value of reported amounts and assumptions about the probability of events far into the future, and the actuarially determined amounts are subject to continual revision as actual results are compared to past expectations and new estimates are made about the future.
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## City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan

Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2014

This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Commissioners to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Commissioners and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes.

100 Montgomery Street Suite 500 San Francisco, CA 94104-4308
T 415.263.8200 www.segalco.com
November 12, 2014
Board of Fire and Police Pension Commissioners
City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan
360 East Second Street, Suite 400
Los Angeles, CA 90012
Dear Board Members:
We are pleased to submit this Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2014. It contains various information that will need to be disclosed in order to comply with GAS 67.
This report was prepared in accordance with generally accepted actuarial principles and practices at the request of the Board to assist in administering the Plan. The census and financial information on which our calculations were based was prepared by LAFPP and accepted by Segal without additional audit. That assistance is gratefully acknowledged. The actuarial calculations were completed under the supervision of Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, Enrolled Actuary.

The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes. Future actuarial measurements may differ significantly from the current measurements presented in this report due to such factors as the following: plan experience differing from that anticipated by the economic or demographic assumptions; changes in economic or demographic assumptions; and changes in plan provisions or applicable law.
We are members of the American Academy of Actuaries and we meet the Qualification Standards of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein. To the best of our knowledge, the information supplied in the actuarial valuation is complete and accurate. Further, in our opinion, the assumptions as approved by the Board are reasonably related to the experience of and expectations for the Plan.
We look forward to reviewing this report with you and to answering any questions.
Sincerely,
Segal Consulting, a Member of The Segal Group, Inc.

By:
$\overline{\text { Paul Angelo, FSA, MAAA, FCA, EA }}$ Senior Vice President and Actuary
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## Purpose

This report has been prepared by Segal Consulting to present certain disclosure information required by Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 as of June 30, 2014. This valuation is based on:
> The benefit provisions of the Pension Plan, as administered by the Board of Commissioners;
> The characteristics of covered active members, inactive vested members, and retired members and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2013, provided by LAFPP;
> The assets of the Plan as of June 30, 2014, provided by LAFPP;
> Economic assumptions regarding future salary increases and investment earnings; and
> Other actuarial assumptions, regarding employee terminations, retirement, death, etc.

## Significant Issues in Valuation Year

The following key findings were the result of this actuarial valuation:
> The Governmental Accounting Standards Board (GASB) approved two new Statements affecting the reporting of pension liabilities for accounting purposes. Statement 67 replaces Statement 25 and is for plan reporting. Statement 68 replaces Statement 27 and is for employer reporting. Statement 67 is effective with the fiscal year ending June 30, 2014 for Plan reporting and Statement 68 is effective with the fiscal year ending June 30,2015 for employer reporting. The information contained in this valuation is intended to be used (along with other information) in order to comply with Statement 67.
> It is important to note that the new GASB rules only redefine pension liability and expense for financial reporting purposes, and do not apply to contribution amounts for pension funding purposes. Employers and plans can still develop and adopt funding policies under current practices.
> When measuring pension liability, GASB uses the same actuarial cost method (Entry Age method) and the same type of discount rate (expected return on assets) as LAFPP uses for funding. Note that, unrelated to the investment return assumption, the new GASB rules use a version of the Entry Age method where the Total Pension Liability (TPL) must be fully accrued by the time a member either enters the DROP or is expected to elect the DROP. This is in contrast to the version of the Entry Age method used for funding, where the Actuarial Accrued Liability (AAL) is not fully
accrued until members retire from employment after participation in the DROP. Under GASB, actives who are expected to enroll in the DROP in the future would report an annual Service Cost that is higher than the Normal Cost used for funding, while members already in the DROP would report no Service Cost even though their Normal Cost continues to accrue.

As the service retirement rates we use in the funding valuation have been developed based on the later date of exit from the DROP, we have adjusted those rates in this valuation so that they are based on the earlier date of first participation in the DROP. Those rates are provided in Appendix A.
> The Net Pension Liability (NPL) is equal to the difference between the TPL and the Plan's Fiduciary Net Position. The Plan's Fiduciary Net Position is equal to the market value of assets and therefore, the NPL measure is very similar to an Unfunded Actuarial Accrued Liability (UAAL) calculated on a market value basis. The NPL decreased from $\$ 3.584$ billion as of June 30 , 2013 to $\$ 1.872$ billion as of June 30, 2014, primarily as a result of favorable investment results during 2013/2014 and the change in actuarial assumptions as of June 30 , 2014. The $\$ 1.872$ billion was measured using the new actuarial assumptions (see additional discussion below) and the NPL would have been higher by about $\$ 69$ million if measured using the old assumptions. Changes in these values during the last two fiscal years ending June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2014 can be found in Exhibit 3.
> The NPLs measured as of June 30, 2014 and 2013 have been determined by rolling forward the results of the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012, respectively.
> The discount rate originally used to determine the TPL and NPL as of June 30,2014 and 2013 was $7.75 \%$, following the same assumptions used by the Plan in the pension funding valuations as of June 30, 2013 and June 30, 2012. However, as the Board of Commissioners has approved a new discount rate of $7.50 \%$ (together with other new actuarial assumptions) for use in the next pension funding valuation as of June 30 , 2014, we have estimated the impact of this assumption change by (1) revaluing the actuarial valuation TPL as of June 30, 2013 (before the roll forward) and (2) using this revalued TPL in rolling forward the results from June 30, 2013 to June 30, 2014. The detailed calculations of the discount rate of $7.50 \%$ used in calculation of the TPL and NPL as of June 30, 2014 can be found in Exhibit 5 of Section 2. Various other information that is required to be disclosed can be found throughout Exhibits 1 through 4 in Section 2.

## Summary of Key Valuation Results

|  | 2014 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Disclosure elements for fiscal year ending June 30: |  |  |
| Service cost ${ }^{(1)}$ | \$368,017,648 | \$361,192,260 |
| Total pension liability | 18,861,992,028 | 18,264,528,081 |
| Plan fiduciary net position | 16,989,704,585 | 14,680,373,040 |
| Net pension liability | 1,872,287,443 | 3,584,155,041 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 90.07\% | 80.38\% |
| Schedule of contributions for fiscal year ending June 30: |  |  |
| Actuarially determined contributions | \$440,698,260 | \$375,448,092 |
| Actual contributions | 440,698,260 | 375,448,092 |
| Contribution deficiency (excess) | 0 | 0 |
| Demographic data for plan year ending June 30: |  |  |
| Number of retired members and beneficiaries | 12,502 | 12,432 |
| Number of vested terminated members ${ }^{(2)}$ | 131 | 133 |
| Number of DROP members | 1,277 | 1,191 |
| Number of active members | 11,820 | 12,033 |
| Key assumptions as of June 30: |  |  |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50\% | 7.75\% |
| Inflation rate | 3.25\% | 3.50\% |
| Projected salary increases ${ }^{(3)}$ | Ranges from $4.75 \%$ to $11.50 \%$ based on years of service | Ranges from 5.25\% to $12.25 \%$ based on years of service |

(1) Please note that service cost is always based on the previous year's assumptions, meaning both values are based on these assumptions shown as of June 30, 2013.
${ }^{(2)}$ Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
${ }^{(3)}$ Includes inflation at 3.25\% (3.50\% for the June 30, 2013 valuation) plus real across the board salary increases of $0.75 \%$ plus merit and promotional increases.

## EXHIBIT 1 <br> General Information - "Financial Statements", Note Disclosures and Required Supplementary Information for a Single-Employer Pension Plan

## Plan Description

Plan administration. The City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Plan (LAFPP) was established by the City of Los Angeles in 1899. LAFPP is a single employer public employee retirement system whose main function is to provide retirement benefits to the safety members employed by the City of Los Angeles.

The Fire and Police Pension Plan is administered by a Board of Commissioners composed of five commissioners who are appointed by the Mayor, two commissioners elected by Police Members of the Plan and two commissioners elected by Fire Members of the Plan. Under provisions of the City Charter, the City Administrative Code and the State Constitution, the Board has the responsibility to administer the Plan.

Plan membership. At June 30, 2014, pension plan membership consisted of the following:

| Retired members or beneficiaries currently receiving benefits | 12,502 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Vested terminated members entitled to, but not yet receiving benefits | 131 |
| DROP members | 1,277 |
| Active members | $\underline{11,820}$ |
| Total | 25,730 |

Benefits provided. LAFPP provides service retirement, disability, death and survivor benefits to eligible sworn members of the Los Angeles Fire, Police and Harbor Departments. Sworn employees become members upon graduation from the Police Academy or Fire Drill Tower.

There are currently six tiers applicable to members of the LAFPP. Tier 1 includes members hired on or before January 28, 1967. Tier 2 includes members hired from January 29, 1967 through December 7, 1980, and those Tier 1 members who transferred to Tier 2 during the enrollment period of January 29, 1967 to January 29, 1968. Tier 3 includes members hired from December 8, 1980 through June 30, 1997 and those Tier 4 members hired during the period of July 1, 1997 through December 31, 1997 who elected to transfer to Tier 3 by the enrollment deadline of June 30, 1998. Tier 4 includes members hired from July 1, 1997 through December 31, 2001 and those Tier 3 members who elected to transfer to Tier 4 by the enrollment deadline of June 30, 1998. Tier 5 includes members hired from January 1, 2002 through June 30, 2011 and those active members of

Tiers 2, 3, or 4 who elected to transfer to Tier 5 during the enrollment period of January 2, 2002 through December 31, 2002. Tier 6 was established for all firefighters and police officers hired on or after July 1, 2011.

Tier 1, Tier 2, and Tier 4 members are eligible to retire once they attain 20 years of service. Tier 3 members are eligible to retire once they reach age 50 and have attained 10 or more years of service. Tier 5 and Tier 6 members are eligible to retire once they reach age 50 and have attained 20 or more years of service.

The Service Retirement benefit the member will receive is based upon age at retirement, final average compensation, years of retirement service credit and tier.

The Tier 1 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 1304 of the Los Angeles Charter. The monthly allowance for a member with between 20 to 25 years of service who retires from active status is equal to $40 \%$ of the average monthly rate of salary assigned to the ranks or positions held by the member during the three years immediately preceding the date of his retirement plus $2 \%$ of the average rate of salary for each year of service in excess of 20 years. The monthly allowance for a member with between 25 to 34 years of service who retires from active status is equal to $50 \%$ of the average monthly rate of salary assigned to the ranks or positions held by the member during the three years immediately preceding the date of his retirement plus $12 / 3 \%$ of the average rate of salary for each year of service in excess of 25 years. The monthly allowance for a member with 35 or more years of service who retires from active status is equal to $662 / 3 \%$ of the average monthly rate of salary assigned to the ranks or positions held by the member during the three years immediately preceding the date of his retirement.

The Tier 2 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 1408 of the Los Angeles Charter. The monthly allowance for a member with less than 25 years of service who retires from active status is equal to $2 \%$ of Normal Pension Base per year of service. The monthly allowance for a member with 25 or more years of service who retires from active status is equal to $55 \%$ of Normal Pension Base plus 3\% per year over 25 of Normal Pension Base, with a maximum of 70\% of Normal Pension Base.

The Tier 3 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 1504 of the Los Angeles Charter. The monthly allowance for a member with less than 20 years of service who retires from active status is equal to $2 \%$ of Final Average Salary per year of service. The monthly allowance for a member with 20 or more years of service who retires from active status is equal to $40 \%$ of Final Average Salary plus 3\% per year over 20 of Final Average Salary, with a maximum of 70\% of Final Average Salary.

The Tier 4 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 1604 of the Los Angeles Charter. The monthly allowance for a member who retires from active status is equal to $40 \%$ of Final Average Salary plus $3 \%$ per year of service over 20, with a maximum of $70 \%$ of Final Average Salary.

The Tier 5 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 4.2004 of the Los Angeles Administrative Code. The monthly allowance for a member who retires from active status is equal to $50 \%$ of Final Average Salary plus $3 \%$ per year of service over 20, except for the 30th year, where $4 \%$ is provided, with a maximum of $90 \%$ of Final Average Salary.

The Tier 6 Service Retirement benefit is calculated pursuant to the provisions of Section 1704 of the Los Angeles Charter. The monthly allowance for a member who retires from active status is equal to $40 \%$ of Final Average Salary, plus $3 \%$ of Final Average Salary per year of service from 21 through 25, 4\% of Final Average Salary per year of service from 26 through 30, and $5 \%$ of Final Average Salary per year of service over 30, with a maximum of $90 \%$ of Final Average Salary.

Under Tier 1, pension benefits are calculated based on the average monthly rate of salary assigned to the ranks or positions held by the member during the three years immediately preceding the date of his retirement. Under Tier 2, pension benefits are calculated based on the Normal Pension Base, the final monthly salary rate. Under Tiers 3-6, pension benefits are calculated based on the Final Average Salary. Under Tiers 3-5, the Final Average Salary is the highest monthly average salary actually received during any 12 consecutive months of service. Under Tier 6 the Final Average Salary is the highest monthly average salary actually received during any 24 consecutive months of service.

LAFPP provides annual cost-of-living adjustments (COLAs) to retirees . The cost-of-living adjustments are made each July 1 and vary by Tier. Under Tier 1 and Tier 2, the COLA is based on the percentage change in the average of the Consumer Price Index for the Los Angeles-Riverside-Orange County Area--All Items For All Urban Consumers. Under Tier 3 and Tier 4, the COLA is the same as under Tier 1 and Tier 2 but is capped at $3 \%$, with a prorated COLA in the first year of retirement. Under Tier 5 and Tier 6, the COLA is the same as under Tier 3 and Tier 4, with the excess of the COLA over 3\% banked for future use when the COLA is under $3 \%$.

The City of Los Angeles contributes to the retirement plan based upon actuarially determined contribution rates adopted by the Board of Commissioners based upon recommendations received from LAFPP's actuary after the completion of the annual actuarial valuation. The average employer contribution rate for fiscal year 2013-2014 (based on the June 30, 2012 valuation) was $33.69 \%$ of compensation if paid on July $15,2013$.
All members are required to make contributions to LAFPP regardless of tier in which they are included. However, members are exempt from making contributions when their continuous service exceeds 30 years for Tiers 1 through 4, and 33 years for Tier 5 and Tier 6. The average member contribution rate for fiscal year 2013-2014 (based on the June 30, 2012 valuation) was $9.51 \%$ of compensation paid biweekly.

## EXHIBIT 2

## Net Pension Liability

| The components of the net pension liability of LAFPP are as follows: |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | June 30, 2014 | June 30, 2013 |
| Total pension liability | \$18,861,992,028 | \$18,264,528,081 |
| Plan fiduciary net position | 16,989,704,585 | 14,680,373,040 |
| Plan's net pension liability | \$1,872,287,443 | \$3,584,155,041 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 90.07\% | 80.38\% |

The net pension liability was measured as of June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013 and determined based upon plan assets as of each measurement date and upon rolling forward to each measurement date the total pension liability from the actuarial valuations as of June 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively.

Actuarial assumptions. The total pension liability as of June 30, 2014 was remeasured as of June 30, 2014 to reflect the following actuarial assumptions that the Board of Commissioners has approved for use in the pension funding valuation as of June 30, 2014:

| Inflation | $3.25 \%$ <br> Salary increases <br> Ranges from 4.75\% to 11.50\% based on years of service, including <br> inflation |
| :--- | :--- |
| Investment rate of return | 7.50\%, including inflation but net of Pension Plan investment <br> expense |
| Other assumptions | See analysis of actuarial experience during the period July 1, 2010 <br> through June 30, 2013 and Appendix A for the service retirement <br> rates after they have been adjusted to be based on the earlier date of <br> first participation in the DROP. |

The long-term expected rate of return on Pension Plan investments was determined using a building-block method in which expected future real rates of return (expected returns, net of inflation) are developed for each major asset class. These returns are combined to produce the long-term expected rate of return by weighting the expected future real rates of return by the target asset allocation percentage and by adding expected inflation and deducting expected investment expenses. The
target allocation and projected arithmetic real rates of return for each measurement class, after deducting inflation, but before reduction for investment expenses, used in the derivation of the long-term expected investment rate of return assumption are summarized in the following table:

| Asset Class | Target <br> Allocation | Long-Term Expected <br> Real Rate of Return |
| :--- | ---: | :---: |
| Large Cap U.S. Equity | $23.00 \%$ | $6.03 \%$ |
| Small Cap U.S. Equity | $6.00 \%$ | $6.71 \%$ |
| Developed International Equity | $16.00 \%$ | $6.71 \%$ |
| Emerging Markets Equity | $5.00 \%$ | $8.02 \%$ |
| U.S. Core Fixed Income | $14.00 \%$ | $0.52 \%$ |
| High Yield Bonds | $3.00 \%$ | $2.81 \%$ |
| Real Estate | $10.00 \%$ | $4.73 \%$ |
| TIPS | $5.00 \%$ | $0.43 \%$ |
| Commodities | $5.00 \%$ | $4.67 \%$ |
| Cash | $1.00 \%$ | $-0.19 \%$ |
| Unconstrained Fixed Income | $2.00 \%$ | $2.50 \%$ |
| Private Equity | $\underline{10.00 \%}$ | $\underline{9.25 \%}$ |
| Total Portfolio | $100.00 \%$ | $5.12 \%$ |

Discount rate: The discount rates used to measure the total pension liability were $7.50 \%$ and $7.75 \%$ as of June 30,2014 and June 30, 2013, respectively. The projection of cash flows used to determine the discount rate assumed plan member contributions will be made at the current contribution rates for each tier and that employer contributions will be made at rates equal to the actuarially determined contribution rates for each tier. For this purpose, only employer contributions that are intended to fund benefits for current plan members and their beneficiaries are included. Projected employer contributions that are intended to fund the service costs for future plan members and their beneficiaries, as well as projected contributions from future plan members, are not included. Based on those assumptions, the Pension Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current plan members. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Pension Plan investments was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability as of both June 30, 2014 and June 30, 2013.

Sensitivity of the net pension liability to changes in the discount rate. The following presents the net pension liability of LAFPP as of June 30, 2014, calculated using the discount rate of $7.50 \%$, as well as what LAFPP's net pension liability would be if it were calculated using a discount rate that is 1-percentage-point lower (6.50\%) or 1-percentage-point higher (8.50\%) than the current rate:

|  | 1\% Decrease <br> $\mathbf{( 6 . 5 0 \% )}$ | Current <br> Discount Rate <br> $\mathbf{( 7 . 5 0 \% )}$ | 1\% Increase <br> $\mathbf{( 8 . 5 0 \% )}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LAFPP's net pension liability as of June 30, 2014 | $\$ 4,386,029,023$ | $\$ 1,872,287,443$ | $(\$ 192,812,153)$ |

## EXHIBIT 3

Schedule of Changes in LAFPP Net Pension Liability - Last Two Fiscal Years

|  | 2014 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total pension liability |  |  |
| Service cost | \$368,017,648 | \$361,192,260 |
| Interest | 1,392,552,174 | 1,344,600,162 |
| Change of benefit terms | 0 | 0 |
| Differences between expected and actual experience | -234,637,547 | -431,388,856 |
| Changes of assumptions | -69,482,273 | 0 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | -858,986,055 | -859,503,176 |
| Net change in total pension liability | \$597,463,947 | \$414,900,390 |
| Total pension liability - beginning | 18,264,528,081 | 17,849,627,691 |
| Total pension liability - ending (a) | \$18,861,992,028 | \$18,264,528,081 |
| Plan fiduciary net position |  |  |
| Contributions - employer | \$440,698,260 | \$375,448,092 |
| Contributions - employee | 124,394,889 | 121,777,654 |
| Net investment income | 2,617,089,650 | 1,707,776,453 |
| Benefit payments, including refunds of employee contributions | -858,986,055 | -859,503,176 |
| Administrative expense | -13,865,199 | -12,200,359 |
| Other | 0 | 0 |
| Net change in plan fiduciary net position | \$2,309,331,545 | \$1,333,298,664 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - beginning | 14,680,373,040 | 13,347,074,376 |
| Plan fiduciary net position - ending (b) | \$16,989,704,585 | \$14,680,373,040 |
| Plan's net pension liability - ending (a) - (b) | \$1,872,287,443 | \$3,584,155,041 |
| Plan fiduciary net position as a percentage of the total pension liability | 90.07\% | 80.38\% |
| Covered employee payroll | \$1,308,148,504 | \$1,277,031,317 |
| Plan net pension liability as percentage of covered employee payroll | 143.12\% | 280.66\% |

## EXHIBIT 4

Schedule of LAFPP's Contributions - Last Ten Fiscal Years

| Year Ended | Actuarially <br> Determined <br> Contributions | Contributions in <br> Relation to the <br> Actuarially <br> Determined <br> Contributions | Contribution <br> Deficiency (Excess) | Covered-Employee <br> Payroll | Contributions as <br> a Percentage of <br> Covered Employee <br> Payroll ${ }^{(3)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | $\$ 135,853,688$ | $\$ 135,853,688$ | $\$ 0$ | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ |
| 2006 | $143,945,802$ | $143,945,802$ | 0 | $\mathrm{~N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ | $\mathrm{N} / \mathrm{A}^{(2)}$ |
| 2007 | $224,946,082$ | $224,946,082$ | 0 | $\$ 1,130,296,904$ | $19.90 \%$ |
| $2008^{(1)}$ | $261,635,491$ | $261,635,491$ | 0 | $1,188,972,417$ | $22.01 \%$ |
| 2009 | $238,697,929$ | $238,697,929$ | 0 | $1,253,658,885$ | $19.04 \%$ |
| 2010 | $250,516,858$ | $250,516,858$ | 0 | $1,266,311,709$ | $19.78 \%$ |
| 2011 | $277,092,251$ | $277,092,251$ | 0 | $1,289,856,708$ | $21.48 \%$ |
| 2012 | $321,593,433$ | $321,593,433$ | 0 | $1,213,395,874$ | $26.50 \%$ |
| 2013 | $375,448,092$ | $375,448,092$ | 0 | $1,277,031,317$ | $29.40 \%$ |
| 2014 | $440,698,260$ | $440,698,260$ | 0 | $1,308,148,504$ | $33.69 \%$ |

${ }^{(1)}$ Figures include amounts transferred and contributed during the fiscal year that were related to the transfer of certain Harbor Port Police members from the Los Angeles City Employees' Retirement System
(2) Not available
${ }^{(3)}$ Contribution rate as a percentage of payroll reflects discount applied when the employer prepays its contributions. This rate has been "backed" into by dividing the actual contributions by the budgeted covered-employee payroll.

| Notes to Exhibit 4 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Methods and used assumptions to establish "actuarially determined contribution" rates: |  |  |
| Valuation date | Actuarially determined contribution rates are calculated as of June 30, two years prior to the end of the fiscal year in which contributions are reported |  |
| Actuarial cost method | Entry Age Actuarial Cost Method |  |
| Amortization method | For Tier 1, level dollar amortization is used with last period ending on June 30, 2037. For Tiers 2, 3 and 4, level percent of payroll amortization with multiple layers is used as a percent of total valuation payroll from the respective employer (i.e., City or Harbor Port Police). For Tiers 5 and 6 , level percent of payroll with multiple layers is used as a percent of combined payroll for these tiers from the respective employer (i.e., City or Harbor Port Police). |  |
| Remaining amortization period | Actuarial gains/losses are amortized over 20 years. Assumption changes are amortized over 25 years. Plan changes are amortized over 15 years. |  |
| Asset valuation method | Market value of assets less unrecognized returns in each of the last five years. Unrecognized return is equal to the difference between the actual market return and the expected return on the market value, and is recognized over a seven-year period. Unrecognized return established before July 1, 2008 was recognized over a five-year period. The actuarial value of assets is further adjusted, if necessary, to be within $40 \%$ of the market value of assets. |  |
|  | Before Reflection of Assumptions Approved for Pension Funding Valuation as of June 30, 2014 | After Reflection of Assumptions Approved for Pension Funding Valuation as of June 30, 2014 |
| Actuarial assumptions: |  |  |
| Investment rate of return | 7.75\% | 7.50\% |
| Inflation rate | 3.50\% | 3.25\% |
| Real across-the-board salary increase | 0.75\% | 0.75\% |
| Projected salary increases* | Ranges from $5.25 \%$ to $12.25 \%$ based on years of service | Ranges from $4.75 \%$ to $11.50 \%$ based on years of service |
| Cost of living adjustments | $3.50 \%$ of Tiers 1 and 2 retirement income and $3.00 \%$ of Tiers $3,4,5$, and 6 retirement income. | $3.25 \%$ of Tiers 1 and 2 retirement income and $3.00 \%$ of Tiers $3,4,5$, and 6 retirement income. |
| Other assumptions | Same as those used in the June 30, 2013 funding actuarial valuation | See analysis of actuarial experience during the period July 1, 2010 through June 30, 2013 |

* Includes inflation at 3.25\% (3.50\% for the June 30, 2013 valuation) plus across the board salary increases of 0.75\% plus merit and promotional increases.


## EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions)

| $\begin{gathered} \text { Year } \\ \text { Beginning } \\ \text { July } 1 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Projected Beginning Plan Fiduciary Net Position (a) | $\qquad$ | Projected Benefit Payments (c) | Projected Administrative Expenses (d) | Projected Investment Earnings (e) | Projected Ending Plan Fiduciary Net Position $(f)=(a)+(b)-(c)-(d)+(e)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2013 | \$14,680 | \$565 | \$859 | \$14 | \$2,617 | \$16,990 |
| 2014 | 16,990 | 628 | 899 | 15 | 1,284 | 17,987 |
| 2015 | 17,987 | 628 | 1,007 | 16 | 1,355 | 18,948 |
| 2016 | 18,948 | 619 | 1,154 | 17 | 1,420 | 19,816 |
| 2017 | 19,816 | 586 | 1,096 | 17 | 1,485 | 20,774 |
| 2018 | 20,774 | 588 | 1,191 | 18 | 1,553 | 21,706 |
| 2019 | 21,706 | 564 | 1,169 | 19 | 1,622 | 22,705 |
| 2020 | 22,705 | 514 | 1,240 | 20 | 1,690 | 23,649 |
| 2021 | 23,649 | 504 | 1,312 | 21 | 1,757 | 24,577 |
| 2022 | 24,577 | 503 | 1,379 | 21 | 1,824 | 25,505 |
| 2038 | 32,646 | 191 | 2,601 | 28 | 2,356 | 32,563 |
| 2039 | 32,563 | 210 | 2,682 | 28 | 2,348 | 32,410 |
| 2040 | 32,410 | 173 | 2,746 | 28 | 2,331 | 32,140 |
| 2041 | 32,140 | 109 | 2,793 | 28 | 2,304 | 31,732 |
| 2042 | 31,732 | 93 | 2,828 | 28 | 2,271 | 31,239 |
| 2087 | 1,204 | 1 | 80 | 1 | 87 | 1,211 |
| 2088 | 1,211 | 1 | 61 | 1 | 88 | 1,239 |
| 2089 | 1,239 | 1 | 46 | 1 | 91 | 1,283 |
| 2090 | 1,283 | 1 | 35 | 1 | 95 | 1,344 |
| 2091 | 1,344 | 1 | 26 | 1 | 100 | 1,418 |
| 2115 | 7,203 | 6 | 0 * | 6 | 540 | 7,743 |
| 2116 | 7,743 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2116 | Discounted Value: 5 * |  |  |  |  |  |
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## EXHIBIT 5

Projection of Pension Plan's Fiduciary Net Position for Use in Calculation of Discount Rate as of June 30, 2014 (\$ in millions) - continued

## Notes:

(1) Amounts may not total exactly due to rounding.
(2) Amounts shown in the year beginning July 1, 2013 row are actual amounts, based on the information provided by LAFPP.
(3) Years 2023-2037, 2043-2086, and 2092-2114 have been omitted from this table.
(4) Column (a): Except for the "discounted value" shown for 2116, none of the projected beginning plan fiduciary net position amounts shown have been adjusted for the time value of money.
(5) Column (b): Projected total contributions include employee and employer normal cost rates applied to closed group projected payroll (based on covered active members as of June 30 , 2013), plus employer contributions to the unfunded actuarial accrued liability. Contributions are assumed to occur beginning of the year.
(6) Column (c): Projected benefit payments have been determined in accordance with paragraph 39 of GAS 67 , and are based on the closed group of active, inactive vested, retired members, and beneficiaries as of June 30, 2013. The projected benefit payments reflect the cost of living increase assumptions to be used in the June 30,2014 valuation report. The projected benefit payments are assumed to occur beginning of the month, on average.
(7) Column (d): Projected administrative expenses are calculated as approximately $0.09 \%$ of the projected beginning plan fiduciary net position amount. The $0.09 \%$ portion was based on the average of the actual administrative expenses from 2008 to 2013. Administrative expenses are assumed to occur halfway through the year, on average.
(8) Column (e): Projected investment earnings are based on the assumed investment rate of return of $7.50 \%$ per annum except for 2013/2014.
(9) As illustrated in this Exhibit, the Plan's fiduciary net position was projected to be available to make all projected future benefit payments for current Plan members. In other words, there is no projected "cross-over date" when projected benefits are not covered by projected assets. Therefore, the long-term expected rate of return on Plan investments of $7.50 \%$ per annum was applied to all periods of projected benefit payments to determine the total pension liability as of June 30, 2014 shown earlier in this report, pursuant to paragraph 44 of GAS No. 67.

## Appendix A <br> Retirement Rates After Adjustment for DROP Participation

As the service retirement rates we use in the funding valuation have been developed based on the later date of exit from the DROP, we have adjusted those rates in this GASB valuation so that they are based on the earlier date of first participation in the DROP. Retirement rates to be used in our June 30, 2014 funding valuation are shown on the next page. Please note that those rates are applicable in the GASB valuation for actives not eligible to enter the DROP. A sample of those rates used in the GASB valuation for an active eligible to enter the DROP at age 55 are as follows:

| Age | Retirement Rates for funding valuation <br> (Also applicable to actives not eligible to enter the DROP in GASB valuation) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tiers } \\ & \hline 8,8, \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tiers } \\ 3 \& 5 \end{gathered}$ | Tier 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tiers } \\ & 2 \& 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tiers } \\ 3 \& 5 \end{gathered}$ | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 7.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 15.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 20.00 | 12.00 | 10.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 56 | 20.00 | 14.00 | 12.00 | 25.00 | 18.00 | 18.00 |
| 57 | 20.00 | 16.00 | 15.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 20.00 |
| 58 | 20.00 | 20.00 | 18.00 | 25.00 | 22.00 | 22.00 |
| 59 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 60 | 20.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 61 | 20.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 62 | 25.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 63 | 25.00 | 40.00 | 35.00 | 30.00 | 25.00 | 25.00 |
| 64 | 30.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 40.00 | 30.00 | 30.00 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |


| Age | Sample Retirement Rates for GASB valuation (For actives eligible to enter the DROP at 55) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fire |  |  | Police |  |  |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tiers } \\ & 2 \& 4 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tiers } \\ 3 \& 5 \end{gathered}$ | Tier 6 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { Tiers } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Tiers } \\ 3 \& 5 \end{gathered}$ | Tier 6 |
| 41 | 1.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% | 10.00\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| 42 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 43 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 44 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 45 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 46 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 47 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 48 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 49 | 2.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 50 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 7.00 | 8.00 |
| 51 | 4.00 | 3.00 | 3.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 52 | 5.00 | 3.00 | 4.00 | 12.00 | 6.00 | 10.00 |
| 53 | 10.00 | 3.00 | 5.00 | 15.00 | 6.00 | 15.00 |
| 54 | 15.00 | 7.00 | 5.00 | 20.00 | 10.00 | 15.00 |
| 55 | 26.23 | 21.06 | 20.49 | 26.01 | 25.47 | 25.47 |
| 56 | 27.70 | 26.20 | 25.81 | 32.42 | 27.00 | 27.00 |
| 57 | 31.92 | 32.30 | 30.46 | 34.77 | 30.84 | 30.84 |
| 58 | 34.71 | 41.79 | 38.90 | 40.44 | 35.38 | 35.38 |
| 59 | 41.79 | 51.69 | 48.62 | 52.03 | 45.33 | 45.33 |
| 60 | 90.43 | 88.44 | 88.89 | 89.56 | 90.23 | 90.23 |
| 61 | 1.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 62 | 1.25 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 63 | 1.25 | 2.00 | 1.75 | 1.50 | 1.25 | 1.25 |
| 64 | 1.50 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 2.00 | 1.50 | 1.50 |
| 65 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 | 100.00 |
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# Y Segal Consulting 

VIA E-MAIL and USPS

March 20, 2015

Mr. Raymond P. Ciranna
General Manager
Los Angeles Department of Fire and Police Pensions
360 E 2nd Street, 6th Floor
Los Angeles, CA 90012

## Re: City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan (LAFPP) Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) 67 with the Actuarial Valuation as of June 30, 2014 Addendum

Dear Ray:
In our Governmental Accounting Standards (GAS) Statement 67 report dated November 12, 2014, we provide the Net Pension Liability (NPL) and other elements that are required for completing the Plan's financial reporting requirements under Statement 67. In this letter, we have provided as an Addendum to that report two additional schedules that the American Institute of Certified Public Accountants (AICPA) recommends be prepared by Segal for use in allocating the NPL and pension expense by members associated with the Harbor Port Police (an enterprise fund) and the other members associated with the City's Fire and Police Departments before we issue the full companion report for the employer's financial reporting for LAFPP under GAS Statement 68.

These two schedules have been developed based on the assumptions, methods and results shown in our earlier report dated November 12, 2014. Exhibit A shows the method used for allocating the NPL along with the NPL amounts allocated amongst the two member categories in LAFPP. Exhibit B is a summary that shows the allocated NPL, deferred outflows and inflows of resources and pension expense by the two member categories. In Exhibit B, we have included the beginning of year NPL. The beginning of year NPL was allocated using the same method described in Exhibit A to allocate the end of year NPL. Further information regarding GAS 68 including additional information that the City will need to disclose for the two member categories will be provided in a separate report that is anticipated to be completed later this year.

Mr. Raymond P. Ciranna
March 20, 2015
Page 2

The undersigned is a member of the American Academy of Actuaries and I meet the Qualification Standard of the American Academy of Actuaries to render the actuarial opinion herein.

Please give us a call if you have any questions.
Sincerely,


Andy Yeung
EK/bqb
Enclosures

AICPA Schedules for City of Los Angeles Fire and Police Pension Plan Addendum to GAS Statement 67 Actuarial Valuation

## EXHIBIT A

Schedule of Employer Allocations as of June 30, 2014

| Allocation of June 30, 2014 Estimated Net Pension Liability |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Member Category | Total NPL |
| Fire and Police | $\$ 1,861,824,464$ |
| Harbor Port Police | $\mathbf{1 0 , 4 6 2 , 9 7 9}$ |
| Total for the City | $\$ 1,872,287,443$ |

## Notes:

The Net Pension Liability (NPL) for each member category is the Total Pension Liability (TPL) minus the Plan Net Position (plan assets). The Total Pension Liabilty for each member category is obtained from internal valuation results and is calculated separately for each of the members associated with the Fire and Police Departments and the Harbor Port Police. The Plan Net Position for each membership category was estimated by adjusting the valuation value of assets for each membership category by the ratio of the total LAFPP Plan Net Position to total LAFPP valuation value of assets.

## EXHIBIT B

## Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer as of June 30, 2014

| Deferred Outflows of Resources | Fire and Police | Harbor Port Police | Total for City |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Net Difference Between Projected and Actual |  |  |  |
| Investment Earnings on Pension Plan Investments | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changes of Assumptions | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Changes in Proportion and Differences Between |  |  |  |
| Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of |  |  |  |
| Contributions | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Deferred Outflows of Resources | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Deferred Inflows of Resources

| Differences Between Expected and Actual Experience | \$193,441,991 | \$175,006 | \$193,616,997 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Net Difference Between Projected and Actual |  |  |  |
| Investment Earnings on Pension Plan Investments | 1,166,243,596 | 2,740,341 | 1,168,983,937 |
| Changes of Assumptions | 56,938,891 | 396,131 | 57,335,022 |
| Changes in Proportion and Differences Between |  |  |  |
| Employer Contributions and Proportionate Share of |  |  |  |
| Contributions | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Deferred Inflows of Resources | \$1,416,624,478 | \$3,311,478 | \$1,419,935,956 |
| Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2013 | \$3,569,834,904 | \$14,320,137 | \$3,584,155,041 |
| Net Pension Liability as of June 30, 2014 | \$1,861,824,464 | \$10,462,979 | \$1,872,287,443 |
| Pension Expense |  |  |  |
| Proportionate Share of Plan Pension Expense | \$146,035,124 | \$2,731,494 | \$148,766,618 |
| Net Amortization of Deferred Amounts from Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer |  |  |  |
| Contributions and Proportionate Share of |  |  |  |
| Contributions | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Total Employer Pension Expense | \$146,035,124 | \$2,731,494 | \$148,766,618 |

## EXHIBIT B <br> Schedule of Pension Amounts by Employer as of June 30, 2014 - continued

## Notes:

Amounts shown in this exhibit were allocated by Fire and Police and Harbor Port Police member categories based on the internal calculations as described in Exhibit A.

In determining the pension expense:
-Any differences between projected and actual investment earnings on pension plan investments are recognized over a period of five years beginning with the year in which they occur.
-Differences between expected and actual experience and changes of assumptions are recognized over the average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees that are provided with pensions through LAFPP determined as of June 30, 2013 (the beginning of the measurement period ending June 30, 2014) and is 5.72 years.

The average of the expected remaining service lives of all employees was determined by:
-Calculating each active employee's expected remaining service life as the present value of $\$ 1$ per year of future service at zero percent interest.
-Setting the remaining service life to zero for each nonactive or retired members.
-Dividing the sum of the above amounts by the total number of active employee, nonactive and retired members.
There is no change in the pension expense brought about by "Changes in Proportion and Differences Between Employer Contributions and Proporionate Share of Contributions". This is because calculations are done separately to track TPL and Plan Net Position for each of the Fire and Police Departments and Harbor Port Police.

We did not attempt to determine the beginning balances for deferred inflows or resources and deferred outflows of resources as of the beginning of the period for the 2014 plan year. Per paragraph 137 of GAS 68, these balances are assumed to be zero.


[^0]:    Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
    Vice President and Associate Actuary

[^1]:    (1) Includes DROP members provided in the next column.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Includes terminated members due only a refund of contributions (beginning with the June 30, 2013 valuation).
    ${ }^{(3)}$ Includes 113 members who made an election to participate in the DROP during the period July 1, 2011 to July 14, 2011.
    (4) Includes 13 new retirees during the period July 1, 2011 to July 14, 2011.

[^2]:    * Includes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
    ** Excludes terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.

[^3]:    * Includes DROP members.

[^4]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Net of investment fees and administrative expenses
    (2) Includes \$6,220,076 (discounted to \$6,058,515) of Harbor Port Police assets transferred in October, 2007.

[^5]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Loss due to new retirees from non-DROP status and new DROP members during 7/1/2011 - 7/14/2011.

[^6]:    (1) Includes 76 terminated members due only a refund of member contributions.
    (2) Includes all assets for Retirement and Health Subsidy Benefits.

[^7]:    This report has been prepared at the request of the Board of Commissioners to assist in administering the Fund. This valuation report may not otherwise be copied or reproduced in any form without the consent of the Board of Commissioners and may only be provided to other parties in its entirety. The measurements shown in this actuarial valuation may not be applicable for other purposes

    Copyright © 2014 by The Segal Group, Inc., parent of Segal Consulting All rights reserved.

[^8]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Excludes a loss of $\$ 17.6$ million from contributions less than recommended from one-year delay between valuation and rate implementation.

[^9]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Level percentage of payroll amortization.

[^10]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Excludes terminated members not eligible for retiree health benefit due to service or due only a refund of member contributions.

[^11]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Net of investment fees and administrative expenses.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Includes \$6,220,076 (discounted to \$6,058,515) of Harbor Port Police assets transferred in October, 2007.

[^12]:    ${ }^{(1)}$ Total return minus expected return on a market value basis.
    ${ }^{(2)}$ Net deferred unrecognized investment gains as of June 30, 2013 have been combined into a single layer to be recognized over the six-year period effective July 1, 2013.

[^13]:    Andy Yeung, ASA, MAAA, FCA, EA
    Vice President and Associate Actuary

[^14]:    * Less than $\$ 1$ million, when rounded
    ** $\$ 7,743$ million when discounted with interest at the rate of $7.50 \%$ per annum has a value of $\$ 5$ million as of June 30, 2013.

[^15]:    5334527v3/07916.120

